Transcript of the Testimony of

Elizabeth McDougall

April 26, 2017

J.S. v. Village Voice Media Holdings LLC

Confidential -- Subject to Protective Order



Byers and Anderson, Inc.

Court Reporters/Video/Videoconferencing Seattle/Tacoma, Washington

scheduling@byersanderson.com www.byersanderson.com

One Union Square: 600 University Street, Suite 2300 Seattle, WA 98101-4128

Seattle: 206 340-1316 Toll Free: 800 649-2034

Old Town District: 2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202 Taccoma, WA 98403-3360

Tacoma: **253 627-6401** Fax: **253 383-4884**

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIERCE J.S., et al., Plaintiffs,) No. 12-2-11362-4 VS. VILLAGE VOICE MEDIA HOLDINGS, LLC, et al.,) Defendants.) 30(b)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL April 26, 2017 Seattle, Washington ***CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER*** Byers & Anderson, Inc. Court Reporters/Video/Videoconferencing 2208 North 30th Street, Suite 202 One Union Square 600 University St. Tacoma, WA 98403 (253) 627-6401 Suite 2300 Seattle, WA 98101 (253) 383-4884 Fax (206) 340-1316 scheduling@byersanderson.com (800) 649-2034 www.byersanderson.com Serving Washington's Legal Community since 1980

1 APPEARANCES	1 EXAMINATION INDEX
2 For the Plaintiffs:	² EXAMINATION BY: PAGE NO.
3	3 MR. AMALA 7
Jason P. Amala 4 Ryan Dyer	5 EXHIBIT INDEX
Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala	6 EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. 7 Exhibit No. 1 10-page second amended no ice 8
5 403 Columbia Steet Suite 500	of CR30(b)(6) video
6 Seattle, WA 98104	8 deposition. 9 Exhibit No. 2 11-page "Letter of intent for 14
206.462.4334 7 206.623.3624 Fax	sale of membership interest
Jason@pcvalaw com	¹⁰ in Dartmoor Holdings, LLC and
Erik L. Bauer	financing of sale," 11 App.000455-65.
 9 Law Office of Erik L. Bauer 215 Tacoma Avenue South 	12 Exhibit No. 3 Printout of documents 24
¹⁰ Tacoma, WA 98402	reflecting advertisements for 13 "Tasha," various Bates
253.383.2000 11 253.383.0154 Fax	numbers.
Erik@erikbauerlaw.com	14 Exhibit No. 4 16 pages of documents 72
13 For the Defendant:	Exhibit No. 4 16 pages of documents 72 15 reflecting advertisements for
14 Harry H. Schneider	Plaintiff J.S., various Bates
Alisha C. Burgin 15 Perkins Coie	16 numbers. 17 Exhibit No. 5 6 pages of documents 78
1201 Third Avenue Suite 4900	reflecting advertisements for
Seattle, WA 98101-3099	18 Plaintiff S.L., various Bates
17 206.359.6225 206.359.7225 Fax	numbers.
18 Hschneider@perkinscoie com	Exhibit No. 6 3-page e-mail, dated 6/22/07, 98
19 For Defendant Village Voice Media Holdings:	²⁰ and attachment, BP-PSI-2_00010848-50.
20	21
Daniel J. Quigley 21 Rusing Lopez & Lizardi	Exhibit No. 7 1-page e-mail from 100 22 Mr. Ferrer, dated 11/5/07,
6363 Ň. Swan Road 22 Suite 151	BACKPAGE00025846.
Tucson, AZ 85718	23
²³ 520.792.4800 520.529.4262 Fax	Exhibit No. 8 1-page e-mail from 104 Mr. Ferrer, dated 11/6/07,
24 Dquigley@rllaz.com	BACKPAGE00025824.
25	25
Page 2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)	¹ EXHIBITS INDEX (CONTINUED)
2	2
3 For Defendant Ms. McDougall: 4 Stephen M. Ryan	Exhibit No. 9 3 pages of e-mails, 110
4 Stephen M. Ryan McDermott Will & Emery	BACKPAGE00025819, 358, 935.
5 The McDermott Building	4 Exhibit No. 10 1-page e-mail from 117
500 North Capitol Street NW	Mr. Ferrer, dated 4/15/08,
6 Washington, DC 20001	⁵ BACKPAGE00025732.
202.756.8333	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120
202.756.8333 ⁷ 202.756.8087 Fax	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only.
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24,
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only.
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009."
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009."
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009."
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009."
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13 Also present: Joel Winter	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13 Also present: Joel Winter 14 Videographer, Byers & Anderson, Inc.	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13 Also present: Joel Winter 14 Videographer, Byers & Anderson, Inc. Court Reporters & Video	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13 Also present: Joel Winter 14 Videographer, Byers & Anderson, Inc. Court Reporters & Video	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13 Also present: Joel Winter 14 Videographer, Byers & Anderson, Inc. Court Reporters & Video	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13 Also present: Joel Winter 14 Videographer, Byers & Anderson, Inc. Court Reporters & Video	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13 Also present: Joel Winter 14 Videographer, Byers & Anderson, Inc. Court Reporters & Video 15 16 17 18	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13 Also present: Joel Winter 14 Videographer, Byers & Anderson, Inc. Court Reporters & Video 15 16 17 18 19	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13 Also present: Joel Winter 14 Videographer, Byers & Anderson, Inc. Court Reporters & Video 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
202.756.8333 7 202.756.8087 Fax Sryan@mwe.com 8 9 10 11 12 13 Also present: Joel Winter 14 Videographer, Byers & Anderson, Inc. Court Reporters & Video 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	5 BACKPAGE00025732. 6 Exhibit No. 11 3-page "Draft - for 120 discussion purposes only. 7 2009 CDA update, February 24, 2009." 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	BE IT REMEMBERED that on Wednesday,	1 record?
2	April 26, 2017, at 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900,	² A Elizabeth E-L-I-Z-A-B-E-T-H, McDougall,
3	Seattle, Washington, at 9:33 a.m., before Terilynn	³ M-C-D-O-U-G-A-L-L.
4	Simons, Certified Court Reporter, CCR, RMR, CRR, CLR,	4 Mr. Amala, when the videographer introduced the
5	appeared ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL, the witness herein;	5 deposition, he said it was a 30(b)(6) of Village Voice
6	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings	6 Media Holdings.
7	were had, to wit:	7 I believe the notice was to Village Voice Media
8	were flau, to wit.	8 Holdings, Backpage.com LLC, and the third defendant.
9	<<<<<>>>>>	
10	(((((//////	
	MIDECORABILED IN	The deposition of Defendant timege velocities
11	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the	Holdings LLC d/b/a Backpage.com, Backpage.com LLC, and
12	record. Please note that microphones are sensitive and	12 New Times Media LLC.
13	may pick up whispering and private conversations.	13 (Exhibit No. 1 marked
14	Please turn off all cell phones, and recording will	14 for identification.)
15	continue until all parties agