Transcript of the Testimony of

Elizabeth McDougall

April 25, 2017

J.S. v. Village Voice Media Holdings LLC

*****Confidential -- Subject to Protective Order*****



Byers and Anderson, Inc.

Court Reporters/Video/Videoconferencing Seattle/Tacoma, Washington

> scheduling@byersanderson.com www.byersanderson.com

One Union Square: 600 University Street, Suite 2300 Seattle, WA 98101-4128 Seattle: **206 340-1316** Toll Free: **800 649-2034** Old Town District: 2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202 Taccoma, WA 98403-3360 Tacoma: **253 627-6401** Fax: **253 383-4884**

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIERCE J.S., et al.,)) Plaintiffs,))) No. 12-2-11362-4 vs.) VILLAGE VOICE MEDIA HOLDINGS, LLC,) et al.,)) Defendants.)) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL April 25, 2017 Seattle, Washington ***CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER*** Byers & Anderson, Inc. Court Reporters/Video/Videoconferencing One Union Square 2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202 600 University St. Tacoma, WA 98403 Suite 2300 (253) 627-6401 (253) 383-4884 Fax scheduling@byersanderson.com Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 340-1316 www.byersanderson.com (800) 649-2034 Serving Washington's Legal Community since 1980

18 19 19 20 20 21 21 22 23 23 24 24 25 Page 3	1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 Jason P. Amala 4 Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala 4 Galo Bolinia Steet 5 Suite 500 5 Sattle WA. 98104 6 Seattle WA. 98104 7 Jason@povalaw.com 8 Erik L. Bauer 9 215 Tacoma Avenue South Tacoma, WA. 98402 10 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 253.383.2000 260.589.7225 Fax Broes@perkinscole.com 19 For Defendant Vilage Voice Media Holdings: 20 Daniel J. Culigley <tr< th=""><th>1 EXAMINATION INDEX 2 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE NO. 3 MR. AMALA 8 4 EXHIBIT INDEX 8 5 EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. 6 Exhibit No. 1 3-page notice of video 68 6 edeposition of Elizabeth 9 McDougali. 10 Exhibit No. 2 8-page subpoena and subpoena 76 11 Exhibit No. 3 80 pages of documents brought 76 12 in by MS. McDougal. 13 Exhibit No. 4 8-page declaration of 78 11 Exhibit No. 5 4-page declaration of 78 Ms. McDougal. 14 13 Exhibit No. 6 4-page Sattle Times opinion 80 16 14 stay pending resolution of the mackpage com is an ally in the fight against human trafficking." 18 15 Exhibit No. 6 Declaration of Ms. McDougall 95 19 16 submitted in support of the Backpage.com 112 12 17 To adverge accom 112 116 20 website, various Bates 113 24 21</th></tr<>	1 EXAMINATION INDEX 2 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE NO. 3 MR. AMALA 8 4 EXHIBIT INDEX 8 5 EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. 6 Exhibit No. 1 3-page notice of video 68 6 edeposition of Elizabeth 9 McDougali. 10 Exhibit No. 2 8-page subpoena and subpoena 76 11 Exhibit No. 3 80 pages of documents brought 76 12 in by MS. McDougal. 13 Exhibit No. 4 8-page declaration of 78 11 Exhibit No. 5 4-page declaration of 78 Ms. McDougal. 14 13 Exhibit No. 6 4-page Sattle Times opinion 80 16 14 stay pending resolution of the mackpage com is an ally in the fight against human trafficking." 18 15 Exhibit No. 6 Declaration of Ms. McDougall 95 19 16 submitted in support of the Backpage.com 112 12 17 To adverge accom 112 116 20 website, various Bates 113 24 21
25 25	14 Court Reporters & Video 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
	25	25

Г

1	BE IT REMEMBERED that on Tuesday,	¹ ELIZABETH McDOUGALL, having been first duly sworn
2	April 25, 2017, at 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900,	² by the Certified Court Reporter,
3	Seattle, Washington, at 9:54 a.m., before Terilynn	³ testified as follows:
4	Simons, Certified Court Reporter, CCR, RMR, CRR, CLR,	4
5	appeared ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL, the witness herein;	5 EXAMINATION
6	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings	⁶ BY MR. AMALA:
7	were had, to wit:	⁷ Q Would you please state and spell your full name for the
8		⁸ record?
9	<<<<< >>>>>	⁹ A My name is a Elizabeth McDougall, E-L-I-Z-A-B-E-T-H.
10		¹⁰ "McDougall" is spelled M-C-D-O-U-G-A-L-L.
11	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the	¹¹ Q Ms. McDougall, what is your date of birth?
12	record.	12 A
13	Please note that microphones are sensitive and may	¹³ Q Where do you currently reside?
14	pick up whispering and private conversations.	¹⁴ A With all the traveling I do, it's hard to say where I
15	Please turn off all cell phones or place them away	¹⁵ reside, but my house is in
16	from the microphones as they may interfere with the	¹⁶ Q Where do you consider to be your residence?
17	deposition audio.	¹⁷ A My official residence is my house in
18	Recording will continue until all parties agree to	18
19	go off the record.	¹⁹ Q Where were you born?
20	My name is Joel Winter, videographer for Byers &	
21	Anderson Court Reporters & Video, located at 600	²¹ Q Where did you grow up?
22	University Street, Suite 2300, Seattle, Washington 98101.	 A Mostly in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.
23	Phone is 206-340-1316.	 23 Q Did you go to high school?
24		²⁴ A I did.
25	Today is April 25th, 2017. The time is 9:54 a.m. This is the videotaped deposition of Elizabeth	²⁵ Q What high school did you go to?
20		
	Page 6	Page 8
1	McDougall being taken on behalf of the plaintiff in the	¹ A Fisher Park High School.
2	case of J.S. versus Village Voice Media Holdings LLC.	² Q Did you graduate?
3	Cause number is 12-2-11362-4.	³ A I did.
4	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201	⁴ Q What year did you graduate high school?
5	Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101, and	⁵ A I have no idea. Sorry.
6	will the attorneys please introduce themselves for the	 I'm not good with dates.
7	record.	⁷ Q Did you go on to college?
8	MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider on	⁸ A I did.
9	behalf of the defendants, corporate defendants.	⁹ Q Where did you go for college?
10	MS. ROOS: Breena Roos on behalf of	¹⁰ A I did my first year at the University of Western
11	the defendants.	¹¹ Ontario, and then I graduated from the University of
12	MR. RYAN: Stephen Ryan on behalf of	¹² Ottawa.
13	Ms. McDougall.	¹³ Q Why did you switch schools?
14	MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley on	 ¹⁴ A I took a break after my first year of college and
15	behalf of Village Voice Media Holdings.	 ¹⁵ decided to work and travel.
16	MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of	¹⁶ Q Where did you work during that one year?
17	Plaintiffs.	¹⁷ A I worked as a waitress and a bartender at TJ's
18	MR. BAUER: Erik Bauer on behalf of	 A Tworked as a wattess and a bartender at 15 s Speakeasy, and then that was for six months, and then
19	Plaintiffs.	
20	VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter	 ¹⁹ I went traveling in Europe and ended up staying in ²⁰ Europe about another six months after that.
20	•	
21	today is Terilynn Simons.	
22	Please swear in the witness and proceed with the	Jour
	deposition.	, in the stanger in the stanger of the standard stanger in the standard s
24 25		
20	////	than in the dorm, and it just wasn't a it wasn't a
	Page 7	Page 9

¹ positive experience.	1 let's get to the issues in the case.
² Q What was it that was a negative experience?	² Certainly you are entitled to her academic
³ A They had all been at the school for one to two years	 ³ background but not her innermost thoughts about why she
 4 previously and had their own social circles, and not 	 4 took a course in college.
⁵ living on campus, it was harder to develop a social	⁵ Why don't we move on.
⁶ circle.	6 MR. AMALA: Can you read that
 I wasn't interested in being in a sorority. 	7 question back?
 ⁸ I joined the sky diving club, but not many other 	8 (Question on Page 11, Line 22-
⁹ clubs.	⁹ 23 read by the reporter.)
¹⁰ Ultimately I just felt like it wasn't the right	10
¹¹ school for me.	11 THE WITNESS: When I decided on
¹² Q What year did you graduate college?	 sociology, my my first year of college, my major was
¹³ A I don't know.	 sociology, my major was going to be in psychology, and I found that that was not
	 really so interesting. It was much more introspective
¹⁷ Q Any other health issues that affect your memory?	
 18 A None that I'm aware of. 10 A Annual Annual Statistics that affect an annual Statistics of the statistics of	
¹⁹ Q Are you on any medication that affects your memory?	¹⁹ I was accepted into the sociology program, which
²⁰ A I took some Advil Cold & Sinus earlier this morning, but	 fit well with my interests. There was not a particular issue at that time that
 other than that, no. 22 O I for the data taken the Advit Oold & Discourse divises this 	
 Q If you hadn't taken the Advil Cold & Sinus medicine this morning would you be able to remember when you 	
	²³ Q (By Mr. Amala) After you graduated college, did you
²⁴ graduated high school and when you graduated college?	 continue on with additional education or did you start working?
²⁵ A Unlikely, but I can tell you the year I graduated law	
Page 10	Page 12
¹ school.	¹ A Immediately after graduating from college, I entered law
² Q After graduating college strike that.	² school.
³ What was your degree in for college?	³ Q Where did you go to law school?
⁴ A It was a bachelor of social science with a major in	⁴ A But I was working all the time through college.
⁵ sociology, magna cum laude.	⁵ I went my first year of law school was at the
⁶ Q Why did you choose to get those degrees?	6 University of Ottawa.
⁷ A I am interested in people and their interactions and the	7 Q It sounds I ke you switched law schools?
8 way society has and is evolving in its treatment of	⁸ A I did.
⁹ different cultures, different groups of people.	⁹ Q Where did you go next?
¹⁰ Q Can you give me an example?	¹⁰ A I went to New York University school of law.
¹¹ A I'm very interested currently in the integration of	¹¹ Q Why did you switch to New York University school of law?
¹² Muslim Americans, Muslim immigrants in the United	¹² A
¹³ States.	13
¹⁴ I am very interested in issues around people who	¹⁴ Initially we thought it would be for one year.
¹⁵ have suffered trauma and their integration back into	¹⁵ I took a one year break from the University of
¹⁶ society.	¹⁶ Ottawa to be with him.
¹⁷ With our current President, I am very concerned for	¹⁷ We learned that it was going to be very difficult
¹⁸ the treatment of people of color.	¹⁸ for him to get a medical license in Canada, and upon
¹⁹ I also, actually, more so previously, but was very	¹⁹ learning that, I applied to transfer to various law
²⁰ involved and concerned about discrimination and the	²⁰ schools in the United States.
²¹ treatment of people in the LGBTQ community.	²¹ Q Did you graduate from the New York University school of
²² Q When you were in college, what were the social issues	²² law?
23 that caused you to get a degree in sociology?	²³ A I did.
24 MR. STEVE: I object at this point.	²⁴ Q What year did you graduate?
²⁵ If you want to get to the issues in this case,	²⁵ A 1993.
Dara 11	Dama 41
Page 11	Page 13

 1 Q Did you have any disciplinary problems while you were in law school? 3 A No. 4 Q Have you ever been arrested? 5 A No. 6 Q Since you have never been arrested, I take it you have never been convicted of a crime? 8 A No. 9 Q What did you do with your life after you graduated from law school in 1993? 11 A That's a big question. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. 14 Can you break it down to some timeframe? 	 variety of things in environmental law, but my career quickly focused on internet-related law, cyber crimes, and intellectual property, as well as an ongoing pro bono practice with representing children representing casas who were representing children in dependency and parental termination rights cases. Also, I became involved and provided some pro bono support to the National Center for Missing & Exploited Children, and I became pro bono counsel for what then was known as the DNA Foundation, the Demi Moore and Ashton Kutcher Foundation, focused on the intersection of technology and child sex trafficking. I may have left out parts of my life, but I had
 ¹⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you go on to additional education after law school or did you start working? ¹⁷ A I started working. ¹⁸ Q Can you give me a thumbnail sketch of your employment ¹⁹ history after you graduated from law school? ²⁰ A I will do my best to make it a thumbnail. ²¹ Immediately after law school I was employed by the ²² law firm of Curtis Mallet-Prevost Colt & Mosle in New ²³ York City. ²⁴ I worked there primarily in the maritime law ²⁵ department doing both litigation and corporate 	 Q Let's go in reverse order. What was the work you described as being involved with online sex trafficking? A With respect to the pro bono work that I did for the DNA Foundation, that would be protected by the attorney-client privilege. I can tell you generally I helped in the evaluation of different strategic projects and plans that they were considering, as part of a technology group that included all of the major tech firms at the time: Facebook, Microsoft, Google, Craig's List, Twitter.
Page 14	Page 16
1transactional work in the maritime industry.2I also worked in the litigation group.3I also participated in the pro bono practice,4primarily doing it was civil rights work for people5who were HIV positive with the Gay Men's Health Crisis6Center in New York.7After about two and a half years in New York my8husband had had to move to rural North Carolina for9professional reasons, and I became pregnant, and so I10commuted for a while, and then I moved to North Carolina11where he was, and I found a position as a volunteer with12the Legal Services Center in Boone, North Carolina.13I worked there throughout my pregnancy and for a14number of months after the baby was born.15Then when our term in North Carolina was up, we16moved to Seattle, Washington.17I joined the law firm of Bogle & Gates.18I worked in the litigation department doing general19litigation as well as environmental litigation.20I continued in a pro bono practice there, primarily21representing, again a civil rights case, a woman22discriminated against because of her sexual orientation.23When Bogle & Gates dissolved in about March of241999, I moved to Perkins Coie and joined the litigation25department and continued to do a variety initially a	 1 Q Approximately what years did you do that work? 2 A This is my best guess. 3 As I said, I'm very bad with dates. 4 2007 2006, 2007 actually, no, it would have 5 been it would have been later than that, maybe 2008, 2009. 7 Q When you were first describing that work, you related it to online sex trafficking. 9 Why did you relate that work to online sex 10 trafficking? 11 A Because that's what it was related to. 12 Q Any particular segment of online sex trafficking? 13 A It was primarily related to the fact that traffickers were increasingly using technologies and new technologies, in a variety of ways, to traffic victims children, women, men, transgender and the goal was to use the technology that they were trying to use to turn it around and use it against them to both prevent their efforts and to be able to identify them and report them and provide evidence to law enforcement. Q When you were doing that work, do you know what the largest source of online sex trafficking was? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question.
Page 15	Page 17

	erall is the largest source of online sex trafficking.	¹ primarily religious-based ones at the time, accused
	Next to that it would be search engines.	² Craig's List of being as the largest source of online
	By Mr. Amala) Such as?	³ sex trafficking.
	/ell, the leading search engine, to my knowledge, is	⁴ I have no knowledge no personal knowledge as to
	oogle.	⁵ whether or not that was true.
	ouring the time that you did the work for the DNA	⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) When you started working for Craig's
	undation, do you know if any particular website was	7 List in 2008, did you do anything to determine whether
	e largest source of online sex trafficking ads in the	⁸ or not that was true?
	untry?	⁹ MR. SCHNEIDER: Same
	m sorry, I didn't hear the last part of your question.	¹⁰ MR. RYAN: Don't
	You know what, I should have it here.	¹¹ MR. SCHNEIDER: Go ahead.
12	MR. SCHNEIDER: You can ask for it to	¹² MR. RYAN: Please.
	read back or you can ask that it be restated.	¹³ MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection to the
14	THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't have	¹⁴ extent that question calls for the disclosure of
-	rsonal knowledge of that.	¹⁵ information received or given by you in the course of an
	By Mr. Amala) So if I went back and looked at your	¹⁶ attorney-client relationship.
	ork for the DNA Foundation, I wouldn't see any	¹⁷ Absent authorization from your former client to
	dication by the DNA Foundation indicating what website	¹⁸ disclose it, you are not to disclose it.
	the time was the largest source of online sex	¹⁹ THE WITNESS: Yeah, I believe that
	fficking ads in the country?	²⁰ would can I consult with counsel to determine whether
21	MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the	the answer to that may or may not be privileged?
	m of the question to the extent it calls for any	²² MR. AMALA: Sure.
	orney-client privileged information, which I presume	THE WITNESS: Excuse me for one
	s witness is not authorized to waive on behalf of any	²⁴ minute.
²⁵ pri	or or former client.	²⁵ VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record,
	Page 18	Page 20
1		¹ the time is 10.18 a m
	You can answer to the extent you would not disclose	
2 an	y privileged information.	2 (Recess 10:18 to 10:27 a.m.) 3
	THE WITNESS: I see that the question as, if you went back to look at the work at the DNA	4 VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,
	bundation, you wouldn't see any indication by the DNA	⁵ the time is 10:27 a.m.
	bundation, you wouldn't see any indication by the DNA	6 THE WITNESS: I apologize for that
	gest site of online sex trafficking ads I don't	⁷ break.
iai	ow what you would find throughout the records of the	 ⁸ I cannot answer that question based on the
	VA Foundation.	 attorney-client privilege and confidentiality.
	By Mr. Amala) You don't recall if during the time that	10 Q (By Mr. Amala) So you are declining to answer based on
Q (L	u worked for the DNA Foundation, whether Craig's List	¹¹ the attorney-client privilege?
	as known as the largest source of online sex	¹² A Yes.
	ifficking ads in the country?	¹³ Q Who did you work with at the DNA Foundation?
14	MR. RYAN: I am going to remind you	¹⁴ A I worked with a variety of people, and I don't recall
	at you have no right to waive the client privilege for	¹⁵ any of their names, except Demi Moore and Ashton
	at organization, and you could be held responsible if	¹⁶ Kutcher.
	u do, so you have to do this from public sources or	¹⁷ Q Earlier when you were describing your work for Perkins
-	nprivileged sources as you answer any question about	¹⁸ Coie, you indicated that your focus eventually became on
¹⁹ this		¹⁹ Internet-related law.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay. You should know,	²⁰ Can you tell us how your focus developed on
²¹ alc	ong those lines, in addition to representing the DNA	²¹ Internet-related law?
	oundation, beginning in 2008 I represented Craig's	²² A I was retained by a client, a technology client, to do
	st, so there will be privilege issues there as well.	 work that caused me to learn a lot about Internet law,
24	I know that at the time I worked for the DNA	²⁴ and that grew into an expertise.
	I know that at the time I worked for the DNA	²⁴ and that grew into an expertise.

Т

¹ publicly known?	¹ MR. RYAN: Why don't we do this in
² A Yes.	 two stages, why don't she just list cases that she can
³ Q Who was the client?	 recall, and then you can ask further questions, and we
4 MR. RYAN: Before you answer,	 will interpose objections as we see fit.
⁵ "publicly known," did you ever register in a public way	5 Does that work?
 or did you go to court for them? 	6 MR. AMALA: That works.
⁷ THE WITNESS: Yes.	 7 MR. RYAN: So you get the basis.
⁸ MR. RYAN: You can answer.	⁸ Q (By Mr. Amala) Go ahead.
9 THE WITNESS: Amazon.com.	⁹ MR. RYAN: The question pending is do
10 Q (By Mr. Amala) You said strike that.	 you remember specific cases that you made appearances
¹¹ You said that in approximately 2008 you started	¹¹ for Craig's List.
¹² doing work for Craig's List; is that correct?	12 THE WITNESS: I can't remember them
¹³ A Yes.	¹³ all.
¹⁴ Q What work did you do for Craig's List?	¹⁴ I can remember some.
¹⁵ MR. RYAN: Objection. I direct you	 ¹⁵ I represented Craig's List in a case I believe it
16 not to answer.	¹⁶ was in state court in New York.
¹⁷ MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection.	 I believe it was called Gibson versus Craig's List.
¹⁸ THE WITNESS: I decline to answer on	¹⁸ I also represented Craig's List in a case in
¹⁹ the basis of attorney-client privilege and	¹⁹ California. I can't remember off the top my head if it
²⁰ confidentiality.	 was state or federal court, called Scott P. versus
²¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Was your work for Craig's List ever	²¹ Craig's List.
²² publicly disclosed?	²² Then I represented Craig's List in approximately 26
23 A Some.	²³ other lawsuits filed, I believe, all in federal court.
²⁴ Q What aspect of your work for Craig's List was publicly	²⁴ I cannot remember the names of those cases.
²⁵ disclosed?	25 Q (By Mr. Amala) How is it that you remember there were
Page 22	Page 24
1 A When I appeared on pleadings or in court on their	¹ 26 of them?
 A When I appeared on pleadings or in court on their behalf. 	 26 of them? A Good luck.
	-
² behalf.	² A Good luck.
 2 behalf. ³ Q That is what I am trying to understand. 	 ² A Good luck. ³ Q What does that mean?
 2 behalf. 3 Q That is what I am trying to understand. 4 What was the subject matter of the pleadings and 	 ² A Good luck. ³ Q What does that mean? ⁴ A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? 7 MR. RYAN: You can describe any case 	 ² A Good luck. ³ Q What does that mean? ⁴ A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very ⁵ good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe.
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? 7 MR. RYAN: You can describe any case 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm.
 2 behalf. 3 Q That is what I am trying to understand. 4 What was the subject matter of the pleadings and 5 court appearances that you participated in for Craig's 6 List? 7 MR. RYAN: You can describe any case 8 that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, 9 civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case 10 further because the risk is that your description will 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe.
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the
 2 behalf. 3 Q That is what I am trying to understand. 4 What was the subject matter of the pleadings and 5 court appearances that you participated in for Craig's 6 List? 7 MR. RYAN: You can describe any case 8 that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, 9 civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case 10 further because the risk is that your description will 11 provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you 12 recall the location of the court, federal court, state 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter?
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information.
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to
 2 behalf. 3 Q That is what I am trying to understand. 4 What was the subject matter of the pleadings and 5 court appearances that you participated in for Craig's 6 List? 7 MR. RYAN: You can describe any case 8 that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, 9 civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case 10 further because the risk is that your description will 11 provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you 12 recall the location of the court, federal court, state 13 court, et cetera, if you recall. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15 MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that 16 limitation at all, so I am going to need you to indicate 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to do with different types trying to stop different types
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that limitation at all, so I am going to need you to indicate on the record what aspects of my question you are not 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to do with different types trying to stop different types of abuse and misuse of the classified advertising
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that limitation at all, so I am going to need you to indicate on the record what aspects of my question you are not answering because of attorney-client privilege because I 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to do with different types trying to stop different types of abuse and misuse of the classified advertising website.
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that limitation at all, so I am going to need you to indicate on the record what aspects of my question you are not answering because of attorney-client privilege because I do not agree that if you are in court or you are filing 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to do with different types trying to stop different types of abuse and misuse of the classified advertising website. Q (By Mr. Amala) Did any of those cases involve sex
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that limitation at all, so I am going to need you to indicate on the record what aspects of my question you are not answering because of attorney-client privilege because I do not agree that if you are in court or you are filing pleadings regarding certain subjects for Craig's List, 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to do with different types trying to stop different types of abuse and misuse of the classified advertising website. Q (By Mr. Amala) Did any of those cases involve sex trafficking?
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that limitation at all, so I am going to need you to indicate on the record what aspects of my question you are not answering because of attorney-client privilege because I do not agree that if you are in court or you are filing pleadings regarding certain subjects for Craig's List, that I can't ask you to tell me about what that work 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to do with different types trying to stop different types of abuse and misuse of the classified advertising website. Q (By Mr. Amala) Did any of those cases involve sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Same instruction.
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that limitation at all, so I am going to need you to indicate on the record what aspects of my question you are not answering because of attorney-client privilege because I do not agree that if you are in court or you are filing pleadings regarding certain subjects for Craig's List, that I can't ask you to tell me about what that work involved. 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to do with different types trying to stop different types of abuse and misuse of the classified advertising website. Q (By Mr. Amala) Did any of those cases involve sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Same instruction. THE WITNESS: I don't know and I
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that limitation at all, so I am going to need you to indicate on the record what aspects of my question you are not answering because of attorney-client privilege because I do not agree that if you are in court or you are filing pleadings regarding certain subjects for Craig's List, that I can't ask you to tell me about what that work involved. 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to do with different types trying to stop different types of abuse and misuse of the classified advertising website. Q (By Mr. Amala) Did any of those cases involve sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Same instruction. THE WITNESS: I don't know and I don't recall.
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that limitation at all, so I am going to need you to indicate on the record what aspects of my question you are not answering because of attorney-client privilege because I do not agree that if you are in court or you are filing pleadings regarding certain subjects for Craig's List, that I can't ask you to tell me about what that work involved. I am going to need you to make sure you are very clear today on when you are withholding information 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to do with different types trying to stop different types of abuse and misuse of the classified advertising website. Q (By Mr. Amala) Did any of those cases involve sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Same instruction. THE WITNESS: I don't know and I don't recall. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you recall if you ever did any work
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that limitation at all, so I am going to need you to indicate on the record what aspects of my question you are not answering because of attorney-client privilege because I do not agree that if you are in court or you are filing pleadings regarding certain subjects for Craig's List, that I can't ask you to tell me about what that work involved. 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to do with different types trying to stop different types of abuse and misuse of the classified advertising website. Q (By Mr. Amala) Did any of those cases involve sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Same instruction. THE WITNESS: I don't know and I don't recall.
 behalf. Q That is what I am trying to understand. What was the subject matter of the pleadings and court appearances that you participated in for Craig's List? MR. RYAN: You can describe any case that you recall in the sense of the name of the case, civil, criminal, but you are not to describe the case further because the risk is that your description will provide a secret, but you can identify the case, if you recall the location of the court, federal court, state court, et cetera, if you recall. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. AMALA: I don't agree with that limitation at all, so I am going to need you to indicate on the record what aspects of my question you are not answering because of attorney-client privilege because I do not agree that if you are in court or you are filing pleadings regarding certain subjects for Craig's List, that I can't ask you to tell me about what that work involved. I am going to need you to make sure you are very clear today on when you are withholding information 	 A Good luck. Q What does that mean? A It means that I think I've displayed that I'm not very good with dates or with numbers, but 26 is something that I recall. MR. SCHNEIDER: For the record, the testimony was "approximately," I believe. THE WITNESS: Mm-hm. Q (By Mr. Amala) If I was to review the court pleadings for those 26 lawsuits in federal court, what was the general subject matter? MR. RYAN: You may answer without disclosing any privileged information. THE WITNESS: Okay. They all had to do with different types trying to stop different types of abuse and misuse of the classified advertising website. Q (By Mr. Amala) Did any of those cases involve sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Same instruction. THE WITNESS: I don't know and I don't recall. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you recall if you ever did any work

¹ you were working on a case involving sex trafficking?	¹ If there's a nonpublic matter, you can't disclose
² MR. RYAN: Same instruction with	2 it.
³ regard to do not disclose privileged information, but	³ THE WITNESS: Understood.
⁴ you may otherwise answer.	4 MR. RYAN: If there is a public
5 THE WITNESS: I do not recall if I	⁵ matter, you may disclose it.
⁶ ever did any work for Craig's List where it was publicly	6 MR. SCHNEIDER: And I will object to
7 disclosed that I was working on a case involving sex	⁷ the form of the question.
⁸ trafficking.	8 THE WITNESS: Could you be more
⁹ Q (By Mr. Amala) What was the Gibson case about?	9 specific with your question?
¹⁰ MR. RYAN: Same instruction.	¹⁰ You said "tell me about those efforts."
¹¹ THE WITNESS: The complaint filed by	¹¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) You said that you have publicly
¹² Mr. Gibson sought to hold Craig's List liable for	¹² disclosed that you did work for Craig's List on alleged
¹³ injuries Mr. Gibson suffered when he was shot by a man	13 sex trafficking involving its website, correct?
¹⁴ who had allegedly purchased a gun on Craig's List.	¹⁴ A I think so.
¹⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) What was the Scott P. case about?	¹⁵ It's scrolled off, but I believe so. (Indicating.)
¹⁶ MR. RYAN: Same instruction.	¹⁶ Q I want to understand, what information have you publicly
17 THE WITNESS: The only thing I recall	¹⁷ disclosed regarding your work on alleged sex trafficking
¹⁸ about the Scott P. case was that there was an employment	¹⁸ involving Craig's List?
¹⁹ component to it.	¹⁹ A In I believe it was September 2010, I testified before
²⁰ It also involved strike that. Sorry.	20 the House Judiciary Committee on behalf of Craig's List
²¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did the Scott P. case involve any	²¹ in a hearing on domestic minor sex trafficking.
²² allegations of sex trafficking?	²² Q What did you tell the Senate?
²³ MR. RYAN: Same instruction.	²³ Was it the Senate or the House?
24 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so.	24 MR. SCHNEIDER: Testimony was
25 Q $$ (By Mr. Amala) And sitting here today, you don't recall	²⁵ "House."
Page 26	Page 28
¹ whether or not any of those approximately 26 other	1 THE WITNESS: House
² lawsuits that were filed in federal court against	² Q (By Mr. Amala) What did you tell the House?
³ Craig's List involved sex trafficking?	³ MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of
4 MR. RYAN: Same instruction.	⁴ the question.
5 THE WITNESS: I don't know if they	⁵ The testimony was House Judiciary Committee.
⁶ did.	⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) What did you tell the House Judiciary
⁷ Q (By Mr. Amala) My question assumed that they were filed	7 Committee?
⁸ against Craig's List, so kind of a broader question:	⁸ A I don't recall.
⁹ Do you recall whether or not any of those	⁹ Q Did you receive the permission of Craig's List to
¹⁰ approximately 26 other lawsuits involved allegations, by	¹⁰ testify before the House Judiciary Committee?
¹¹ either the plaintiff or the defendant, regarding sex	¹¹ MR. RYAN: You can answer that "yes"
¹² trafficking?	¹² or "no" without describing further.
¹³ A The cases were all filed by Craig's List.	¹³ THE WITNESS: Yes.
¹⁴ Q Have you ever publicly disclosed that you did work for	¹⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) When did you personally first become
¹⁵ Craig's List regarding child sex trafficking on	¹⁵ aware that people were using the Internet for sex
¹⁶ craigslist.com?	¹⁶ trafficking?
¹⁷ A I've publicly disclosed that I did work for Craig's List	¹⁷ MR. RYAN: You have to answer that
18 regarding alleged child sex trafficking on	¹⁸ from nonprivileged work.
¹⁹ craigslist.com.	¹⁹ THE WITNESS: Understood.
20 Q Okay. Tell me about those efforts.	²⁰ My best estimation is 2005 or 2006.
²¹ MR. RYAN: Hold on.	²¹ It is my "best estimation" (Indicating.)
 ²² I am instructing you not to disclose any privileged 	22 COURT REPORTER: It will get fixed.
²³ information.	²³ MR. SCHNEIDER: That is, by
²⁴ That also includes the attorney work product	²⁴ definition, a draft, so the particular word might not be
²⁵ doctrine as well as the attorney-client privilege.	²⁵ the same as it will be in the final. (Indicating.)
Page 27	Page 29

T

1 THE WITNESS: Okay.	¹ time
² MR. SCHNEIDER: And I have high	² MR. RYAN: If this was a client
³ expectations and confidence that the final will be the	 ³ conversation, you cannot relate it.
 4 actual word you used. 	 If it was even a mix of client and personal
5 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.	 interest on his part or your part, it is privileged.
6 MR. SCHNEIDER: So don't get	6 THE WITNESS: Okay.
 ⁷ distracted by it. 	 7 MR. RYAN: You have a duty of loyalty
8 THE WITNESS: I didn't mean to tell	8 and a duty not to disclose client secrets, and you have
9 you how to do your job. I'm sorry.	⁹ to follow that.
¹⁰ MR. AMALA: Teri is arguably the	¹⁰ THE WITNESS: Okay. Pursuant to that
¹¹ best.	¹¹ advice, I have to decline to answer the question based
¹² Q (By Mr. Amala) Just because you looked a few times and	¹² on attorney-client privilege.
¹³ we have a clear record, Ms. McDougall, you actually have	¹³ Q (By Mr. Amala) Was Mr. Cranton a client of yours when
 a laptop next to you today, that I don't know if it 	¹⁴ he first talked with you about the issue of children
 shows up on the videotape, but you are actually 	¹⁵ being sold for sex online?
¹⁶ reviewing my questions as I ask them and also reviewing	-
your answers, correct?	 MR. RYAN: If he was working for Microsoft and you perceived him as a client, in a
	 ¹⁸ corporation, you may not, you know how you define the
 A Not with respect to every question and answer, but yes, it's available to me, and I do consult it at times. 	 client if he's part of the client in any way, then you
²⁰ Q And Counsel pointed out it's a draft of the transcript	 ¹⁰ client- in the spart of the client in any way, then you ²⁰ have to define it that way.
²¹ in realtime so you are seeing my questions or at least	······
	²² Q (By Mr. Amala) He was a client at the time?
 court reporter, to the extent this is a draft, correct? A Yes. 	 A To my recollection, yes. A N/by did you observe to do work for the DNA Foundation?
	 Q Why did you choose to do work for the DNA Foundation? A I learned that they had a need for counsel, and I spoke
25 Q You are also able to occasionally look at the answers	A Theamed that they had a need for coursel, and i spoke
Page 30	Page 32
¹ that you give to my questions in realtime as you are	¹ with one of the individuals who was organizing and
² giving them, correct?	² overseeing the foundation
³ A Yes.	³ MR. RYAN: I think if you are talking
⁴ Q You said that in approximately 2005 or 2006 is when you	4 to us about someone your conversations with the
⁵ believe you first learned that people were using the	⁵ client. You really can't do that.
6 Internet for sex trafficking.	6 THE WITNESS: Okay. I learned about
⁷ How was it that you first learned of that issue?	⁷ the foundation. I learned that they had a need for
⁸ MR. RYAN: Again, you have to do it	⁸ counsel with my particular expertise, and I volunteered
9 from nonprivileged sources.	⁹ my services.
10 THE WITNESS: As I recall your	¹⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) What I am trying to understand is, you
¹¹ question, it was how I learned of child sex trafficking.	¹¹ as a lawyer, worked at, probably at the time, the
¹² I don't recall you saying "sex trafficking in the	¹² largest law firm in Seattle or at least one of them. I
¹³ Internet," but in any event, I learned of it through a	¹³ assume you could have the pick of the litter as far as
¹⁴ friend who worked with a nonprofit involved with those	¹⁴ the work you did.
¹⁵ issues.	¹⁵ Is that fair?
¹⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Who was your friend?	16 A No.
¹⁷ A Tim Cranton.	¹⁷ Q Were you hurting for work when you chose to start
 ¹⁸ Q And what was the nonprofit? 	18 working for the DNA Foundation?
¹⁹ A The International Center for Missing & Exploited	¹⁹ A No.
²⁰ Children.	20 Q So at some level you had a choice as to whether or not
²¹ Q So why is it that you had a conversation with	 21 you would do work for the DNA Foundation, correct?
²² Mr. Cranton about the issue of children being sold for	 ²¹ you would do work for the Diver Foundation, correct? ²² A It was pro bono work, and Perkins Coie was very libera
 sex online? 	 ²² A fit was probono work, and Perkins Cole was very liberal ²³ about allowing us to choose our pro bono work.
 ²⁴ A I'm pausing only to try to sort out he worked for 	 ²³ about allowing us to choose our pro bono work. ²⁴ Q That's what I'm trying to understand, why did you choose
²⁵ Microsoft, and Microsoft was a client of mine at the	 ²⁴ Q That's what the trying to understand, why did you choose ²⁵ to do this particular pro bono work?
Page 31	Page 33

¹ A Because it was a cause that interested me and I felt	¹ Why is it that you chose to start doing work for
² passionate about.	² the DNA Foundation, and you said that's because you
³ I believe that I had the skill and expertise to be	³ believe that people have the right to live free of
⁴ able to help them.	4 violence and free of exploitation.
⁵ Q What was the cause?	5 Am I tracking you so far?
⁶ A Sex trafficking in the United States.	⁶ A I believe maybe not word for word, but conceptually,
7 Q Was it in particular sex trafficking in the United	⁷ yes.
8 States online?	⁸ Q Okay. And you said you started to do work for the DNA
⁹ A I'm sorry, Jason	⁹ Foundation because this is one area where violations of
¹⁰ Q Was it more focused than that?	¹⁰ those rights occur.
¹¹ Was it your ability to help combat child sex	¹¹ Is that correct?
¹² trafficking on the Internet?	¹² A The DNA Foundation is not a place where those violations
¹³ A I believe that my particular expertise in technology and	¹³ occur.
¹⁴ Internet law and cyber crimes would benefit any efforts	¹⁴ Q But online is one of the areas where violations of those
¹⁵ to help combat the use of technologies in relation to	¹⁵ rights occur, correct?
¹⁶ sex trafficking and human trafficking overall.	¹⁶ A Technology.
¹⁷ Q Why were you passionate about helping to prevent online	¹⁷ Q And you understood the DNA Foundation was taking steps
18 sex trafficking of children?	¹⁸ to try to address those violations online, correct?
¹⁹ A I'm passionate about the rights of all human beings to	¹⁹ A I don't think I can answer that based on privilege.
²⁰ be able to live free of violence and exploitation.	²⁰ Q So you are not going to answer that based on privilege?
²¹ This is one area where I believe that violations of	²¹ A lam not.
²² those things occur, and as I said, I had the I believe	²² Qgoing to answer that based on privilege?
²³ the skills, and at least relevant expertise, to be able	²³ A Correct.
²⁴ to stop that.	²⁴ Q This is when we're off the record.
²⁵ Q Why do you say "this is one area where violations of the	²⁵ We'll get the hang of it, but I just need to make
Page 34	Page 36
light to be need of violence and exploitation. Was	
 ² occurring? ³ A Because there are more than one. 	 ² based on privilege. ³ A Okay.
	4 Q That's why.
 ⁴ Q Tell me what you mean by that. ⁵ A Violence and exploitation of human beings is occurring 	
 all over the world all the time. 	 I don't think I can, I just need you to affirmatively state, "I won't answer it because of
 One person can't fight every battle. 	⁷ privilege."
⁸ Q But why is it that you said "this is one area where the	⁸ A Got it. Sorry.
 violations of the right to be free from violence and 	9 MR. SCHNEIDER: The witness
10 free from exploitation occurs"?	¹⁰ understood your question to call for the disclosure of
¹¹ A I said it's one area because there are many, many areas,	 information that would be attorney-client privileged,
¹² and I was identifying one.	¹² and once she has identified that what I will call the
13 Q How is it that you knew at the time that this was an	¹³ defect in the guestion, she is not going to answer, and
14 area where violations of the right to be free of	 follow-up questions are not going to change that.
 violence and free of exploitation was occurring? 	15 Q (By Mr. Amala) How long did you do work for Craig's
¹⁶ MR. RYAN: Again, you have to do this	¹⁶ List?
¹⁷ from nonprivileged sources, if you can.	¹⁷ A You are asking me to do some mental math, so just bear
¹⁸ If you can't, then you don't have an answer.	¹⁸ with me here.
¹⁹ THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, you said	¹⁹ Approximately three years.
²⁰ "How is it that you knew at the time that this was an	²⁰ Q What is the approximate timeframe of those three years?
 area where violations of the right to be free of 	²¹ A About the summer of 2008 through 2011.
 violence and free of exploitation was occurring?" 	²² Q Were you working for Craig's List when it shut down the
 ²³ I lost track of it. 	²³ adult section of the website?
²⁴ At what time are you referring?	²⁴ MR. SCHNEIDER: You are asking for a
 ²⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) So my original question is: 	²⁵ milestone in the duration she has testified as to when
Page 35	Page 37

1 she was engaged by Craig's List?	¹ secrets that you learned representing Craig's List.
² You can answer if you have a recollection that such	² That includes everything you learned there.
³ an event occurred during the period 2008 through 2011,	³ You know, if there is any risk of you disclosing
4 "yes" or "no."	⁴ that secret, you can't answer it.
5 THE WITNESS: Yes.	⁵ It's that simple.
⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) During the time that you worked for	⁶ You have a duty as a lawyer not to disclose their
7 Craig's List, do you know if the company had any	⁷ secrets.
8 policies and procedures in place to prevent children	8 MR. AMALA: I strongly disagree with
9 from being sold for sex on the website?	⁹ that characterization of the law, at least as far as
¹⁰ MR. RYAN: Objection. It calls for	¹⁰ Washington Courts apply it.
¹¹ privileged information.	11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will also point out
¹² Unless you have a nonprivileged source for that	¹² that in aid of my objection to the form of the question,
¹³ information, and you have been exposed to privileged	¹³ the testimony so far is that the only involvement with
¹⁴ information, you cannot answer.	¹⁴ regard to Craig's List was testimony given to the House
15 THE WITNESS: I decline to answer	¹⁵ Judiciary Committee, so your statement that she
¹⁶ based on privilege.	¹⁶ obviously was doing other things is outside any record
¹⁷ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you ever post ads on Craig's List	¹⁷ that's been made so far.
¹⁸ when you were working for the company?	18 THE WITNESS: I also need to make a
¹⁹ MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection to the form	¹⁹ MR. RYAN: There's no question
²⁰ of the question.	²⁰ pending.
21 THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	²¹ There is no question pending.
²² Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you ever watch someone else post ads	²² Let him put a new question to you.
²³ on craigslist.com when you were working for the company?	²³ Q (By Mr. Amala) Go ahead and say what you wanted to say.
²⁴ MR. SCHNEIDER: You are asking	²⁴ A I just want to make a correction on the record that I
²⁵ outside of her work as an attorney	²⁵ don't actually recall ever posting something on Craig's
Page 38	Page 40
¹ MR. AMALA: Here is my problem	¹ List.
² Q (By Mr. Amala) Here is my problem:	 ² I've purchased things from Craig's List.
³ You worked for the company for three years. You	³ Q When you were working for Craig's List, did any of your
 obviously did work involving sex trafficking stuff. 	4 work involve how the company strike that.
 You are being instructed not to answer my questions 	⁵ While you were working for Craig's List, did any of
 regarding whether the company had policies and 	 your work involve the company's policies and procedures
 regarding whether the company had policies and procedures in place. 	your work interve are company o ponoice and procedures
8 Locauma that the company had policies and	Man regard to duo that might be for orma box
⁸ I assume that the company had policies and	⁸ trafficking?
⁹ procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so	 ⁸ trafficking? ⁹ MR. RYAN: Objection.
 ⁹ procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so ¹⁰ that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine.
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, so that's what I'm trying to get at with some of these 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising your voice isn't going to be productive
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, so that's what I'm trying to get at with some of these questions. 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising your voice isn't going to be productive MR. RYAN: If you want to waste your
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, so that's what I'm trying to get at with some of these questions. You being instructed just not to answer because you 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising your voice isn't going to be productive MR. RYAN: If you want to waste your time
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, so that's what I'm trying to get at with some of these questions. You being instructed just not to answer because you worked for the company isn't going to work, so we have 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising your voice isn't going to be productive MR. RYAN: If you want to waste your time MR. AMALA: So keep your voice down.
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, so that's what I'm trying to get at with some of these questions. You being instructed just not to answer because you worked for the company isn't going to work, so we have to figure out a way to get there. 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising your voice isn't going to be productive MR. RYAN: If you want to waste your time MR. AMALA: So keep your voice down. MR. RYAN: That's fine.
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, so that's what I'm trying to get at with some of these questions. You being instructed just not to answer because you worked for the company isn't going to work, so we have to figure out a way to get there. 21 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising your voice isn't going to be productive MR. RYAN: If you want to waste your time MR. AMALA: So keep your voice down. MR. RYAN: That's fine. MR. AMALA: That's fine.
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, so that's what I'm trying to get at with some of these questions. You being instructed just not to answer because you worked for the company isn't going to work, so we have to figure out a way to get there. MR. SCHNEIDER: That's not the 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising your voice isn't going to be productive MR. RYAN: If you want to waste your time MR. AMALA: So keep your voice down. MR. RYAN: That's fine. MR. AMALA: Talk like a normal gentleman and say your objections, but I have to set my
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, so that's what I'm trying to get at with some of these questions. You being instructed just not to answer because you worked for the company isn't going to work, so we have to figure out a way to get there. MR. SCHNEIDER: That's not the basis 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising your voice isn't going to be productive MR. RYAN: If you want to waste your time MR. AMALA: So keep your voice down. MR. RYAN: That's fine. MR. AMALA: Talk like a normal gentleman and say your objections, but I have to set my record, so
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, so that's what I'm trying to get at with some of these questions. You being instructed just not to answer because you worked for the company isn't going to work, so we have to figure out a way to get there. MR. SCHNEIDER: That's not the basis MR. RYAN: That whole syllogism was fraught with problems. 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising your voice isn't going to be productive MR. RYAN: If you want to waste your time MR. AMALA: So keep your voice down. MR. RYAN: That's fine. MR. AMALA: Talk like a normal gentleman and say your objections, but I have to set my record, so MR. RYAN: You interrupted me.
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, so that's what I'm trying to get at with some of these questions. You being instructed just not to answer because you worked for the company isn't going to work, so we have to figure out a way to get there. MR. SCHNEIDER: That's not the basis 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising your voice isn't going to be productive MR. RYAN: If you want to waste your time MR. AMALA: So keep your voice down. MR. RYAN: That's fine. MR. AMALA: Talk like a normal gentleman and say your objections, but I have to set my record, so
 procedures in place that were disclosed publicly, so that's my struggle I'm having, because you are being instructed not to answer questions where I assume you went through a website and saw the process that was used or you know what policies and procedures were publicly known that the company had in place, for example, when you testified in front of the House Judiciary Committee, so that's what I'm trying to get at with some of these questions. You being instructed just not to answer because you worked for the company isn't going to work, so we have to figure out a way to get there. MR. SCHNEIDER: That's not the basis MR. RYAN: That whole syllogism was fraught with problems. 	 trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection. We can go on a long time today on this MR. AMALA: We are going to go a long time because I asked some direct questions MR. RYAN: That's fine. If you want to MR. AMALA: See, one thing is raising your voice isn't going to be productive MR. RYAN: If you want to waste your time MR. AMALA: So keep your voice down. MR. RYAN: That's fine. MR. AMALA: Talk like a normal gentleman and say your objections, but I have to set my record, so MR. RYAN: You interrupted me.

¹ so I am asking you to please be professional.	¹ communication, but I don't know how you handle that
² You are here in our state. I assume you put in a	² here, quite honestly.
³ pro hac vice application, if it hasn't already been	³ MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, as stated, the
⁴ granted, and one of the things we do here is act	⁴ question may call for the disclosure of attorney-client
⁵ professionally.	⁵ privileged information, and you can answer so long as
⁶ We don't raise our voices and get agitated, and	⁶ you don't waive, on behalf of Craig's List, any such
7 threats about going on all day, I will do that to make	⁷ privilege.
⁸ my record, so keep calm	8 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I
9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Are you done?	⁹ understand what you said.
¹⁰ MR. AMALA: Let's keep concise	¹⁰ MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, the privilege
¹¹ objections and let me make my record, and let's not	¹¹ belongs to your former client, Craig's List.
¹² coach the witness with your objections.	¹² It is not yours to waive or compromise.
¹³ Q (By Mr. Amala) Go ahead.	¹³ To the extent any information came to you in the
¹⁴ MR. RYAN: Are you done?	¹⁴ attorney-client relationship, which was for the purpose
¹⁵ I think it was unprofessional to interrupt me, but	¹⁵ of receiving or providing legal advice, and was not
¹⁶ that happens, and I have my deepest respect for every	¹⁶ shared outside that relationship, you are not to
 member of the bar until proven otherwise, and you 	¹⁷ disclose it.
 ¹⁸ haven't proven otherwise. 	¹⁸ THE WITNESS: Jason, I decline to
¹⁹ On the other hand, you're asking for privileged	¹⁹ answer that question based on attorney-client privilege,
²⁰ information that she cannot disclose, and we have	²⁰ but for the sake of time, instead of taking a break now,
 already established that by the prior question, so you 	²¹ when we take a break, I'll discuss it with my counsel,
 22 can go into this area all you want. 	 and if I think there's that the privilege doesn't
 ²³ I have to say then the same instruction applies, 	 and if turning there see that the privilege doesn't apply, then I would be glad to answer your question.
 that you cannot disclose the secrets of your client or 	²⁴ MR. SCHNEIDER: For planning
²⁵ the information that you obtained there.	²⁵ purposes, we will take a morning recess, and I think
	purposes, we will take a morning recess, and i tillik
Page 42	Page 44
¹ If you can answer his question without disclosing	
	 that given we have less than an hour left in the morning, we should do it now.
 those secrets, please go ahead. Otherwise, you are so instructed. 	
4 THE WITNESS: Thank you.	 MR. AMALA: Yes, I agree. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record,
⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) Are you declining to answer my question	⁵ the time is 11:03 a.m.
 based on attorney-client privilege? 	6 (Recess 11:03 to 11:25 a.m.)
 7 A I need you to repeat the question, please. 	7
⁸ MR. AMALA: Go ahead.	⁸ VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,
9 (Question on Page 41, Line 5-	⁹ the time is 11:25 a.m.
¹⁰ 8 read by the reporter.)	¹⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe my last
11	¹¹ question is whether anyone from Craig's List ever asked
12 THE WITNESS: I decline to answer	 12 you to help them commit a crime.
 based on the attorney-client privilege. 	¹³ Did they?
¹⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) While you were working for Craig's List,	¹⁴ A That would be privileged information, and I decline to
¹⁵ did you ever do anything to familiarize yourself with	¹⁵ answer on that basis.
¹⁶ the process for posting an ad on the website?	16 Q Did you ever help anyone from Craig's List commit a
¹⁷ MR. RYAN: Same objection.	¹⁷ crime?
¹⁸ THE WITNESS: I decline to answer	¹⁸ MR. RYAN: You may answer.
¹⁹ based on the attorney-client privilege.	¹⁹ THE WITNESS: No.
²⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did anyone from Craig's List ever ask	²⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever helped anyone from
²¹ you to help them commit a crime?	²¹ Backpage.com commit a crime?
 you to help them commit a crime? MR. RYAN: Same objection, and to the 	 ²¹ Backpage.com commit a crime? ²² A No.
 you to help them commit a crime? MR. RYAN: Same objection, and to the degree that I don't know the law in Washington I 	 Backpage.com commit a crime? A No. You know, as I think I apologize.
 you to help them commit a crime? MR. RYAN: Same objection, and to the degree that I don't know the law in Washington I certainly don't on crime-fraud exception we can 	 21 Backpage.com commit a crime? 22 A No. 23 You know, as I think I apologize. 24 As I think about it, your question, I believe my
 you to help them commit a crime? MR. RYAN: Same objection, and to the degree that I don't know the law in Washington I certainly don't on crime-fraud exception we can 	 21 Backpage.com commit a crime? 22 A No. 23 You know, as I think I apologize. 24 As I think about it, your question, I believe my

¹ ever asked you to help them commit a crime."	doesn't protect a lawyer if the lawyer has helped the
2 MR. SCHNEIDER: Any objection to her	² client commit a crime, correct?
³ answering that?	³ MR. RYAN: I apologize, I just got
4 MR. RYAN: No.	4 distracted.
5 THE WITNESS: So the answer to that	⁵ Let me just read the question.
⁶ question I apologize for my confusion in this area.	6 Well, it calls for a legal conclusion, but she's a
7 My answer to that would be "no."	⁷ lawyer, so if you can answer in a non Backpage context,
⁸ Q (By Mr. Amala) In January of this year you	⁸ then answer.
⁹ A I'm sorry?	⁹ If it's in the context of a particular decision
¹⁰ Q In January of this year you testified before the U.S.	¹⁰ that she was making with regard to that appearance, then
¹¹ Senate, correct?	¹¹ don't answer.
¹² A Yes.	¹² I am not sure of the context of your question.
¹³ Q And when you were asked questions by the U.S. Senate,	¹³ If you could make it clear, are you asking about
¹⁴ you pled the Fifth Amendment, correct?	¹⁴ her decision not to testify or are you talking about her
¹⁵ A I pled the Fifth Amendment, the First Amendment, and	¹⁵ knowledge of the attorney-client privilege?
¹⁶ where applicable, the attorney-client and other	¹⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Let's start with the general
¹⁷ applicable common law privileges.	17 understanding.
¹⁸ Q You understand that in a criminal proceeding, pleading	 You understand that the attorney-client privilege does not cover communications with a client that are
¹⁹ the Fifth Amendment is the right not to incriminate	
20 yourself, correct?	 undertaken in furtherance of a crime, correct? Libeliaus that a correct
²¹ A I am not a criminal lawyer ²² MR RYAN: Objection: calls for a	 A I believe that's correct. I besitate on the word "furtherance." but
9	
de a langer, bat il yeu ean allever l'hat, ge alleau alla	 Q And you are declining to tell me why you pled the Fifth Amendment in response to questions by the U.S. Senate
²⁵ answer it.	²⁵ Amendment in response to questions by the U.S. Senate
Page 46	Page 48
¹ THE WITNESS: That would actually	¹ because of the attorney-client privilege; is that
² call for privileged information.	² correct?
³ Q(By Mr. Amala)You are telling me you don't have any	³ A That is correct, as well as the First Amendment and
4 personal knowledge as to whether or not the indication	⁴ the sorry.
⁵ of the protections of the Fifth Amendment reflects the	5 MR. RYAN: That's correct.
⁶ right not to incriminate yourself?	6 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, so my answer
7 A I believe that I learned that, but you asked it in the	⁷ should have been "yes, that's correct."
⁸ context of the Senate hearing.	⁸ Q (By Mr. Amala) Are you also invoking the Fifth
⁹ In that context, my information any information I	⁹ Amendment?
¹⁰ have would be from privileged communications.	¹⁰ MR. RYAN: I'm sorry, I object to the
¹¹ Q Why did you plead the Fifth Amendment	¹¹ form of the question.
¹² MR. RYAN: Objection.	¹² The question is unintellig ble to me, but the
¹³ Go ahead.	¹³ witness may be able to answer it.
¹⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, why did you plead the	14 THE WITNESS: No, I don't understand
¹⁵ Fifth Amendment when you were asked questions by the	¹⁵ the question.
¹⁶ U.S. Senate in January this year?	¹⁶ Could you clarify, please?
¹⁷ MR. RYAN: Objection. I think it	¹⁷ Q (By Mr. Amala) When you appeared before the U.S. Senate
18 calls for disclosure of attorney-client communications.	¹⁸ on January 10th, 2017, Senator McCaskill asked you
19 THE WITNESS: And I decline to answer	¹⁹ whether or not you believe that the First Amendment
²⁰ based on attorney-client privilege.	²⁰ protects Backpage.com if it stripped out words like
²¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you decline to answer questions	²¹ "rape" and "little girl" from ads before publication,
²² based on the Fifth Amendment because providing answers	²² and in response to that question you pled the Fifth
²³ would incriminate you?	Amendment; is that correct?
²⁴ A I declined to answer based on attorney-client privilege.	24 A I don't as I sit here, I don't specifically recall on
²⁵ Q You understand that the attorney-client privilege	²⁵ each of the questions that I was asked, but I pled the
Page 47	Page 49

¹ I asserted my Fifth Amendment rights, I asserted the	¹ position to answer.
 First Amendment, and I asserted attorney-client 	² MR. AMALA: I am not going to
³ privilege where applicable in response to each of the	³ withdraw the question but agree if that's the issue,
 questions I was asked, other than the preliminary name, 	 4 then we can take a break.
	⁵ MR. RYAN: Thanks.
⁶ Q Why did you plead the Fifth Amendment in response to	
7 that question by Senator McCaskill?	⁷ the time is 11:34 a.m.
8 MR. RYAN: Objection. It calls for	8 (Recess 11:34 to 11:51 a.m.)
9 privileged communications.	9
¹⁰ If you can answer outside of privileged	¹⁰ VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record.
¹¹ communications, you go right ahead.	¹¹ The time is 11:51 a.m.
12 THE WITNESS: I decline to answer	¹² MR. AMALA: Can you read back the
¹³ based on attorney-client privilege.	¹³ last question, please?
¹⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) Are you also declining to answer based	¹⁴ (Question on Page 50, Line 23-
¹⁵ on the Fifth Amendment?	¹⁵ 25 and Page 51, Line 1 read
¹⁶ A Am I declining to answer your question today based on	¹⁶ by the reporter.)
¹⁷ the Fifth Amendment?	17
¹⁸ Q Correct.	¹⁸ THE WITNESS: I decline to answer
¹⁹ MR. RYAN: Objection. It calls for a	¹⁹ that question based on the attorney-client privilege.
²⁰ legal conclusion.	²⁰ MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the
²¹ You may answer.	²¹ form of the question as phrased, asking for her belief.
²² THE WITNESS: No.	²² Q (By Mr. Amala) Are you refusing to answer my question
²³ Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you believe that the First Amendment	 ²³ based on the Fifth Amendment?
 protects Backpage.com from liability if it was stripping 	24 A No.
 ²⁵ out words like "rape" and "little girl" from ads before 	
20 Out words like rape and little girl from ads before	²⁵ Q Ms. McDougall, do you believe that Backpage.com is
Page 50	Page 52
¹ they were published on the website?	¹ entitled to immunity under Section 230 of the
² MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for a	² Communications Decency Act for ads where it deleted the
³ legal conclusion.	³ words "rape" or "little girl"?
4 MR. SCHNEIDER: We will also object	4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of
⁵ to the form of the question so far as it may call for	⁵ the question.
⁶ attorney-client privileged information and work product.	⁶ THE WITNESS: I have to decline to
 THE WITNESS: Harry, I'm sorry, I'm 	7 answer that question based on the attorney-client
⁸ not clear	⁸ privilege.
⁹ MR. RYAN: Do you want to talk to	⁹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Another question that Senator McCaskill
¹⁰ your lawyer outside the room for a moment?	
	by removing evidence of criminality when Backpage filed its height in the First Original and an
13 MR. BAUER: Not while a question is	13 its briefs in the First Circuit case under your
14 pending.	14 supervision.
¹⁵ MR. SCHNEIDER: With regard to	¹⁵ MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.
¹⁶ matters of attorney-client privilege, I think that's not	¹⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Were you aware of that practice at the
¹⁷ accurate.	¹⁷ time?
¹⁸ If you have a question or wish to have a	¹⁸ A I have to decline to answer the question based on the
¹⁹ conversation with your counsel with respect to whether	¹⁹ attorney-client privilege.
²⁰ your answer to the question will divulge attorney-client	²⁰ Q Are you also declining to answer based on the Fifth
²¹ information that you are not authorized to convey, I	²¹ Amendment?
internation that you are not authorized to convey, I	²² A No.
 believe we can take a break. 	
²² believe we can take a break.	
 believe we can take a break. MR. RYAN: Maybe as a matter of 	²³ Q Did you decline to answer my prior question, regarding
 believe we can take a break. MR. RYAN: Maybe as a matter of 	²³ Q Did you decline to answer my prior question, regarding

 ads which it where it deleted the words "rape" or "little girl" based on the Fifth Amendment? 	 information regarding ads on Backpage, did you ever tell law enforcement that Backpage had removed any of the
anovor da, par you can anovor it again.	
 5 THE WITNESS: The answer is "no." 6 Q (By Mr. Amala) Senator Portman also asked whether you 	 5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 6 the question.
 Were aware strike that. 	 I would invite you to ask a question specific to a
 8 Senator Portman also asked whether your outside 	 a would invite you to ask a question specific to a 8 particular communication.
 9 litigation counsel in the First Circuit case was aware 	9 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's what I'm
¹⁰ of Backpage.com's editing practices when they submitted	¹⁰ struggling with.
 signed briefs to that case in that case, where they 	The question asks about seems to ask about all
¹² convinced the Court to throw out the lawsuit on the	¹² requests from law enforcement, and there were many
¹³ theory that Backpage just posts whatever it receives	¹³ different requests in different contexts.
¹⁴ MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection, if the	¹⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) That's where I'm starting.
¹⁵ question is finished.	¹⁵ Can you recall any time that you ever told law
¹⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Can you tell us today whether or not	¹⁶ enforcement, when they requested information regarding
¹⁷ your outside litigation counsel, in the First Circuit	¹⁷ an ad on Backpage.com, that the company had a practice
¹⁸ case, was aware of Backpage's editing practices at the	¹⁸ of stripping out information from the ads before they
¹⁹ time that they submitted briefs to the Court in that	¹⁹ were posted on the website?
²⁰ case that eventually caused the Court to throw the case	20 MR. SCHNEIDER: I take it when you
²¹ out on the theory that Backpage just posts whatever it	²¹ say "any time," you are asking about does she recall any
²² receives?	²² specific communication?
23 MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection to the form	23 THE WITNESS: We did not have a
²⁴ of the question on various grounds, including that it	24 practice of stripping out information from all ads
²⁵ may call for information Ms. McDougall acquired only in	²⁵ before they were posted on the website, so I'm
Page 54	Page 56
¹ the attorney-client relationship in the course of	¹ struggling with how to answer your question.
 ² providing legal advice. 	² Q (By Mr. Amala) Is your testimony today under oath that
³ THE WITNESS: Sorry, I'm pausing	³ Backpage.com didn't have a practice of stripping out
4 because I think the question has some assumptions in it	⁴ certain words from ads before they were posted on the
⁵ about what caused the Court to throw the case out and	⁵ website?
⁶ the Court's thinking, but with respect to the first part	⁶ A What I said is that we did
⁷ of the question, whether or not outside counsel in the	7 MR. RYAN: I apologize, I'm going to
⁸ First Circuit case was what they were aware of when	⁸ interrupt.
⁹ they submitted briefs, I decline to answer based on the	⁹ You are not listening to the fact that he has
¹⁰ attorney-client privilege.	¹⁰ abandoned his line of questioning about the Senate.
¹¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Are you also declining to answer that	¹¹ You have to stop looking at the Senate question and
¹² question based on the Fifth Amendment?	¹² only look at the question he's now asking you.
¹³ A No.	¹³ THE WITNESS: As posed, okay.
¹⁴ Q The final question that Senator McCaskill asked you	¹⁴ MR. RYAN: I think the fair question
¹⁵ during the Senate hearing on January 10th, 2017 is	¹⁵ that he's putting, and he can rephrase it, is do you
¹⁶ whether you ever told law enforcement, when you were	¹⁶ remember any conversation you had with law enforcement
¹⁷ responding to requests for information by law	¹⁷ where you affirmatively indicated that not
18 enforcement, that Backpage had edited any of the ads for 10 which law enforcement had requested information	¹⁸ information, but words were taken from ads.
 which law enforcement had requested information. Did vou ever tell law enforcement, when vou were 	¹⁹ Is that fairly put, getting back to what you're
,	20 asking now as opposed to what the Senate overlay of 21 th at
	21 that
	THE WITNESS: I think maybe I'll just
 A You would have to tell me what you mean by the word "sanitized" for me to be able to answer that question. 	 ask Mr. Amala if he'll repeat the question. Q (By Mr. Amala) Well, my last question is:
²⁵ Q When you responded to requests by law enforcement for	 Q (By Mr. Amala) Well, my last question is: Is it your testimony today under oath that
Page 55	Page 57

1 Backpage.com did not have a practice of removing certain 2 terms from ads before they were posted on the website? 3 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 4 form of the question to the extent it may call for the 5 disclosure of attorney-client privileged information or 6 work product. 7 THE WITNESS: That was something that 8 Backpage did for a brief period of time. 9 There was not a general practice in place for the 10 duration of the website. 11 Q (By Mr. Amala) Was there ever a general practice in 19 place, during the duration of the website, where 13 Backpage would remove certain terms from ads before they 14 were posted on the website? 15 MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection. 16 THE WITNESS: Could you tell me what 17 you mean by "general practice"? 18 Q 19 Q 10 general practice," to me, means a practice that 17 you mean by "general or practice." 18 When I used the words "general practice" it was because 19	1 I can confirm, as I said before, that during a 2 period of time Backpage removed certain terms and 3 photographs from ads before they were posted on the 4 website, and in certain occasions, when we were 5 providing information to law enforcement, we did make 6 that disclosure. 7 Q 9 You are aware that the practice well, strike that. 8 You are aware that Backpage had an automatic filter 9 in place to remove certain terms that the company 10 defined as being terms reflecting sex for money, 11 correct? 12 A 14 addresses, things like that, for many purposes, 15 including primarily spam, fraud, scams, that kind of 16 thing. 17 At a point in time terms related to adult content 18 were added to that filter. 19 Q 10 What were the terms related to adult content? 16 thing. 17 At a point in time terms were terms that the company 16 thing. 17 Deve been provided to you
 ⁴ A There was a period of time when Backpage did remove ⁵ certain terms from ads before they were posted on the ⁶ website. 	 ⁴ A To the best of my recollection, and, again, I don't ⁵ recall every single term, no particular term reflects ⁶ sex for money.
 7 Q Did Backpage ever inform law enforcement, when it was responding to requests for information, that it had a practice of removing certain terms from ads before they were posted on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Can you tell me what you mean by "responding to requests for information"? We had many different ways and circumstances in which we communicated with law enforcement and still do. Q (By Mr. Amala) I will start from a general 30,000 foot level. During any of those times that you were providing information to law enforcement, did Backpage disclose to law enforcement that it had a practice of removing certain terms from ads before they were posted on the website? A You'll notice in my prior responses that I'm not using the word "practice" because I don't fully understand what you mean by that. 	 7 Q It's your testimony today under oath that Backpage did not add hundreds of those words to its filter because the company concluded that those were terms that reflected sex for money? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question so far as it invites the witness to disclose privileged attorney-client communications. THE WITNESS: It's got like a negative in it. (Indicating.) No, that's not my testimony today, so far as I understand your question. Q (By Mr. Amala) So do you agree with me that Backpage.com included hundreds of words in its automatic filter because the company had concluded that those terms reflected sex for money? A No. Q You don't agree with that?
Page 59	²⁵ Q During the time strike that. Page 61

 You also understand that in addition to its automatic filter, there was a period of time where Backpage also had moderators who would review ads after they had been filtered by the automatic filter who would then manually remove terms that the company identified as reflecting sex for money? MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection. THE WITNESS: In addition to the automatic filter, there was a period of time where Backpage also had moderators who would review ads after they had been filtered by the automatic filter there Backpage also had moderators who would review ads after they had been filtered by the automatic filter there was a period of time where Backpage also had moderators who would review ads after they had been filtered by the automatic filter who would then manually remove terms that the company identified as potentially reflecting sex for money or other content that violated the terms of use or was objectionable to the company. Q (By Mr. Amala) Okay. So during this time period where there was an automatic filter in place that was removing terms that may suggest sex for money, followed by a manual removal of those ads looking for terms that may reflect sex for money, when Backpage would respond to subpoenas from law enforcement for ads, did the company tell law enforcement that the ads had been subjected to 	1 Q I'm following up my line of questioning. 2 I started with during that period Backpage gets a 3 subpoena requesting ads, Backpage responds, and you told 4 me that the cover page didn't include any information 5 indicating the ad had been edited, but that sometimes 6 the ads themselves would reflect that an image had been 7 removed. 8 Am I tracking you so far? 9 A Not the ads themselves, the administrative data, the 10 background data, that came with the ad would indicate 11 where photographs had been removed. 12 Q 14 show the images that had been publicly displayed, and 15 then there was a section below that, that would show 16 images that had been deleted and not publicly displayed. 17 Q What time period was that information provided to law 16 mages that had been deleted and not publicly displayed. 17 Q What time period was that information provided to law 16 images that had been deleted and not publicly displayed. 17 Q What time period was that information provided to law
²⁵ this automatic filter and manual filter?	²⁵ time.
Page 62	Page 64
1 MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection. 2 THE WITNESS: The complication I am 3 having with answering your question is time periods 4 because different things happened at different periods 5 of time. 6 During the time period where there was an automatic 7 filter in place that was removing terms that may suggest 8 sex for money may suggest potential sex for money or 9 otherwise violated the terms of use or were 10 objectionable to the company, followed by a manual 11 review of those ads, looking for, again, terms that may 12 reflect potential sex for money or other violations of 13 the terms of use or rules, and otherwise be 14 objectionable, when Backpage responded to subpoenas from 15 law enforcement, the cover e-mail that went to law 16 enforcement did not specify that content may have been 17 removed from the ad, but in the documents that were 18 produced to law enforcement, during a period of time 19 that I can't off the top of my head specify, there was 20 indicia of photographs that had been removed from 21 <	1 Q (By Mr. Amala) When is the first time that Backpage 2 ever informed law enforcement that the company had an 3 automatic filter followed by a manual filter that was 4 removing content from ads before they were posted on the 5 website? 6 A I have no personal knowledge of that. 7 Q When did Backpage.com first publicly disclose that the 6 company had an automatic filter in place that was 9 removing texts from ads that reflected sex for money 10 before they were posted on the website? 11 A I can tell you that I'm not certain what you mean by 12 "publicly disclosed," but regardless of that, I believe 13 that occurred sometime before I joined the company, and 14 I have no knowledge of what that particular date was. 15 Q What's the basis for your belief that that occurred 16 before you joined the company? 17 A Because other company representatives were engaging with 18 assorted members of law enforcement and having 19 communications, to which I was not a party. 20 Q What I am trying to understand is, what is the
Page 63	Page 65

¹ communications.	MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the
² It is asking about your duties as Backpage general	² form of the question.
³ counsel and how you knew that others were communicating	³ THE WITNESS: That was before I was
 4 to law enforcement agencies. 	4 with the company.
 I had no objection when he was asking about 	5 I don't know the answer.
 ⁶ communications that you knew of to a third party, law 	6 MR. AMALA: We'll take a break at
 ⁷ enforcement agencies. 	 7 12:30. I am just going to do some quick housekeeping
 8 He is now asking for the communications internally 	 ⁸ stuff, and then we'll take a break.
	⁹ THE WITNESS: Take a break now?
bear that in mind as you answer his questions now.	11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I think he said
MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.	¹² 12:30.
13 THE WITNESS: Okay.	13 (Exhibit No. 1 marked
I have seen documents that were written before the	14 for identification.)
time that I joined the company that discussed the	15
company's moderation processes, which included the	¹⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
⁷ automatic filter.	¹⁷ been marked as Exhibit No. 1.
⁸ Q (By Mr. Amala) Is it your testimony that those	¹⁸ Do you recognize Exhibit No. 1?
¹⁹ documents reflected a disclosure by Backpage to law	¹⁹ MR. SCHNEIDER: The question is have
²⁰ enforcement that the automatic filter was removing terms	²⁰ you seen it before.
that the company believed may reflect sex for money	21 THE WITNESS: I haven't seen this
²² before the ads were being posted online?	²² exact one with the note of the court reporter and
²³ A As I sit here, I don't know because I don't know that I	²³ videographer requested, but I believe I have seen the
have seen or reviewed every one of those communications.	²⁴ substance of the three pages of the notice.
²⁵ Q But is it your testimony that those communications that	²⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) Are you well, strike that.
Page 66	Page 68
 you are referring to actually indicate, in the communications that Backpage was removing terms that 	1 Who is your current employer?
³ may reflect sex for money before the ads were being	³ Q What is your understanding as to who owns
4 posted on the website?	
⁵ A I recall that they reference the automatic filter, but I	⁵ A I do.
⁶ don't recall specifically what they described about the	⁶ Q Is a company that you set up for your own
7 automatic filter because it had it triggered a variety	7 personal work as a lawyer?
⁸ of actions, and removing terms was only one, and it was	⁸ A Yes.
⁹ one that was not used nearly as often as the others.	⁹ Q Are you currently strike that.
¹⁰ Q Did those communications reflect that the manual review	¹⁰ Do you currently hold any positions with
by moderators was including the removal of terms that	¹¹ Backpage.com?
12 the company had identified as possibly reflecting sex	¹² A I am the general counsel for Backpage.com LLC as an
¹³ for money?	¹³ independent contractor.
MR. SCHNEIDER: And "the	¹⁴ Q Have you ever been employed by Backpage.com?
¹⁵ communications," you mean the documents to which she's	¹⁵ A No.
¹⁶ made reference?	¹⁶ Q Have you ever been employed by any of the various
7 MR. AMALA: Correct.	¹⁷ entities that have held an ownership interest in
18 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I just don't	¹⁸ Backpage.com?
¹⁹ recall.	¹⁹ A No.
²⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) When Backpage.com responded to subpoenas	²⁰ Q How are you well, strike that.
²¹ from King County in 2010 that requested information	²¹ When did you first start working as general counsel
²² regarding ads that had been posted online here in King	²² to Backpage.com?
²³ County, did Backpage disclose to law enforcement that	²³ A February 15th, 2012.
they were receiving ads that may have been edited by the	²⁴ I got a date.
²⁵ company to remove content that reflected sex for money?	 ²⁵ Q How are you compensated for your work as general counse
Page 67	Page 69

1		
	for Backpage.com?	¹ What is the amount of your monthly retainer today?
	A I'm paid on the basis of a retainer, monthly retainer.	² MR. RYAN: Let me just raise an
3	Q Has that been true since you first started working for	³ issue.
4	the company on February 15th, 2012?	⁴ Earlier you asked her birth date and now you are
5	A Yes.	⁵ asking her salary or retainer amount.
6	Q Tell me you indicated you are on a monthly retainer,	⁶ I would consider both of those to be confidential
7	but what does that mean?	⁷ information not to be put in the public.
8	A It means that they pay a monthly amount for my work.	⁸ I understand there's a protective order for some
9	I don't bill on an hourly basis.	⁹ things in the case.
	Q When did you form	¹⁰ Would you be agreeable that answers like this, that
	-	
12	A In the first half of 2012, sometime after February 15th,	i acit to so accignated, mar your agreement, so acated
	2012.	
	Q So before was formed, how were	¹³ made public, her birth date, for example, which could
14	you compensated for your work as general counsel for	¹⁴ help identity theft, and the amount of her salary, which
15	Backpage.com?	¹⁵ is personal, not be included until you have such other
16	A I was not.	¹⁶ proceeding to disclose that?
17	Q Were you working for the company pro bono?	¹⁷ MR. SCHNEIDER: I will make it easy.
18	A No.	¹⁸ We will designate the testimony given today as
19	The agreement was that they would not pay me until	¹⁹ confidential under the protective order.
20	I had the company formed.	20 THE WITNESS: Could you make it
21	Once the company was formed, they paid the monthly	²¹ attorneys' eyes only, please?
22	retainer going back to February 15th, 2012.	22 MR. SCHNEIDER: There will be
	Q Who signed well, strike that.	 23 portions which we will designate as attorneys' eyes
24	Starting strike that.	 24 only, but we will review the transcript in its entirety
25	0	
20	Who signed your first paycheck for your work as	²⁵ once it's prepared, and we will provide the court
	Page 70	Page 72
	5	
1	general counsel for Backpage.com?	¹ reporter with a copy of the protective order unless I
2	A I don't receive paychecks.	² hear counsel for Plaintiffs volunteer to do that.
3	It's an automatic deposit system.	³ MR. AMALA: I will let you do that
4	Q Who sends the automatic deposit?	
		4 Just to make sure we don't mess lin
	A I don't know	Just to make sure we don't mess up.
6	A I don't know.	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good.
	Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all
7	Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you	 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all that, Jason?
7 8	Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work?	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective
7 8 9	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we
7 8 9 10	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it 	 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all that, Jason? MR. AMALA: Well, the protective order allows him to designate, that's the process we have, so
7 8 9	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral
7 8 9 10 11	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript,
7 8 9 10 11	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, 13 the subject of theoretically financial interest with
7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, 13 the subject of theoretically financial interest with
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, 13 the subject of theoretically financial interest with 14 respect to a party based on compensation
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. Q Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, 13 the subject of theoretically financial interest with 14 respect to a party based on compensation 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe the protective 16 order addresses financial information.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. Q Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. A The agreement was that they wouldn't that they would	 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all that, Jason? MR. AMALA: Well, the protective order allows him to designate, that's the process we have, so MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, the subject of theoretically financial interest with respect to a party based on compensation Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe the protective order addresses financial information. A Okay. Thank you.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. Q Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. A The agreement was that they wouldn't that they would compensate me, but they wouldn't send the compensation	 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all that, Jason? MR. AMALA: Well, the protective order allows him to designate, that's the process we have, so MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, the subject of theoretically financial interest with respect to a party based on compensation Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe the protective order addresses financial information. A Okay. Thank you. Q Go ahead.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. Q Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. A The agreement was that they wouldn't that they would compensate me, but they wouldn't send the compensation until I had the company formed. 	 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all that, Jason? MR. AMALA: Well, the protective order allows him to designate, that's the process we have, so MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, the subject of theoretically financial interest with respect to a party based on compensation Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe the protective order addresses financial information. A Okay. Thank you. Q Go ahead. A I'm sorry
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. Q Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. A The agreement was that they wouldn't that they would compensate me, but they wouldn't send the compensation until I had the company formed. Q Who was that agreement with? 	 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all that, Jason? MR. AMALA: Well, the protective order allows him to designate, that's the process we have, so MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, the subject of theoretically financial interest with respect to a party based on compensation Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe the protective order addresses financial information. A Okay. Thank you. Q Go ahead. MR. SCHNEIDER: The question is, what
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. Q Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. A The agreement was that they wouldn't send the compensation until I had the company formed. Q Who was that agreement with? A I don't recall. 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, 13 the subject of theoretically financial interest with 14 respect to a party based on compensation 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe the protective 16 order addresses financial information. 17 A Okay. Thank you. 18 Q Go ahead. 19 A I'm sorry 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: The question is, what 21 is the retainer these days?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. Q Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. A The agreement was that they wouldn't send the compensation until I had the company formed. Q Who was that agreement with? A I don't recall. Q Okay. Who sends the money today? 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, 13 the subject of theoretically financial interest with 14 respect to a party based on compensation 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe the protective 16 order addresses financial information. 17 A Okay. Thank you. 18 Q Go ahead. 19 A I'm sorry 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: The question is, what 21 is the retainer these days? 22 THE WITNESS: What is the retainer
 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. Q Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. A The agreement was that they wouldn't that they would compensate me, but they wouldn't send the compensation until I had the company formed. Q Who was that agreement with? A I don't recall. Q Okay. Who sends the money today? A I don't know. 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, 13 the subject of theoretically financial interest with 14 respect to a party based on compensation 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe the protective 16 order addresses financial information. 17 A Okay. Thank you. 18 Q Go ahead. 19 A I'm sorry 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: The question is, what 11 is the retainer these days? 22 THE WITNESS: What is the retainer 23 today? I said I'm not good with numbers.
 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. Q Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. A The agreement was that they wouldn't that they would compensate me, but they wouldn't send the compensation until I had the company formed. Q Who was that agreement with? A I don't recall. Q Okay. Who sends the money today? A I don't know. Q What is your monthly retainer agreement today sorry, 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, 13 the subject of theoretically financial interest with 14 respect to a party based on compensation 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe the protective 16 order addresses financial information. 17 A Okay. Thank you. 18 Q Go ahead. 19 A I'm sorry 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: The question is, what 11 is the retainer these days? 22 THE WITNESS: What is the retainer 23 today? I said I'm not good with numbers. 24 I don't know the specific amount.
 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. Q Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. A The agreement was that they wouldn't that they would compensate me, but they wouldn't send the compensation until I had the company formed. Q Who was that agreement with? A I don't recall. Q Okay. Who sends the money today? A I don't know. 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, 13 the subject of theoretically financial interest with 14 respect to a party based on compensation 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe the protective 16 order addresses financial information. 17 A Okay. Thank you. 18 Q Go ahead. 19 A I'm sorry 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: The question is, what 11 is the retainer these days? 22 THE WITNESS: What is the retainer 23 today? I said I'm not good with numbers.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q What company starting in 2012 with the first time that you were paid for your services, what company sent you the money for that work? A I could only speculate. I didn't look at the bank information to see who it came from. Q You said you had an agreement that they would not compensate you for your time until you had set up; is that correct? A No, that's not correct. Q Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. A The agreement was that they wouldn't that they would compensate me, but they wouldn't send the compensation until I had the company formed. Q Who was that agreement with? A I don't recall. Q Okay. Who sends the money today? A I don't know. Q What is your monthly retainer agreement today sorry, 	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Very good. 6 THE WITNESS: Are you okay with all 7 that, Jason? 8 MR. AMALA: Well, the protective 9 order allows him to designate, that's the process we 10 have, so 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's a unilateral 12 designation, so we will designate the entire transcript, 13 the subject of theoretically financial interest with 14 respect to a party based on compensation 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I believe the protective 16 order addresses financial information. 17 A Okay. Thank you. 18 Q Go ahead. 19 A I'm sorry 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: The question is, what 11 is the retainer these days? 22 THE WITNESS: What is the retainer 23 today? I said I'm not good with numbers. 24 I don't know the specific amount.

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

¹ to	1 33, 35.
² Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you do any work in 2016 for anyone,	² THE WITNESS: Correct.
³ other than Backpage.com?	³ Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever received compensation for
⁴ A No.	⁴ your work as general counsel at Backpage.com beyond the
⁵ Q What was the amount of your total income in 2016?	⁵ annual total amount of your retainer and a bonus that's
⁶ A I don't know.	⁶ of the annual amount of your retainer?
⁷ Q Aside from the monthly retainer, have you ever received	7 A No.
8 any sort of bonus from Backpage.com?	⁸ (Exhibit No. 2 marked
⁹ A Yes.	⁹ for identification.)
¹⁰ Q When was the last time you received a bonus from	10
¹¹ Backpage.com?	¹¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
¹² A It would have been late spring or early summer 2016, I	¹² been marked as Exhibit No. 2.
¹³ believe.	¹³ Have you seen Exhibit No. 2 before?
¹⁴ Q How much was the bonus?	¹⁴ A Yes, I have.
¹⁵ A I don't know the exact amount.	¹⁵ Q Do you recognize Exhibit No. 2 as a subpoena and
¹⁶ It was approximately	¹⁶ subpoena duces tecum that asks that you appear today for
¹⁷ Q What is your understanding is the basis for the bonus?	¹⁷ this deposition and also bring certain records with you?
¹⁸ A Roughly of the annual amount of the	¹⁸ A Yes.
¹⁹ retainer.	¹⁹ Q Did you bring any records with you today?
²⁰ MR. SCHNEIDER: We are now one minute	²⁰ A Yes.
²¹ past 12:30, if you want to take an afternoon break	²¹ Q Where are those records?
²² MR. AMALA: Let's take our lunch	²² MS. ROOS: I can go get them.
²³ break.	²³ THE WITNESS: They're here. They are
²⁴ MR. SCHNEIDER: Your noon break.	²⁴ coming.
²⁵ VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record,	25 (Exhibit No. 3 marked
Page 74	Page 76
¹ the time is 12:31 p.m.	1 for identification.)
2 (Lunch recess 12:31 to 1:43 p.m.)	² Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
3	³ been marked as Exhibit No. 3.
4 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	4 Can you tell me what Exhibit No. 3 is?
⁵ record. The time is 1:43 p.m. This is the beginning of	⁵ A This is a collection of the documents I brought today in
 ⁶ Disc No. 2 in the video deposition of Elizabeth 	⁶ response to the subpoena duces tecum to me, dated Apri
 ⁷ McDougall. 	⁷ 5th, 2017, in this case.
⁸ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, before the lunch break,	⁸ Q Ms. McDougall, do you possess any documents that are
 9 you indicated you received a bonus in 2016 that was 	 ⁹ responsive to the subpoena, that is Exhibit No. 2, that
¹⁰ approximately and the second of your annual retainer	¹⁰ you did not bring with you today?
11 amount.	11 A There are other documents responsive to the subpoena
¹² Is that correct?	 that have already been produced in this case.
¹³ A I believe so.	12 Q Do you possess any documents that are responsive to the
¹⁴ Q Since 2012 have you ever received a bonus from	 ¹⁴ subpoena, that is Exhibit No. 2, that have not been
¹⁵ Backpage.com that was in excess of 1 of 1	 ¹⁵ produced in discovery in this case to the plaintiffs and
¹⁶ the annual amount of your retainer?	 ¹⁶ not been produced by you today as we see in Exhibit
17 A No.	¹⁷ No. 3?
¹⁸ I'm sorry, let me qualify that.	¹⁸ A Not to my knowledge.
 Again, I'm not starting in 2012, I'm not sure who 	19 Q You understand that your counsel lodged objections to
 Again, fin not-starting in 2012, fin not sure who exactly the money came from. 	²⁰ the subpoena that is Exhibit No. 2?
²¹ MR. RYAN: He is merely asking	²¹ A Yes.
²² whether the percentage of bonus, wherever it came from,	 22 Q Do you know if documents were withheld from your
 23 is 	 22 Q Do you know it documents were withheld from your 23 production of records in response to the subpoena, that
	²⁴ is Exhibit No. 2, based on those objections?

 Q Do you know what documents were withheld? A I could not recite them as I sit here. 	1 (Exhibit No. 5 marked 2 for identification.)
³ I don't recall.	3
4 (Exhibit No. 4 marked	⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
⁵ for identification.)	⁵ been marked as Exhibit No. 5.
6	6 Do you recognize Exhibit No. 5?
⁷ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's	7 A I'll need a minute to look through it.
⁸ been marked as Exhibit No. 4.	⁸ I don't recognize the format of Exhibit No. 5, but
⁹ Please review it and let me know when you're	⁹ I believe that the content is an opinion piece or op-ed,
¹⁰ finished.	¹⁰ whatever it's called, that I wrote for the Seattle Times
A Okay. Yes, I reviewed it.	¹¹ back in 2012.
¹² Q What is Exhibit No. 4?	¹² Q Does this appear to be a true and accurate copy of that
³ A It is my declaration in support of the defendant's	¹³ editorial that you wrote for the Seattle Times back in
⁴ motion to stay this action pending resolution of ongoing	14 2012?
⁵ criminal proceedings and government investigations.	¹⁵ MR. SCHNEIDER: The content?
⁶ Q Do you know if Backpage.com has produced e-mails from a	¹⁶ MR. AMALA: Correct.
⁷ private account maintained by you in response to	17 THE WITNESS: I believe so, but I
8 subpoenas it received from the U.S. Senate?	¹⁸ would have to look back at the actual copy to verify
9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Hang on just a	¹⁹ that.
⁰ second.	²⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) In this editorial, that is Exhibit
¹ Thank you.	²¹ No. 5, it indicates that you at the time were general
² THE WITNESS: Can you clarify what	²² counsel for Village Voice Media Holdings.
³ you mean by "a private e-mail account" maintained by me?	²³ Do you see that?
⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) My understanding is that Backpage.com,	²⁴ A Yes.
¹⁵ in response to a subpoena from the Senate, produced	²⁵ Q It states that Village Voice Media Holdings at the time
Page 78	Page 80
¹ records that were maintained in an e-mail address or	¹ was the owner of Backpage.com, correct?
² e-mail account owned by you.	² A Can you point me to where
³ Is that your understanding?	³ Q Right after it says, "As general counsel for Village
⁴ A I don't know all of the records that were produced to	⁴ Voice Media Holdings" it states, quote, "Owner of
⁵ the Senate subcommittee.	⁵ Backpage.com."
⁶ I know that I turned over to the lawyers collecting	⁶ A I think we are looking at different places.
7 and reviewing the documents they had access to my	⁷ Q It is the top of Page 2.
⁸ Backpage account, and they had full access to and I	
	° A Yes, it says that.
 Immodulected my personal Ginali account. 	 8 A Yes, it says that. 9 Q Did you receive strike that.
	⁹ Q Did you receive strike that.
⁰ Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for	 ⁹ Q Did you receive strike that. ¹⁰ Who was your client at the time that you wrote this
 Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? 	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this 11 editorial?
 Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first 	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this 11 editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the
 Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first 3 MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form 	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this 11 editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question.
 Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form of the question insofar as it invites the witness to 	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this 11 editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question. 14 THE WITNESS: My client was Village
 Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form of the question insofar as it invites the witness to disclose confidential and protected attorney-client 	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this 11 editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question. 14 THE WITNESS: My client was Village 15 Voice Media Holdings and Backpage.com.
 Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form of the question insofar as it invites the witness to disclose confidential and protected attorney-client privileged information. 	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this 11 editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question. 14 THE WITNESS: My client was Village 15 Voice Media Holdings and Backpage.com. 16 I don't recall at the time whether Backpage.com was
Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form of the question insofar as it invites the witness to disclose confidential and protected attorney-client privileged information. 7 THE WITNESS: When I first started	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question. 14 THE WITNESS: My client was Village 15 Voice Media Holdings and Backpage.com. 16 I don't recall at the time whether Backpage.com was 17 a subsidiary or was simply a business owned by Village
Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form of the question insofar as it invites the witness to disclose confidential and protected attorney-client privileged information. THE WITNESS: When I first started working for Backpage, they had not yet created a	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this 11 editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question. 14 THE WITNESS: My client was Village 15 Voice Media Holdings and Backpage.com. 16 I don't recall at the time whether Backpage.com was 17 a subsidiary or was simply a business owned by Village 18 Voice Media Holdings.
 Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form of the question insofar as it invites the witness to disclose confidential and protected attorney-client privileged information. THE WITNESS: When I first started working for Backpage, they had not yet created a Backpage.com e-mail address for me, and I started 	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this 11 editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question. 14 THE WITNESS: My client was Village 15 Voice Media Holdings and Backpage.com. 16 I don't recall at the time whether Backpage.com was 17 a subsidiary or was simply a business owned by Village 18 Voice Media Holdings. 19 Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you receive the permission of your
Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first 3 MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form of the question insofar as it invites the witness to disclose confidential and protected attorney-client privileged information. 7 THE WITNESS: When I first started working for Backpage, they had not yet created a Backpage.com e-mail address for me, and I started immediately, and so I used my personal e-mail address	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this 11 editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question. 14 THE WITNESS: My client was Village 15 Voice Media Holdings and Backpage.com. 16 I don't recall at the time whether Backpage.com was 17 a subsidiary or was simply a business owned by Village 18 Voice Media Holdings. 19 Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you receive the permission of your 20 clients to write this editorial?
Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first 3 MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form of the question insofar as it invites the witness to disclose confidential and protected attorney-client privileged information. 7 THE WITNESS: When I first started 8 working for Backpage, they had not yet created a 9 Backpage.com e-mail address for me, and I started 10 immediately, and so I used my personal e-mail address 11 I had a Backpage e-mail address set up, and then I	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this 11 editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question. 14 THE WITNESS: My client was Village 15 Voice Media Holdings and Backpage.com. 16 I don't recall at the time whether Backpage.com was 17 a subsidiary or was simply a business owned by Village 18 Voice Media Holdings. 19 Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you receive the permission of your 20 clients to write this editorial? 21 A Yes.
 Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form of the question insofar as it invites the witness to disclose confidential and protected attorney-client privileged information. THE WITNESS: When I first started working for Backpage, they had not yet created a Backpage.com e-mail address for me, and I started immediately, and so I used my personal e-mail address until I had a Backpage e-mail address set up, and then I had some trouble getting some people to stop using my 	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question. 14 THE WITNESS: My client was Village 15 Voice Media Holdings and Backpage.com. 16 I don't recall at the time whether Backpage.com was 17 a subsidiary or was simply a business owned by Village 18 Voice Media Holdings. 19 Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you receive the permission of your 20 clients to write this editorial? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Earlier I asked you to provide a thumbnail sketch of
 Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form of the question insofar as it invites the witness to disclose confidential and protected attorney-client privileged information. THE WITNESS: When I first started working for Backpage, they had not yet created a Backpage.com e-mail address for me, and I started immediately, and so I used my personal e-mail address until I had a Backpage e-mail address set up, and then I had some trouble getting some people to stop using my personal e-mail address and move to my Backpage address. 	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question. 14 THE WITNESS: My client was Village 15 Voice Media Holdings and Backpage.com. 16 I don't recall at the time whether Backpage.com was 17 a subsidiary or was simply a business owned by Village 18 Voice Media Holdings. 19 Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you receive the permission of your clients to write this editorial? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Earlier I asked you to provide a thumbnail sketch of 23 your employment history, and I believe you took us up
 Q Why is it that you provided access to the lawyers for Backpage.com to your personal Gmail account? A When I first MR. SCHNEIDER: I object to the form of the question insofar as it invites the witness to disclose confidential and protected attorney-client privileged information. THE WITNESS: When I first started working for Backpage, they had not yet created a Backpage.com e-mail address for me, and I started immediately, and so I used my personal e-mail address until I had a Backpage e-mail address set up, and then I had some trouble getting some people to stop using my 	 9 Q Did you receive strike that. 10 Who was your client at the time that you wrote this editorial? 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 13 form of the question. 14 THE WITNESS: My client was Village 15 Voice Media Holdings and Backpage.com. 16 I don't recall at the time whether Backpage.com was 17 a subsidiary or was simply a business owned by Village 18 Voice Media Holdings. 19 Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you receive the permission of your clients to write this editorial? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Earlier I asked you to provide a thumbnail sketch of 23 your employment history, and I believe you took us up

Т

¹ can you finish your employment history for us?	¹ were described to you in confidence, I would expect that
² MR. SCHNEIDER: Just to be clear, I	² that may well be attorney-client privileged.
³ think that the witness earlier did testify as to when	³ If there are general responsibilities that you can
⁴ she began her work as general counsel for Backpage.	⁴ discuss without disclosing confidences, I believe you
5 THE WITNESS: I took the employment	⁵ may.
⁶ history through to the time that I joined Perkins Coie.	6 THE WITNESS: I can't, at this time,
7 You didn't ask me to go through my entire career	7 think of anything I can disclose without violating the
8 and clients and work well during the 16 years I was at	⁸ attorney-client privilege, so I have to decline to
⁹ Perkins Coie.	⁹ answer on that basis.
10 Q (By Mr. Amala) And I don't want to get into that today,	¹⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) If you look at Exhibit No. 5 and turn to
¹¹ but I do want to make sure I understand, when did you	¹¹ the second page, the third paragraph from the bottom
¹² leave Perkins Coie?	 states, "Village Voice Media management tracked me down
¹³ A February 14th, 2012.	 to talk about leading its fight against online
¹⁴ Q Why did you leave Perkins Coie?	
¹⁵ A To take the position that I have now.	made inquince, i weighed my principiee, and prioritiee,
¹⁶ Q Which is?	¹⁶ knowledge and experience fighting crimes online; and I
¹⁷ A General counsel for Backpage.com.	17 joined."
¹⁸ Q How did you learn about the position with Backpage.com?	¹⁸ Do you see that?
¹⁹ A The company had sought to retain Perkins Coie, and	¹⁹ A I do.
²⁰ particularly me as a partner with Perkins Coie, to	²⁰ Q Tell me about what you describe here in terms of Village
²¹ assist them with various legal matters.	²¹ Voice Media management tracking you down to talk about
²² MR. RYAN: You are in an area now	²² leading its fight against online trafficking.
²³ where you have to be cognizant of the privilege both as	²³ MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.
²⁴ an outside counsel at Perkins and then internal when you	²⁴ To the extent the question invites the witness to
²⁵ transferred over.	²⁵ disclose attorney-client privileged information, unlike
Page 82	Page 84
1 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thanks.	1 what's in Exhibit No. 5, which she's previously
² Q (By Mr. Amala) What is your understanding as to why	² testified was authorized disclosures, I would instruct
³ Backpage.com wanted to retain Perkins Coie?	³ her not to answer with regard to the confidential
4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of	⁴ information.
⁵ the question and advise the witness that if it calls for	5 THE WITNESS: The company had done
⁶ attorney-client privileged information, to not disclose	⁶ research and identified the work that I had been
⁷ such information absent client's consent.	7 involved in, in private practice, related to human
8 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I decline to	8 trafficking, civil rights, and Section 230 and other
9 answer on the basis of the attorney-client privilege.	⁹ Internet law.
¹⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know if Backpage.com wanted to	¹⁰ That's how they that's what I'm told, that's how
¹¹ retain Perkins Coie, particularly your services at	¹¹ they identified me and decided to approach Perkins Coie.
¹² Perkins Coie, to assist it in avoiding liability for ads	¹² Q (By Mr. Amala) Who told you that?
¹³ that were being posted for sex trafficking on the	¹³ MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.
¹⁴ Backpage.com website?	14 THE WITNESS: I believe I was told
¹⁵ MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.	¹⁵ that by more than one person.
¹⁶ THE WITNESS: I decline to answer	¹⁶ It was the Village Voice management and board
 based on attorney-client privilege. 	¹⁷ members, certain board members.
¹⁸ Q (By Mr. Amala) When you accepted the position with	¹⁸ Q (By Mr. Amala) Who?
¹⁹ Backpage.com as general counsel, what did you understand	19 A I know that one was
 ²⁰ would be your responsibilities? 	²⁰ I am not sure which of the other members of
²² If you can answer as to your understanding, without	have discussed that with me.
23 disclosing confidential information provided to you by	²³ Q Did Carl Ferrer ever relay that information to you?
²⁴ your client you may do so	
your oner, you may up oor	²⁴ A Not that I recall, no.
²⁵ For instance, if there were specific tasks, which	 A Not that I recall, no. Q Did Jim Larkin ever relay that information to you?

T

¹ A Not that I recall, but it's possible.	¹ Backpage.com had an automatic filter in place that
² Q Why do you say it's possible?	 removed terms from ads that may indicate that the ad was
³ A Because I met with multiple people on kind of a rolling	³ for sex for money?
 basis, and I just can't distinguish precisely who said 	4 MR. RYAN: Same objection with regard
⁵ what at what point.	⁵ to if you learned it in a privileged capacity, you can't
⁶ Q Who did you meet with?	6 answer.
 7 A To the best of my recollection, I met with I met with 	 ⁷ If you didn't learn it in a privileged capacity,
⁸ Mr. Larkin, I met with Mr. Lacey, I believe I met with	⁸ you can answer.
⁹ , I think I met with 1 , I conversed	9 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can't answer
 with Mr. Ferrer via WedEx, and there were others, but I 	
¹¹ just don't remember who.	 that question based on the attorney-client privilege. Q (By Mr. Amala) When you were interviewing for a
¹² Q The editorial that you wrote for the Seattle Times, that	 position well, strike that.
-	
	general councer for Eachpage.com, ala anyone, aunig
	and proceed, ten yeu that the company macromoving
What all whage voice meala management ten yea,	¹⁶ terms from ads that might reflect that the ad was an ad
17 at the time, about its fight against online trafficking?	¹⁷ for sex for money?
18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.	18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection to the form
19 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can't answer	¹⁹ of the question so far as it invites the witness to
²⁰ that based on attorney-client privilege.	²⁰ disclose information that would have been exchanged in
21 Q (By Mr. Amala) Earlier we were talking about the	²¹ anticipation of forming an attorney-client relationship.
²² strike that.	22 THE WITNESS: I don't know whether I
23 Earlier you described an automatic filtering system	²³ can answer that question or not without violating the
²⁴ and a manual moderator system that Backpage had in place	²⁴ privilege.
²⁵ for a period of time.	²⁵ I would like to consult with counsel to make that
Page 86	Page 88
¹ When is the first time that you learned that	¹ determination.
² Backpage com had an automatic system in place where it	² MR. AMALA: Go ahead.
³ was stripping terms from ads that the company had	³ THE WITNESS: Thanks.
4 defined as likely being terms that reflected sex for	4 VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record,
5 money?	⁵ the time is 2:13 p.m.
6 MR. RYAN: If you learned that in a	6 (Recess 2:13 to 2:21 p.m.)
7 privileged capacity, you can't answer.	7
8 THE WITNESS: I guess I don't mean	⁸ VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,
⁹ to be nitpicky, but you said that earlier I described	⁹ the time is 2:21 p.m.
¹⁰ the automatic an automatic filtering system and manual	¹⁰ MR. AMALA: Madam Court Reporter, can
¹¹ moderation system, but really you described those and	¹¹ you please read back the last question?
¹² asked me some questions.	¹² (Question on Page 88, Line 14-
¹³ When is the first time I learned that it had an	
¹³ When is the first time I learned that it had an	
 When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did 	1318 read by the reporter.)14
 When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did not say that it had an automatic system in place where 	13 18 read by the reporter.) 14 15 15 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that
When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did not say that it had an automatic system in place where it was stripping terms that reflected sex for money.	13 18 read by the reporter.) 14 15 15 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that 16 question without violating the attorney-client
 When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did not say that it had an automatic system in place where it was stripping terms that reflected sex for money. There was a period of time where the automated 	1318 read by the reporter.)141516171718191910111213141516171717181911121314151617171717171717171717171717171717171819191010101011121314141515161717161717171718191910
When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did not say that it had an automatic system in place where it was stripping terms that reflected sex for money. There was a period of time where the automated filter the automated filter existed for a long time	 13 18 read by the reporter.) 14 15 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that 16 question without violating the attorney-client 17 privilege. 18 Q (By Mr. Amala) In your editorial that is Exhibit No. 5,
 When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did not say that it had an automatic system in place where it was stripping terms that reflected sex for money. There was a period of time where the automated filter the automated filter existed for a long time and stripped terms for many reasons, spam, scams, that 	 13 18 read by the reporter.) 14 15 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that 16 question without violating the attorney-client 17 privilege. 18 Q (By Mr. Amala) In your editorial that is Exhibit No. 5, 19 you indicate that Village Voice Media management tracked
 When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did not say that it had an automatic system in place where it was stripping terms that reflected sex for money. There was a period of time where the automated filter the automated filter existed for a long time and stripped terms for many reasons, spam, scams, that we talked about already, and there was a brief period of 	 13 18 read by the reporter.) 14 15 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that 16 question without violating the attorney-client 17 privilege. 18 Q (By Mr. Amala) In your editorial that is Exhibit No. 5, 19 you indicate that Village Voice Media management tracked 20 you down to talk about leading its fight against online
When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did not say that it had an automatic system in place where it was stripping terms that reflected sex for money. There was a period of time where the automated filter the automated filter existed for a long time and stripped terms for many reasons, spam, scams, that we talked about already, and there was a brief period of time where it also removed terms that could potentially	 13 18 read by the reporter.) 14 15 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that 16 question without violating the attorney-client 17 privilege. 18 Q (By Mr. Amala) In your editorial that is Exhibit No. 5, 19 you indicate that Village Voice Media management tracked 20 you down to talk about leading its fight against online 21 trafficking, and then you state that you listened,
When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did not say that it had an automatic system in place where it was stripping terms that reflected sex for money. There was a period of time where the automated filter the automated filter existed for a long time and stripped terms for many reasons, spam, scams, that we talked about already, and there was a brief period of time where it also removed terms that could potentially reflect sex for money or other violations of the terms	 13 18 read by the reporter.) 14 15 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that 16 question without violating the attorney-client 17 privilege. 18 Q (By Mr. Amala) In your editorial that is Exhibit No. 5, 19 you indicate that Village Voice Media management tracked 20 you down to talk about leading its fight against online 21 trafficking, and then you state that you listened, 22 investigated, researched, and made inquiries.
When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did not say that it had an automatic system in place where it was stripping terms that reflected sex for money. There was a period of time where the automated filter the automated filter existed for a long time and stripped terms for many reasons, spam, scams, that we talked about already, and there was a brief period of time where it also removed terms that could potentially reflect sex for money or other violations of the terms of use or terms or activities that were simply not	 13 18 read by the reporter.) 14 15 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that 16 question without violating the attorney-client 17 privilege. 18 Q (By Mr. Amala) In your editorial that is Exhibit No. 5, 19 you indicate that Village Voice Media management tracked 20 you down to talk about leading its fight against online 21 trafficking, and then you state that you listened, 22 investigated, researched, and made inquiries. 23 Do you see that?
When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did not say that it had an automatic system in place where it was stripping terms that reflected sex for money. There was a period of time where the automated filter the automated filter existed for a long time and stripped terms for many reasons, spam, scams, that we talked about already, and there was a brief period of time where it also removed terms that could potentially reflect sex for money or other violations of the terms of use or terms or activities that were simply not	 13 18 read by the reporter.) 14 15 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that 16 question without violating the attorney-client 17 privilege. 18 Q (By Mr. Amala) In your editorial that is Exhibit No. 5, 19 you indicate that Village Voice Media management tracked 20 you down to talk about leading its fight against online 21 trafficking, and then you state that you listened, 22 investigated, researched, and made inquiries.
When is the first time I learned that it had an automatic system oh, I also did not I certainly did not say that it had an automatic system in place where it was stripping terms that reflected sex for money. There was a period of time where the automated filter the automated filter existed for a long time and stripped terms for many reasons, spam, scams, that we talked about already, and there was a brief period of time where it also removed terms that could potentially reflect sex for money or other violations of the terms of use or terms or activities that were simply not permissible or not acceptable to the site.	 13 18 read by the reporter.) 14 15 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that 16 question without violating the attorney-client 17 privilege. 18 Q (By Mr. Amala) In your editorial that is Exhibit No. 5, 19 you indicate that Village Voice Media management tracked 20 you down to talk about leading its fight against online 21 trafficking, and then you state that you listened, 22 investigated, researched, and made inquiries. 23 Do you see that? 24 A I do.

Т

¹ Media told you about its fight against online	¹ information.
² trafficking?	² Q (By Mr. Amala) If you turn to Page 3 of your editorial
³ MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the	³ in the Seattle Times, that is Exhibit No. 5, in the
⁴ form with respect to the phrase "what Village Voice	⁴ first full paragraph your editorial states,
⁵ Media told you."	⁵ "Backpage.com already employs a triple-tiered policing
⁶ The question may invite the witness to disclose	⁶ system that includes automated filtering and two levels
7 privileged information.	⁷ of manual review of the adult and personal categories."
8 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I didn't say I	⁸ Do you see that?
⁹ investigated what they told me.	⁹ A I do.
¹⁰ I said I listened, investigated, researched, and	¹⁰ Q What was the triple-tiered policing system that
¹¹ made inquiries, and in addition to listening to what	¹¹ Backpage.com employed at that time?
¹² they told me, I did my own, primarily online, research	¹² A As that sentence continues, it included the automated
¹³ and investigation regarding the company, regarding the	¹³ filtering as one, and two levels of manual review as the
¹⁴ intersection of technology and Backpage, particularly	¹⁴ other two.
¹⁵ with respect to misuse for illegal purposes and,	¹⁵ Q The automated filtering that you describe in that
¹⁶ foremost, human trafficking.	¹⁶ editorial included removing certain terms that the
¹⁷ Q (By Mr. Amala) What conclusions did you reach?	¹⁷ company had identified as possibly reflecting that an ad
¹⁸ MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the	¹⁸ was sex for money, correct?
¹⁹ form again as it may invite the witness to disclose	¹⁹ A I can't answer that question without divulging
²⁰ privileged information or, more likely, work product	²⁰ attorney-client privileged information.
²¹ with respect to legal services that she was to provide	²¹ Q Same question with regard to the two levels of manual
²² in the future.	²² review of the adult and personal categories at the time
THE WITNESS: As stated in the	 23 you wrote this editorial. 24 Those two levels of manual review of the adult and
 editorial, the conclusion I reached was to join Backpage 	
²⁵ Backpage.	²⁵ personal categories included a manual review to remove
Page 90	Page 92
¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you reach any conclusions as to	¹ content that suggested that the ad was an ad for sex for
 whether or not sex trafficking was occurring on 	² money, correct?
³ Backpage.com?	³ A I can't answer that question without divulging
4 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the	 4 attorney-client privileged information.
⁵ form of the question.	⁵ Q Do you think it is misleading to represent to the public
⁶ Same objection.	 ⁶ that Backpage.com had a triple-tiered policing system to
7 THE WITNESS: And I can't answer that	 ⁷ detect and thwart human trafficking when that system
8 question without divulging attorney-client privilege.	 ⁸ involved removing content that the company had
⁹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you strike that.	⁹ identified as reflecting sex for money and then posting
¹⁰ When you were interviewing for the position as	¹⁰ the sanitized ad?
¹¹ general counsel of Backpage.com, did you provide the	¹¹ A I can't answer
¹² management of Village Voice Media with your thoughts on	¹² MR. RYAN: Objection to the form of
¹³ how the company could try to evade liability by relying	¹³ the question.
¹⁴ on the Communications Decency Act?	14 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that
¹⁵ MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.	¹⁵ question because I don't agree with the premise.
¹⁶ THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that	¹⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Does that mean you don't think it's
¹⁷ question without divulging attorney-client privileged	¹⁷ misleading to represent that the triple-tiered policing
¹⁸ information.	¹⁸ system was actually helping to prevent sex trafficking?
¹⁹ Q (By Mr. Amala) When you were interviewing for the	¹⁹ A That's not what I said.
²⁰ position of general counsel with Backpage.com, did you	²⁰ Q Okay. Well, help me understand your position.
²¹ discuss the issue of online sex trafficking with	²¹ MR. SCHNEIDER: Wait for a question
²² management for Village Voice Media?	22 THE WITNESS: Help me understand your
²³ MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.	²³ question.
24 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that	²⁴ MR. SCHNEIDER: Wait for a question.
25 question without divulging attorney-client privileged	
	²⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) I asked you, do you think it's
Page 91	²⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) I asked you, do you think it's Page 93

Т

 misleading to represent to the public that Backpage.com had a triple-tiered policing system A That is not misleading. Q Do you think it's misleading to represent to the public that that triple-tiered policing system was an effort to prevent sex trafficking when in reality that system removed content that indicated an ad was sex for money and then posted the sanitized ad? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I do not think it's at all misleading to represent to the public that Backpage's triple-tiered policing system was an effort to prevent trafficking. I do not agree that the triple-tiered policing system was in reality a system that removed content if the ad was for sex for money or potentially for sex for money and then posted the it posted a sanitized ad, and I can't agree with the phrase "sanitized ad." I also don't understand the use of the term "sanitized" in that context. Q (By Mr. Amala) If an ad, at the time you wrote this editorial, was submitted that said, "Come have a good 	 A It is my declaration submitted in support of the defendants' motion for summary judgment. Q Is this a true and accurate copy of that declaration? A It appears so. Q What did you do to prepare this declaration? MR. RYAN: Do not disclose attorney-client communications. THE WITNESS: I processed information that I had learned over time, primarily from documents, and outside counsel wrote the MR. RYAN: That would be privileged. Client and counsel are the same. THE WITNESS: I would say then ultimately I helped to provide information for the substance, and then I reviewed and signed the document. Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you speak with anyone from Backpage.com in order to prepare the declaration that is Exhibit No. 6? MR. SCHNEIDER: At what time? "In order to prepare the declaration," I think that's the timeframe. THE WITNESS: To prepare the
 ²³ time with Jenny tonight, Amber Alert, Amber Alert, "and 	²³ declaration, no.
²⁴ Backpage removed the term "Amber Alert," how is it	²⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you speak with anyone from
²⁵ helping to prevent sex trafficking by then posting the	²⁵ Backpage.com regarding the representations that you make
Page 94	Page 96
¹ ad with the "Amber Alert" text removed?	¹ in Exhibit No. 6?
² MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form.	² A Not specifically, no.
³ THE WITNESS: I don't think I can	³ Q How about generally?
4 answer that question without divulging attorney-client	⁴ A Some of the topics covered in Exhibit No. 6 are things
 ⁵ privileged information. ⁶ Q (By Mr Amala) How does it help to prevent sex 	⁵ that I haven't I've discussed with different people at different times over the course of the last five years
 trafficking if Backpage.com is removing terms that suggest an ad is for sex and then posting the rest of 	 ⁷ Q Did you talk to Carl Ferrer about the representations ⁸ that you make in Exhibit No. 6?
 suggest an ad is for sex and then posting the rest of the ad? 	 9 A Not specifically.
¹⁰ MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the	¹⁰ Q How about generally?
 phrase object to the form of the question. 	11 A I believe it would violate the attorney-client privilege
¹² THE WITNESS: Unfortunately I can't	¹² for me to divulge that information.
¹³ answer that question without divulging attorney-client	13 Q Did you speak with Michael Lacey about any of the
¹⁴ privileged information.	14 representations that you make in your declaration that
¹⁵ (Exhibit No. 6 marked	¹⁵ is Exhibit No. 6?
¹⁶ for identification.)	¹⁶ A No.
17	17 Q Why not?
¹⁸ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's	¹⁸ MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form.
¹⁹ been marked as Exhibit No. 6.	19 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, but I need
²⁰ Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 6, and	²⁰ to consult with counsel again on the privilege issue.
²¹ let me know when you're finished.	21 MR. SCHNEIDER: Just as we break, I'm
²² A Yes, I am done with it.	²² looking at the question.
²³ Q Do you recognize Exhibit No. 6?	 You're asking her the reasons why something did not occur?
24 A I do.	 ²⁴ occur? ²⁵ MR. AMALA: Yeah.
²⁵ Q What is Exhibit No. 6?	
Page 95	Page 97

¹ THE WITNESS: The reason why I did	¹ declaration as the exhibits.
2 not	² In addition, I relied on documents that I've seen
³ VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record,	³ and information that I've gleaned from documents that
⁴ the time is 2:39 p.m.	⁴ I've seen since the outset of the case, including our
⁵ (Recess 2:39 to 2:55 p.m.)	⁵ discovery responses, including documents that we've
6	⁶ produced, and including documents from other sources.
7 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:55 p.m.	7 Q What documents from other sources?
⁸ We are on the record.	⁸ A In particular, records of the criminal trials and
9 MR. AMALA: Madam Court Reporter, can	9 proceedings related to the plaintiffs and the defendants
¹⁰ you please read back the last question.	¹⁰ in those criminal cases and the criminal investigation
11 (Question and answer on	¹¹ records.
¹² Page 97, Line 14-18	¹² Those are the things that come immediately to mind.
¹³ read by the reporter.)	¹³ There may be more.
14	¹⁴ Q You indicated that some of the documents that you
¹⁵ THE WITNESS: I can't answer that	¹⁵ reviewed in order to make the representations that you
16 question without divulging attorney-client privileged	¹⁶ make in Exhibit No. 6 are the defendants' discovery
¹⁷ information.	¹⁷ responses; is that correct?
¹⁸ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you speak with Jim Larkin about any	¹⁸ A Yes.
¹⁹ of the representations in your declaration?	¹⁹ I was referring specifically, and I should clarify,
²⁰ MR. SCHNEIDER: I believe this is	²⁰ to interrogatory responses.
²¹ still in the timeframe you previously stated, which is	²¹ Q Did any of the other managers of the Backpage.com
²² with regard to the time for purposes of preparing the	²² defendants provide information that was disclosed in
²³ declaration, correct?	²³ those interrogatory responses?
²⁴ MR. AMALA: Correct.	²⁴ A I don't believe I can answer that question without
²⁵ THE WITNESS: "So did you speak with	²⁵ divulging information protected by the attorney-client
Dama 02	Darra 100
Page 98	Page 100
¹ Jim Larkin about any of the representations for purposes	¹ privilege.
2 of preparing your declaration?" No.	² Q Ms. McDougall, just to make sure we have a good record
³ Q (By Mr. Amala) Why not?	³ on this, in response to a number of my questions on
⁴ A I cannot answer that question without divulging	⁴ this, you've indicated that you don't think you can
⁵ attorney-client privileged information.	⁵ respond without invading privilege, and I want to make
⁶ Q Did you speak with Andrew Padilla regarding the	⁶ sure we are clear.
7 representations made in your declaration that is Exhibit	7 To the extent you have said that, that is an
⁸ No. 6?	8 indication to me that you will not answer the question
⁹ A Not for purposes of preparing the declaration.	⁹ based on attorney-client privilege.
¹⁰ Q Why not?	¹⁰ Is that correct?
¹¹ A I cannot answer that question without divulging	¹¹ A That is correct.
¹² attorney-client privileged information.	¹² Q Earlier when I asked what information you relied on in
¹³ Q In the second paragraph of your declaration that is	¹³ order to make the representations that you make in your
¹⁴ Exhibit No. 6, you state, "As part of my role as general	¹⁴ declaration, that is Exhibit No. 6, you indicated that
¹⁵ counsel for Backpage.com, I have reviewed documents	¹⁵ you processed information that you've learned over time.
¹⁶ sufficient to testify regarding the below. If called as	¹⁶ Does that include conversations that you've had
¹⁷ a witness, I could and would testify thereto."	¹⁷ with management at Backpage.com regarding issues that
¹⁸ Do you see that?	¹⁸ you talk about in Exhibit No. 6?
¹⁹ A I do.	¹⁹ A No.
²⁰ Q Did the defendants authorize you to submit this	²⁰ Q In Paragraph No. 3 of your declaration that is Exhibit
²¹ declaration?	²¹ No. 6, you state that, "Except where otherwise noted,
²² A Yes.	²² the policies and practices described below apply to the
²³ Q What documents did you review in order to make the	²³ relevant period in this case, between June 2010 and
²⁴ representations that you make in Exhibit No. 6?	²⁴ September 2010 (the 'relevant period')."
²⁵ A I reviewed the documents that are attached to my	²⁵ Do you see that?
Page 99	Page 101

1 A Ido.	 other witnesses. Paragraph No. 4 is based on my own personal
 ² Q You didn't work as general counsel for Backpage.com ³ between lune 2010 and September 2010 correct? 	
totally about the company of peneloo and produced norm	G ram.
 ⁸ A The documents that I described to you previously, and ⁹ that would include as well deposition transcripts from 	
	nobolio iroli inoliolog and any copies er aneren
 former employees' depositions that you've taken in this case 	
	 produced in this case. Paragraph No. 6 the first sentence of Paragraph
¹² Q Do you have any personal knowledge regarding the	r aragraph field, the met contened of r aragraph
 company's policies and practices from June 2010 until Contambas 20100 	nor e lo activo inclui otatomono, paraoatariy
14 September 2010?	 from media statements by the AIM group, so I guess I can't say I have personal knowledge of that.
 A I was not with the company at that time. The personal knowledge I have is the personal 	
 Q So your declaration is essentially a summary by you of how you interpret or review the documents and prior 	
 A No, I would not characterize it that way. A Tall are utaway and a state of a state	Seen rewent one presentations that i senere indicate
 Q Tell me why you would not characterize it that way. A The declaration is a reflection of the facts in the 	
23 A The declaration is a reflection of the facts in the	²⁵ The month of September, the numbers posted there, I
Page 102	Page 104
¹ documents and the testimony that I've described.	¹ did not have personal knowledge at that time.
² Q Other than reviewing documents and prior deposition	² That figure came from a PowerPoint presentation,
³ testimony, you don't have any personal knowledge about	³ and my knowledge came from the PowerPoint presentation.
4 those facts, do you?	4 The posting in numerous categories and the
⁵ A I have personal knowledge of some of these facts, yes.	⁵ subcategories in the adult category again came from my
⁶ Q And what is the basis for that personal knowledge?	⁶ own review of the website, which actually, you know, I
⁷ A Logging onto the Backpage.com website.	7 reviewed the Backpage.com website prior to February
⁸ Q Okay. What else?	⁸ 15th, 2012 when I joined the company. I had looked at
⁹ A Well, to answer that question specifically, I will need	⁹ it before.
¹⁰ to go through the declaration paragraph by paragraph.	¹⁰ It comes from my review of the website as well as
11 Would you like me to do that?	¹¹ looking up historical pages through the Internet
¹² Q That would be great, please.	¹² Archive.
¹³ A I have personal knowledge that I'm general counsel for	¹³ Paragraph No. 7 is based on documents and on the
¹⁴ Backpage.com.	14 testimony of prior witnesses and also the operation of
¹⁵ I have personal knowledge that I'm over 18 years of	¹⁵ the website today and viewing the pages going back
¹⁶ age, not by much though.	¹⁶ historically on Internet Archive that indicate how it is
¹⁷ I have personal knowledge that I'm competent to	¹⁷ that that have pages that show how ads are created.
¹⁸ provide testimony under oath.	¹⁸ I would say the same with respect to the second
¹⁹ I have personal knowledge that I have reviewed	¹⁹ sentence of Paragraph No. 7.
²⁰ documents sufficient to testify to the facts below.	²⁰ The third sentence of Paragraph No. 7, I would say
-	²¹ the same thing.
²¹ I have personal knowledge that if I was called as a	
	²² Fourth paragraph of Paragraph No of 7, I would
 witness, as I have been here today, I can and will 	 Fourth paragraph of Paragraph No of 7, I would say the same thing.
 witness, as I have been here today, I can and will testify to the facts here. 	
 witness, as I have been here today, I can and will testify to the facts here. 	 say the same thing.

1	Paragraph No. 8, the first sentence comes from my	1	I may have seen it in Internet Archive, but it was
2	review of the complaint, the first amended complaint,	2	among the documents produced in the case.
3	the second amended complaint, and the various deposition	3	15 is based on my personal experience of what
4	transcripts I read and the many, many media statements	4	existed at the company when I arrived, what the policies
5	and performances by one of the plaintiffs and her	5	and practices were, and documents third-party
6	family.	6	documents, as well as documents we produced, and
7	The second sentence, I've seen the documents to	7	testimony that described the evolution that's referenced
8	know that there were records located that may relate to	8	in the first sentence of Paragraph No. 15.
9	the plaintiffs in the time period indicated.	9	The second sentence is primarily from internal
10	I think it's the third sentence, the information	10	documents that I reviewed as well as the testimony from
11	comes from, again, review of documents and review of	11	depositions in this case.
12	transcripts and particularly review of some of the	12	Same answer for the next sentence.
13	criminal records for the cases at issue.	13	The last sentence of 15, same answer.
14	I would say the same with respect to the next	14	First sentence of 16, that is from my own
15	sentence.	15	experience, my own personal experience, with the
16	Same thing with respect to the following sentence	16	website, looking at historical pages, also looking at
17	and the sentence after that and the sentence after that,	17	documents that reflected the creation of posting rules
18	in particular having sat in on the deposition with	18	and the report buttons, et cetera, and the systems for
19	Mr. Shabazz and having read the criminal investigation	19	the systems for reviewing e-mails sent from users, and
20	and criminal trial records related to Mr. Hopson.	20	then again I have attached an exhibit that demonstrates
21	The same with respect to the next sentence.	21	this.
22	The same with the last sentence of Paragraph No. 8.	22	17 is based on my personal knowledge of the system
23	The first sentence of Paragraph No. 9 comes from	23	that existed when I arrived at the company, that had
24	current review of the website, again, historical copies	24	clearly wasn't a new operation, had been in place and
25	of the website. It also comes from my personal	25	evolving for some time.
	D (00)		D 400
	Page 106		Page 108
1	experience with the website, to the extent that that's	1	It is also based on documents produced in this
2	reflective of what the policies and practices were at	2	case, particularly some of the PowerPoint presentations
3	the time period addressed by the declaration.	3	and the testimony from witnesses in the case,
4	Paragraph No. 10, you can see that my information	4	particularly former employees.
5	comes from Exhibit B, which was a copy of the terms of	5	Same with the second sentence of Paragraph No. 17,
6	use that cover the relevant period.	6	except that I would add that there are also e-mail
7	Paragraph No. 11, it's based on my personal	7	messages related to this topic that were produced in
8	experience and knowledge of the current website, of	8	this case.
9	historical copies, and in particular the exhibits that	9	Same answer with respect to the last sentence of
10	you can see that I've attached to the declaration.	10	Paragraph No. 17.
11	First sentence of Paragraph No. 12, again, comes	11	Paragraph No. 18 came from both my personal
12	from review of documents, documents that we have	12	experience arriving at the company and observing
13	produced, review of the website, review of historic	13	policies and practices that clearly were not new to
14	copies of the website.	14	anyone.
15	I think that's true of that whole paragraph, and in	15	They had seemed to have existed historically. That
16	particular I included a screenshot of the posting roles.	16	was my observation and assessment.
17	MR. SCHNEIDER: That's an exhibit?	17	It was also in, I believe, the testimony of former
18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, Exhibit E.	18	employees.
19	Paragraph No. 13, this was based on documents that	19	The next sentence, "Moderators" again, that was
20	we produced and my own viewing of this page on the	20	from my personal experience in arriving at the company
21	website when it existed.	21	and reviewing documents that related to the moderation,
22	There is a screenshot attached too.	22	both current and historic.
23	14, similarly it was based on this was based on	23	The same answer with the next sentence, there were
24	documents that I saw.	24	e-mails, in particular, and a kind of a guideline
25	I don't remember seeing this myself on the website.	25	training presentation regarding nudity standards.
	Page 107		Page 109
ļ	1 490 101	l	

1	There's a plethora of documentation regarding the	1 (Exhibit No. 7 marked
2	instruction that moderators were to remove sorry, no,	² for identification.)
3	this is removing images certain obscene or sexual I	3
4	was referring to images.	⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
5	There are some, a few documents, that I've seen	⁵ been marked as Exhibit No. 7.
6	regarding removing certain obscene or sexual terms or	⁶ Please take a moment to review it and let me know
7	phrases from ads.	7 when you're finished.
8	19 I know from personal experience from the systems	⁸ A Okay. I can't say I've read every single line on it,
9	when I arrived and from meetings I had personally with	⁹ but I've reviewed it.
10	NCMEC where we described they explained to me the	¹⁰ Q What is Exhibit No. 7?
11	historical evolution of the work between Backpage and	¹¹ A It I don't I didn't create this document.
12	NCMEC.	¹² I can tell you that it appears to be printouts of
13	Then there is an attached e-mail that supports that	¹³ ads from the Backpage.com website.
14	as well, that you can see.	¹⁴ Q Do you know who these advertisements depict?
15	Paragraph No. 20, this is based on what was clearly	¹⁵ A I can't tell from the images, but based on the use of
16	the culture of the company when I arrived and had been.	¹⁶ the name "Joy," I believe that they depict the Plaintiff
17	It was ingrained at all levels of the company,	¹⁷ J.S. in this case.
18	particularly among anybody working in the moderation	¹⁸ Q Did you review the advertisements that we see in Exhibit
19	system.	¹⁹ No. 7 in order to prepare your declaration that is
20	It is also clear from the deposition testimony of	²⁰ Exhibit No. 6?
21	former employees and from the documents regarding	²¹ A I reviewed a selection of them.
22	implementation of these policies, rules, and	²² Q Which selection of them did you review?
23	restrictions, that were produced in this case.	²³ A I don't recall.
24	I would say the same of the second sentence of	²⁴ Q How did you decide which ones to review?
25	Paragraph No. 20.	²⁵ A It was rather random.
	Page 110	Page 112
1	Those are also reflected in Exhibits J through N,	¹ There were a lot of ads, and I looked through them
2	particularly N, showing our law enforcement guide.	² and examined some more closely than others.
3	Exhibits J through M, that are sorry, J, K, and	³ Q Okay. Earlier, when you were describing the basis for
4	L, that are copies of e-mail communications between	4 your knowledge that the plaintiffs allege that pimps
5	Backpage and law enforcement, from the timeframe before	⁵ placed advertisements on Backpage.com allegedly offering
6	I arrived at the company, this is just a small	⁶ Plaintiffs for prostitution, you said that some of the
7	smattering of the e-mails of praise and thanks and	7 information you relied on were performances by the
8	accolades from federal, state, and local government and	⁸ plaintiffs.
9	law enforcement officials explaining how far and above	9 Did I understand you correctly?
10	we go, compared to other online service providers, to	¹⁰ A I don't think so.
11	try to help prevent the sexual exploitation of children	¹¹ Are you reading from the declaration?
12	and to help rescue children and locate, arrest, and	¹² Q Yes.
13	convict the perpetrators when an abusive situation has	¹³ I believe when you were describing the basis for
14	occurred.	¹⁴ your understanding that the plaintiffs allege they were
15	21 is based on my own personal knowledge of other	¹⁵ advertised on Backpage.com by pimps, I believe you said
16	online service providers and the measures that they use	¹⁶ your basis part of the basis for that representation
17	to prevent improper content, particularly content	¹⁷ or your understanding is performances by the plaintiffs.
18	regarding minors, and to cooperate with law enforcement,	¹⁸ Did I not hear you correctly?
19	and these things have been sometimes they're published	¹⁹ A No, I don't recall ever using the word "performances."
20	on their websites or they're in the media, but it's	20 (Exhibit No. 8 marked
21	information gathered over the course of my experience	21 for identification.)
22	and my career, which included the year 2010.	22
23	Finally, my signature on Page 10, I was in a car,	²³ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
24	which explains why it looks like it was written by a	²⁴ been marked as Exhibit No. 8.
25	5-year-old.	²⁵ Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 8, and
	Page 111	Page 113

Т

 let me know when you're finished. A Yes, I have looked through Exhibit No. 8. Q Do you recognize Exhibit No. 8? A I recognize well, I didn't create this exhibit or the pages in it. I believe that these pages represent ads that were posted on Backpage, and they like with Exhibit No. 7, they also include administrative data, the back end user data regarding the ad. Q And A And these go ahead. Q Go ahead. A No, that's okay. I don't really remember what I was going to say. Q Do you know which Plaintiff is reflected in these ads that we see as Exhibit No. 8? A I am not sure. I think based on the name "Nichole," I believe that this plaintiff is S.L., but the e-mail address has the name "Tasha," and I believe that "Tasha" referred to Plaintiff L.C. I can't say for sure, but I believe these refer to S.L. Q The records that I've handed you that comprise Exhibit No. 8, these are records that were produced by 	 A I would apply to Exhibit No. 7 the same explanation that I applied to Exhibit No. 8. MR. SCHNEIDER: Before you get to the next exhibit, I think we will take an afternoon break, and I would defer to you as to when that might be. MR. AMALA: Let's take it now. MR. SCHNEIDER: Sounds like now. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:37 p.m. (Recess 3:37 to 4:03 p.m.) (Exhibit No. 9 marked for identification.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. This marks the beginning of Disc No. 3 in the video deposition of Elizabeth McDougall. Back on the record, the time is 4:03 p.m. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's been marked as Exhibit No. 9. Please take a moment to review that exhibit, and let me know when you're finished. MR. SCHNEIDER: I am just looking at your exhibits not yet marked. You are about out, aren't you? There is no need to look for additional ones that
Page 114	Page 116
 Backpage.com in this litigation, correct? A That's what the Bates stamp indicates. Q Do these appear to be true and accurate copies of those records? A Yes, I believe so. Q And these are records that Backpage.com maintains in their ordinary course of business, correct? A Kind of. Q Help me understand your answer. A Sorry. I believe that these I believe that these records were produced in response just based on based on their format, I believe these are records that were produced to law enforcement in response to a subpoena, and they would have been kept in the ordinary course of business because as part of our records of the subpoenas that we've received and responded to. Does that make sense? Q Yes, I understand. The same questions about Exhibit No. 7, do these appear to be true and accurate copies of these records that were produced by Backpage.com in discovery? A They appear to be. Q And were these records maintained by Backpage.com in its ordinary course of business? 	 don't exist. THE WITNESS: Okay. I have looked through Exhibit No. 9. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you recognize Exh bit No. 9? A I've seen some of these documents before in compilations of documents that we've produced in this case. Q Do you understand that Exhibit No. 9 contains advertisements of Plaintiff L.C.? A I don't know exactly what each of the documents in Exhibit No. 9 is or reflects. Q Did you review any documents regarding Plaintiff L.C. before you signed the declaration that is Exhibit No. 6? A Yes, I had seen some. Q Which what documents regarding Plaintiff L.C. did you review before you signed your declaration that is Exhibit No. 6? A I don't recall. Q Did you review all of the advertisements in Backpage.com's position regarding Plaintiff J.S. before you signed your declaration? MR. SCHNEIDER: If you know. THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe I reviewed all of them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you review all of the advertisements of Plaintiff S.L. before you signed your declaration?
1 age 110	i age i ii

A S.L.? I believe I did.	1 members of the legal team reviewed all of the ads, and
² Q Did you review all of the advertisements regarding	² it's based it's also based largely on the evidence
³ Plaintiff L.C. before you signed your declaration?	³ from the testimony of the former employees and the
4 A No.	4 testimony and defense interviews provided in the
⁵ Q Why did you not review all of the advertisements	⁵ criminal cases regarding Hopson and Shabazz and Shadina
⁶ regarding Plaintiffs J.S. and L.C. before you signed	⁶ Rice.
7 your declaration?	7 That's the best of my recollection right now.
⁸ A Many of them were the same ad repeated or reposted.	8 There may have been other things at the time.
⁹ Q In Paragraph No. 8 of your declaration, that is Exhibit	⁹ Q Backpage does not have well, str ke that.
¹⁰ No. 6, you state	¹⁰ Backpage did not store copies of what ads looked
¹¹ A Can I just have a second to get there, please?	¹¹ like before and after they were edited by either the
¹² Q Mm-hm.	¹² automatic filtering system or the manual moderation
¹³ MR. SCHNEIDER: 6, right?	¹³ system, correct?
¹⁴ THE WITNESS: 6.	¹⁴ A Yes, that's my understanding.
¹⁵ Okay.	¹⁵ Q So to the extent that Backpage
¹⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Exhibit No. 6, Paragraph No. 8.	¹⁶ A I'm sorry, let me just caveat that.
¹⁷ A Got it.	¹⁷ I assume that when you asked that question, you are
¹⁸ Q In Paragraph No. 8 of your declaration, that is Exhibit	¹⁸ referring to the 2010 time period.
¹⁹ No. 6, the second sentence, you state, "Backpage.com has	¹⁹ Again, I wasn't with the company at that time.
²⁰ searched its records and has located advertisements that	²⁰ That's a question I may be able to answer for you
²¹ may relate to Plaintiffs that were placed between June	²¹ tomorrow, but I don't have specific personal knowledge
²² 27th, 2010 and September 22nd, 2010."	²² about that at this about that 2010 time period right
²³ Do you see that?	²³ now, with respect to your question.
²⁴ A I do.	²⁴ Q During the time period of June 27th, 2010 through
²⁵ Q What is the basis for your knowledge that Backpage	²⁵ September 22nd, 2010, Backpage did not store any data
Page 118	Page 120
1 searched its records and located advertisements that may	¹ regarding what an ad looked like before and after either
 relate to the plaintiffs that were placed on the website 	 the automatic filtering system or the manual moderation
 between June 27, 2010 and September 22nd, 2010? 	³ system, correct?
⁴ A Because it was done at my direction in response to	⁴ A I think I just answered that question.
 receipt of the complaints when we were collecting 	⁵ Q I'm just making sure we have a clear record, since you
6 documents to make sure that everything that we had	⁶ wanted
 related to the case was preserved. 	⁷ A Sure.
 8 Initially there were some limitations because we 	8 My answer is that in sitting here today in my
 9 didn't know the identity of the plaintiffs. 	 9 personal capacity, I can't answer that with certainty
¹⁰ Q Where were those advertisements located?	¹⁰ because I wasn't with the company at that time, but I
¹¹ A It is my recollection that we were only able to	11 may be able to answer that or the company may be able to
¹² because so much time had passed between the events and	¹² answer that for you tomorrow.
¹³ the filing of the complaint, we were only able to find	¹³ Q Sitting here today, are you aware of any evidence that
¹⁴ copies of the advertisements in our responses to law	 Backpage kept any data regarding what an ad looked like
 ¹⁵ enforcement subpoenas that we had received. 	¹⁵ before and after either the automatic filter or the
16 Q In the fourth sentence of Paragraph No. 8 in your	¹⁶ manual moderation practice?
 declaration, which is Exh bit No. 6, you state, "Nor is 	 ¹⁷ A You are referring to the period from June to September
 there any evidence that Backpage removed any text or 	¹⁸ 2010?
19 photographs from any of the ads."	19 Q Correct.
²⁰ Do you see that?	²⁰ A I do not have personal knowledge of that.
21 A I do.	²¹ Q I am not asking about personal knowledge.
 ²¹ A Tuo. ²² Q What is the basis for your representation that there is 	 Right now I am asking in the context of the
 ²³ no evidence that Backpage removed any text or 	 23 discovery deposition.
 photographs from any of the ads of the plaintiffs? 	Are you aware of any such evidence?
 ²⁵ A The basis is the ads that I reviewed myself, but other 	²⁵ A Not at this moment.
Page 119	Page 121

 Q So if any of the plaintiffs' ads were actually edited by Backpage.com before they were posted on the website, Backpage didn't keep any evidence regarding such edits, correct? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't know, as I sit here today. Q (By Mr. Amala) The representation in your declaration that you submitted in support of Backpage's motion for summary judgment is that there's no evidence that Backpage removed any text or photographs from any of the ads, correct? A I believe that's correct. Q Did you ask anyone at Backpage.com whether or not there was any evidence in its possession regarding whether any of these ads were edited before they were posted on the website? A I don't think I can answer I don't think I can answer that question without divulging attorney-client communications. Yeah. Q So are you declining to answer that question? A I am. Q Based on privilege? A Yes. O In the second to last sentence in Paragraph No. 8 of 	1 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had any discussions with 2 any of the management team at Backpage.com as to whether 3 the posting rules were intended to help sex traffickers 4 post ads that would not draw the attention of law 5 post ads that would not draw the attention of law 6 MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection. 7 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 8 question without disclosing attorney-client privileged 9 communications. 10 Q Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you had any discussions with 11 management at Backpage.com as to whether any of the 12 policies and procedures on the website were intended to 13 help sex traffickers post ads that would be less likely 14 to draw the attention of law enforcement? 15 MR. SCHNEIDER: Hang on a second. 16 The witness can stay here. 17 (Pause in the proceedings.) 18 MR. AMALA: Let's go off the record. 19 MR. AMALA: Let's go off the record. 20 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the 21 (Recess 4:26 to 4:55 p.m.)
²⁵ Q In the second to last sentence in Paragraph No. 8 of	
Page 122	Page 124
 your declaration, that is Exhibit No. 6, you state, "Backpage.com personnel did not collude or conspire with the users who posted the ads." Do you see that? A I do. Q Did you ask anyone at Backpage.com whether or not, during the period of June 27th, 2010 through September 22nd, 2010, they had implemented any policies or procedures to help pimps post sex trafficking ads on Backpage.com? A I can't answer that question without divulging attorney-client communication, but I can refer you to the documents produced in this case that address that issue. Q Are you declining to fully answer my question based on attorney-client privilege? A I am declining to answer your question regarding asking anyone at Backpage.com. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form. You can rephrase in a way that does not invite the witness to disclose any confidential information. If you can, I would advise you to do so. 	1 THE WITNESS: Okay. You had a 2 question pending. 3 Do you want to have it re-read? 4 MR. AMALA: Yes, please. 5 Madam Court Reporter, can you please read back the 6 last question? 7 (Question on Page 124, Line 11- 8 15 read by the reporter.) 9 THE WITNESS: No. 11 I would also like to go back and correct my answer 12 to your prior question, which was have I ever had any 13 discussions with any of the management team at 14 Backpage.com as to whether the posting rules were 15 intended to help sex traffickers post ads that would not 16 draw the attention of law enforcement, and the answer 17 there is "no" as well. 18 Q 19 management of Backpage.com whether or not the policies 20 and procedures for the website were intended to help sex 21 traffickers post ads that would be less likely to draw 22 the attention of law enforcement? 23 A No. 24 Q Why not? 25 A Sorry, m
Page 123	Page 125

 privilege issues. It's not that I don't have an answer. To explain why not, I would have to divulge attorney-client privileged information and communications. Q Have you ever asked any of the management at Backpage.com whether or not the website's policies and procedures were intended to promote sex trafficking on 	 example, like NCMEC's statement in the PSI report that there's no way to tell if the advertisement or image of someone online is a minor. Also, statements, admissions by the plaintiffs
attorney-client privileged information and communications. Q Have you ever asked any of the management at Backpage.com whether or not the website's policies and	 ³ someone online is a minor. ⁴ Also, statements, admissions by the plaintiffs
communications. Q Have you ever asked any of the management at Backpage.com whether or not the website's policies and	⁴ Also, statements, admissions by the plaintiffs
Q Have you ever asked any of the management at Backpage.com whether or not the website's policies and	vice, statemente, damesione by the plainting
Backpage.com whether or not the website's policies and	
	⁵ regarding their compliance with terms of use that
	6 prohibited advertisements by minors.
procedures were interface to promote cox trainering on	7 The testimony of former employees who reviewed
the website?	⁸ examples of ads and explained why they could not know
A No.	⁹ that ads posted regarding the plaintiffs involved
Q Why not?	10 minors.
A To explain, I would have to divulge attorney-client	¹¹ There's a whole multitude of reasons.
information.	¹² Those are some examples.
Q Have you ever told any of the management with	¹³ Q (By Mr. Amala) Any reason that Backpage.com could not
Backpage.com that you believed the website was exposed	have required these girls to provide photo
to civil liability for removing content from ads that	¹⁵ identification showing they were over 18 before their
suggested the ads were sex for money before they were	¹⁶ ads were posted on Backpage.com?
posted on the website?	¹⁷ A They we are talking about the period 2010.
MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection to the form	¹⁸ There was no technical method to do age
of the question.	¹⁹ verification through identity cards or otherwise, that
MR. RYAN: Calls for privileged	²⁰ had any efficacy.
information.	²¹ It could be done for window-dressing purposes.
THE WITNESS: I believe I can't	²² Q Between June 27th, 2010 and September 22nd, 2010,
answer that question without disclosing attorney-client	²³ Village Voice Media had a policy that any ads that were
privileged information.	²⁴ posted in the adult section of its traditional weeklies
Q (By Mr. Amala) Again, Ms. McDougall, to the extent you	²⁵ required a photo identification, correct?
Page 126	Page 128
answer today that you believe you can't answer a	¹ A I believe that's true.
question because it calls for attorney-client privileged	² I didn't work for the company at the time.
communication, am I correct that you are declining to	³ Q Any reason why Backpage.com could not have instituted a
answer the questions I've asked based on privilege?	4 similar practice during the time period June 27th, 2010
A Yes, I am declining at this time.	⁵ through September 22nd, 2010?
Q Is that true for the other responses you have given	⁶ A Yes, many reasons.
where you have indicated you believe your answer would	7 As I just explained, it's not a technological,
require the disclosure of attorney-client privileged	8 practical, or actually effective solution.
information?	⁹ You have one website that is headquartered in one
A Yes.	¹⁰ location, and it serves the entire country.
Q The last sentence of Paragraph No. 8 in your	11 You can't have there's no place for people to go
declaration, that is Exhibit No. 6, you state,	¹² in and show their ID, and there's no effective
"Backpage.com had no knowledge, or any way of knowing,	 technology there was certainly none in 2010 for an
at the time the ads were posted, who the plaintiffs	¹⁴ online verification.
were, whether they were minors or whether they were	15 Q So let's use these girls who were advertised on
being exploited."	¹⁶ Backpage.com in the Seattle, Tacoma area.
That's the last sentence of Paragraph No. 8 in your	¹⁷ During the time period that we are talking about,
declaration, that's Exhibit No. 6.	 from June 27th, 2010 until September 22nd, 2010, why
Do you see that?	 ¹⁹ could Village Voice Media what was preventing Village
A Ido.	 voice Media from requiring that these girls come down to
Q What is the basis for that representation?	 Village Voice's office here in Seattle and present photo
MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection; asked and	 vinage voice's once here in Seattle and present photo identification showing they were over 18 before their
answered. THE WITNESS: The basis is the	
	 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form of the question.
documents that I've reviewed, including things, for	
documents that I've reviewed, including things, for Page 127	Page 129

Г

 THE WITNESS: Village Voice Media did not have an office in Seattle. At that time the headquarters was in Phoenix. There wasn't an office in Seattle. I further explained all the technological 	 Q Any reason that Backpage.com could not have devoted some of the profit that it made in 2010 to requiring age verification for ads posted in the escort section of the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form.
 6 challenges. 	6 THE WITNESS: Well, I wasn't there in
 I don't think it's reasonable to expect users to 	 2010, so I can't I can't say specifically, but
 ⁸ fly to Phoenix to show their ID in person, and any 	 ⁸ Backpage.com, at that time, was investing heavily in
 ⁹ requirement to take a photograph of an ID, it's 	 ⁹ consultation with a child online safety expert in actual
¹⁰ there's no it's so easily thwarted, that there is no	 practical effective methods to try to prevent the
¹¹ efficacy to it.	 exploitation of children.
¹² Furthermore, we know that there were false	 As I explained, the few technical age verification
¹³ identifications created so that showed at least some	¹³ systems that were offered out there were utterly
 of the plaintiffs being overage. 	¹⁴ ineffective, and they were nothing more than window
¹⁵ In fact, the Plaintiff J.S. was able to be arrested	¹⁵ dressing, so it really would have been a false effort if
¹⁶ and released, I believe, twice through using false	 they had spent money to implement age verification at
¹⁷ identity that indicated that she was 22 or 23 rather	¹⁷ the time.
 than underage. 	 ¹⁸ Q (By Mr. Amala) Why was Backpage.com exploring age
¹⁹ The police could not and did not identify her as a	 verification during the period June 27, 2010 through
20 minor and hold her.	²⁰ September 22nd, 2010?
²¹ Q Between June 27th, 2010 and September 22nd, 2010,	²¹ MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form if
 Village Voice Media owned the Seattle Weekly, correct? 	²² it invites the disclosure of privileged information.
 ²³ A I believe it owned the Seattle Weekly through that whole 	
 period. I don't know that specifically. 	²⁴ don't know.
²⁵ Q Seattle Weekly has offices here in Seattle, correct?	²⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you ask anyone from Backpage.com's
Page 130	Page 132
1 A Yes, it did at that time.	¹ management whether there was a way for Backpage.com to
² Q And if someone wanted to post an ad in the adult section	² know, between June 27, 2010 and September 22nd, 2010,
³ of the Seattle Weekly during that time period, they were	³ whether any of these girls were being exploited through
4 required to bring a photo ID down to the offices of the	⁴ the ads that were being posted of them on Backpage.com?
⁵ Seattle Weekly, correct?	⁵ A No.
⁶ A I don't know.	⁶ Q Why not?
7 Q Did you strike that.	⁷ A Because I already knew the answer.
8 So are you able to say why Village Voice Media, the	⁸ Q And what's the answer?
⁹ same company that owned Backpage.com during the time	⁹ A The answer is "no."
¹⁰ period we are talking about, could not have required	¹⁰ Q What is the basis for that answer?
that these girls bring a photo ID to the offices of the	¹¹ A What limited research has been done to date, the
¹² Seattle Weekly in Seattle before their ads were posted	¹² statements and conclusions of experts in this area,
¹³ on Backpage.com?	¹³ including the National Center for Missing & Exploited
14 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form.	¹⁴ Children, law enforcement, Dr. Sharon Cooper who advises
15 THE WITNESS: I think I have largely	¹⁵ NCMEC on ways to identify children, the experience my
¹⁶ answered that question, but the Seattle Weekly offices	¹⁶ personal experience to date in investigating these
¹⁷ had nothing to do with the operation of Backpage, so	¹⁷ issues, various research papers that I've read.
¹⁸ there would it would not have made a difference to	¹⁸ Q Did you ask Backpage.com's management team whether or
¹⁹ posting online if girls brought their false ID into the	¹⁹ not there was any information available to the company,
²⁰ Seattle Weekly's office.	²⁰ between June 27th, 2010 and September 22nd, 2010, that
²¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) What was Backpage.com's net profit back	²¹ would have allowed the company to identify these girls
²² in 2010?	²² as being exploited?
²³ A I don't know.	²³ A No.
²⁴ Q What was Backpage.com's profit in 2011?	²⁴ Q Did you ever ask any of the management of Backpage.com
²⁵ A I don't know.	²⁵ whether there ever existed evidence as to whether or not
Page 131	Page 133

 No. 6. A Okay. Q In the first sentence you state strike that. In the first sentence in Paragraph No. 15 of your declaration, that is Exhibit No. 6, you state, "Backpage.com also employed extensive, voluntary monitoring to prevent and remove improper user postings, practices that evolved throughout 2010." Do you see that? A I do. Q You then describe those monitoring efforts, practices, 	 A No, I would not agree with that statement. 7 Q Tell me why you don't agree with that statement. 8 A It was your characterization of the volume of 9 adult-related terms. 10 Q Okay. I said that a lot of those terms were terms that 11 the company had identified as reflecting that an ad was 12 sex for money. 13 You don't agree that a lot of the terms were ads 14 were words that the company had identified as reflecting 15 an ad was sex for money? 16 A One, I would need to understand what you mean by "a
 A I had very few communications with Mr. Lacey. He had very little involvement with Backpage.com. Q I would like to look at strike that. I would like to ask you a few questions about Paragraph No. 15 to your declaration, that is Exhibit 	 terms, correct? A Correct. Q And you understand that a lot of those terms were terms that the company had identified as reflecting that an ad was sex for money, correct?
 Backpage removed any text or photographs from any of the ads of the plaintiffs? A Sorry, I am going to have to read that question again here. I don't believe I can answer that question without divulging attorney-client communications. Q And for that reason are you going to decline to answer that question? A At this time, yes. Q Have you ever talked with Carl Ferrer about whether the posting rules for Backpage.com were implemented in order to promote sex trafficking? A No. Q Have you ever asked anyone with Backpage.com's management team whether or not the posting rules were implemented to help promote sex trafficking? A No. Q Did you ever ask Jim Larkin whether or not the posting rules were implemented to help promote sex trafficking? A No. Q Did you ever ask Michael Lacey whether or not the posting rules were implemented to help promote sex trafficking? A Definitely not. Q Why did you give different answers to the two? 	 Q Thank you. Do you see that? A I do. Q Did you ever ask Carl Ferrer whether or not this automated filtering system was intended to help promote sex trafficking on the website? A No. Q Did you ever ask anyone from Backpage.com whether or not this automated filtering system was intended to promote sex trafficking? A No. Q You understand that this automated filtering system that removed, quote, "red-flag terms," closed quote, included terms that the company had identified as suggesting that the ad was sex for money, correct? A I believe you misrepresented what that sentence says. I can look at the screen. Yeah, that sentence does not say the automated filtering system removed red-flag terms. It said the system scanned millions of posts for the red-flag terms. Q Let's start with that. A Okay. Q You understand that that automated filtering system was scanning posts to the website looking for these red-flag

¹ those prohibited terms or phrases and then posted the	¹ that when Backpage would remove an image from an ad,
2 remainder of the ad, correct?	2 this is what would show up on the admin tool?
³ A Sorry, this isn't updating automatically, so I have to	³ A No.
⁴ keep scrolling down to find your question. (Indicating)	4 It's my understanding that this is what shows up
⁵ I understand that the automated filtering system	⁵ when the site, in this case Photobucket, hosting the ad,
⁶ would flag ads that contained you referred to them as	⁶ removed the ad or the video, so instead of the image
⁷ red-flag terms, terms on the list, and phrases.	⁷ appearing, being pulled from Photobucket, this is what
⁸ I would have to add to that, "URLs, IP addresses,	⁸ was appearing.
⁹ web addresses, e-mail addresses, et cetera," and then	⁹ Q Do you know why these images were removed by
¹⁰ and I do understand that for a short period of time	¹⁰ Photobucket?
¹¹ certain terms, phrases, URLs, phone numbers, et cetera,	¹¹ A No.
¹² would be removed from the ad and the rest of the ad	¹² Q Did Backpage at the time have any system in place to
¹³ allowed to go live to the site.	¹³ notify Photobucket if an ad was alleged to include
¹⁴ Q And that included both automated removal and manual	¹⁴ advertisements of a child for sex?
¹⁵ removal, correct?	¹⁵ A I don't know.
¹⁶ A I believe so, yes.	¹⁶ I wasn't there at that time.
¹⁷ Q And that automatic and manual removal strike that.	¹⁷ Q You said that one of the reasons you don't strike
¹⁸ That process of terms being scanned by the website	¹⁸ that.
¹⁹ and then being either automatically or manually removed,	¹⁹ Let me go back.
²⁰ that existed during the time that the plaintiffs alleged	²⁰ I had asked you whether or not it's true that we
they were advertised for sex on Backpage.com, correct?	²¹ don't have strike that.
²² A I don't know that at this time.	²² Let me start again.
²³ I don't recall.	²³ I had asked whether or not it's true that we don't
²⁴ Q We have no way of knowing whether or not the ads of the	²⁴ know whether or not Backpage either automatically or
²⁵ plaintiffs were, in fact, edited either automatically or	²⁵ manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs because
Page 138	Page 140
1 manually because Dealmann and district because of	
	1 Backnada didn't koon a conv at what an ad lookad like
1 manually, because Backpage.com did not keep copies of 2 what an ad leaked like before and after the automatic or	Backpage didn't keep a copy of what an ad looked like
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or 	² before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? 	 ² before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't ³ agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. 	 ² before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't ³ agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you ⁴ said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that."
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com?
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please.
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see it in the admin mode, for example when we provided 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically or manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see it in the admin mode, for example when we provided returns to subpoenas for law enforcement. 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically or manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs because Backpage didn't keep a copy of what an ad looked
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see it in the admin mode, for example when we provided returns to subpoenas for law enforcement. Q If you look at Exhibit No. 8, there are a number of 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically or manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs because Backpage didn't keep a copy of what an ad looked like before and after it was deleted.
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see it in the admin mode, for example when we provided returns to subpoenas for law enforcement. Q If you look at Exhibit No. 8, there are a number of pages of these advertisements for Plaintiff S.L. where 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically or manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs because Backpage didn't keep a copy of what an ad looked like before and after it was deleted. You said I said I didn't agree with you.
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see it in the admin mode, for example when we provided returns to subpoenas for law enforcement. Q If you look at Exhibit No. 8, there are a number of pages of these advertisements for Plaintiff S.L. where there's a box that says, "This image or video has been 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically or manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs because Backpage didn't keep a copy of what an ad looked like before and after it was deleted. You said I said I didn't agree with you. You asked me to tell you why.
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see it in the admin mode, for example when we provided returns to subpoenas for law enforcement. Q If you look at Exhibit No. 8, there are a number of pages of these advertisements for Plaintiff S.L. where there's a box that says, "This image or video has been moved or deleted." 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically or manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs because Backpage didn't keep a copy of what an ad looked like before and after it was deleted. You said I said I didn't agree with you. You asked me to tell you why. I said that first it would be obvious from the
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see it in the admin mode, for example when we provided returns to subpoenas for law enforcement. Q If you look at Exhibit No. 8, there are a number of pages of these advertisements for Plaintiff S.L. where there's a box that says, "This image or video has been moved or deleted." Do you see that? 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically or manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs because Backpage didn't keep a copy of what an ad looked like before and after it was deleted. You said I said I didn't agree with you. You asked me to tell you why. I said that first it would be obvious from the
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see it in the admin mode, for example when we provided returns to subpoenas for law enforcement. Q If you look at Exhibit No. 8, there are a number of pages of these advertisements for Plaintiff S.L. where there's a box that says, "This image or video has been moved or deleted." Do you see that? 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically or manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs because Backpage didn't keep a copy of what an ad looked like before and after it was deleted. You said I said I didn't agree with you. You asked me to tell you why. I said that first it would be obvious from the text obvious from the text, might be obvious from the
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see it in the admin mode, for example when we provided returns to subpoenas for law enforcement. Q If you look at Exhibit No. 8, there are a number of pages of these advertisements for Plaintiff S.L. where there's a box that says, "This image or video has been moved or deleted." Do you see that? A I do. Q Does that reflect that strike that. 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically or manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs because Backpage didn't keep a copy of what an ad looked like before and after it was deleted. You said I said I didn't agree with you. You asked me to tell you why. I said that first it would be obvious from the text obvious from the text, might be obvious from the images. Other reasons? Yeah, the evidence and testimony
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see it in the admin mode, for example when we provided returns to subpoenas for law enforcement. Q If you look at Exhibit No. 8, there are a number of pages of these advertisements for Plaintiff S.L. where there's a box that says, "This image or video has been moved or deleted." Do you see that? A I do. Q Does that reflect that strike that. 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically or manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs because Backpage didn't keep a copy of what an ad looked like before and after it was deleted. You said I said I didn't agree with you. You asked me to tell you why. I said that first it would be obvious from the text obvious from the text, might be obvious from the
 what an ad looked like before and after the automatic or manual editing, correct? A No, I wouldn't agree with that. Q Why wouldn't you agree with that? A Because it is my understanding that when a term was removed, it would it would be obvious in the text that something was missing. It wouldn't read properly, so there would that would be an indication. I'll have to verify the timeframe, which is something I can try to do for the 30(b)(6) deposition tomorrow, but ads that were deleted, at a certain point they were still viewable in the admin mode, so if an image had been removed, you would still be able to see it in the admin mode, for example when we provided returns to subpoenas for law enforcement. Q If you look at Exhibit No. 8, there are a number of pages of these advertisements for Plaintiff S.L. where there's a box that says, "This image or video has been moved or deleted." Do you see that? A I do. Q Does that reflect that strike that. 	 before and after it was edited, and you said you didn't agree with me, and I asked you to tell me why, and you said, "First, it would be obvious from the text that remains," and then second, you said that "At least in the admin tool if an image was deleted, you would be able to see that." Any other reasons why you don't agree with me that we may never know whether or not the ads of these Plaintiffs were edited before they were posted on Backpage.com? A That was a very long question, sir. Let me go back and read it, please. Okay. You asked whether or not it's true that we don't know whether or not Backpage either automatically or manually edited any of the ads of the plaintiffs because Backpage didn't keep a copy of what an ad looked like before and after it was deleted. You said I said I didn't agree with you. You asked me to tell you why. I said that first it would be obvious from the text obvious from the text, might be obvious from the images. Other reasons? Yeah, the evidence and testimony

 for trafficking them. Q Anything else? A I think the you would want to look at the sorry, the testimony of the former employees who were moderating ads at that time, and there may be others. That's all I can think of at this time, I'm afraid. Q Did you ask anyone at Backpage.com whether there was something the company could do to try to determine whether or not the ads of any of these Plaintiffs had been manually or automatically edited by Backpage.com? MR. RYAN: You can't answer to the extent it calls for privileged information. THE WITNESS: That's a good point. I would have to I cannot answer that question without divulging privileged communications. Q (By Mr. Amala) And for that reason are you going to decline to answer the question? A At this time, yes. Q Let's turn to Paragraph No. 17 in your declaration that is Exhibit No. 6. In Paragraph No. 17 of your declaration, you describe a two-tiered manual review system called "Moderation of ads submitted for posting to the adult 	 could try to trigger the safe harbor provision of the CDA? A No. Q Have you ever discussed the safe harbor provision of the CDA with management at Backpage.com? MR. RYAN: Object to the form. MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection to the form of the question, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose information that would be attorney-client privileged. MR. AMALA: Glad to see that everyone is awake. THE WITNESS: Yes, I think you will gather from that, that my answer will be I can't answer without disclosing attorney-client privileged communications and information. MR. RYAN: Can I ask, what is your intention about finishing? What have you got? MR. AMALA: Well, we have the 30(b)(6) tomorrow. We are obviously not going to finish with her personally today.
moderation of add capitilities for posting to the addit	
 category of the website." Do you see that? 	 have to ask the Court for guidance on regarding privilege
²⁵ Do you see that?	²⁵ privilege.
Page 142	Page 144
 1 A I do. 2 Q Did you ever ask anyone with Backpage.com whether or not that system was intended to promote sex trafficking on the website? 5 A No. 6 Q You stated that part of your knowledge for this representation is based on your experiences when you first arrived as corporate counsel in 2012. 9 Is that correct? 10 A I believe that that's one of the things I said earlier today. 12 Q Okay. When you learned that the company was manually editing ads, did you express any concern to the company 	 I mean, my preference would be to go until 6, and then we will start with the 30(b)(6) tomorrow and go from there, if that works for you guys. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall? A Yeah, that works for me. The other thing I might say is that I think we all recognize we are dealing with some very complex privilege issues here MR. SCHNEIDER: Wait for a question. MR. RYAN: I would like to know what you are about to say. I'm sorry, would you THE WITNESS: That's okay
¹⁴ as to whether or not that might expose the website to	¹⁴ MR. RYAN: Let's step out.
 civil liability? MR. RYAN: That calls for privileged information. 	 I just want to hear it first. MR. SCHNEIDER: There is no question pending.
¹⁸ If you can answer it in a nonprivileged way, please	¹⁸ THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay.
¹⁹ do. Otherwise, assert the privilege	¹⁹ MR. SCHNEIDER: So while there's no
 THE WITNESS: No, unfortunately I can't answer that without divulging privileged 	 question pending, if Mr. Ryan wants to THE WITNESS: Before we wrap up for
²² information and communications.	 the day no, it's okay. Before we wrap up for the day I will have a quick
 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever advised the company that it needed to try to appear as though it was engaged in 	Belore we wap up for the day I will have a querk
 it needed to try to appear as though it was engaged in good-faith editing of sex trafficking ads so that it 	 consultation with my many counsel here and MR. SCHNEIDER: Let's do it now.
good-rain coning of sex tranicking ads 50 tildt it	WIN. OUTIVEIDEN. LEUS dUTITIOW.
Page 143	Page 145

3 4 7 6 t 7 8 t 9 10 11 12 13 t 14 15 t 16 c 17 18 19 20 r 21 22 23 s 24 24 2	THE WITNESS:tell you what I was going to say. MR. RYAN: Yeah, we are broken right now MR. SCHNEIDER: Let's do it now while there's no question pending. It is just cleaner. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time 5:38 p.m. (Recess 5:38 to 5:42 p.m.) (Off the videotaped record.) MR. AMALA: The parties have agreed that we are going to leave the deposition open, acknowledging we have some issues that we need to ask the Court for guidance on, and we will come back another day to finish up with Ms. McDougall. Tomorrow we will do the 30(b)(6) MR. SCHNEIDER: To be precise, my understanding is you are not completing the deposition now, in your view, right? MR. AMALA: Correct. MR. SCHNEIDER: And that you want to start with the 30(b)(6) in the morning, recognizing you are not going to be able to complete the examination that you had underway today? MRa 146) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing deposition of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 25, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of the testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and no hing but the truth, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That 1 am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on the 28th day of April, 2017. Eyers & Anderson certifies that court reporting fees, arrangements, terms of payment, costs, and/or services are being offered to all par ies on equal terms, and that if there is an agreement between Byers & Anderson and/or its court reporters and any persons and/or entities involved in this ligation, Byers & Anderson shall disclose the agreement to all parties.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MR. AMALA: Correct.MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Very good.(Deposition concluded at 5:42 p.m.)(Bignature reserved.)	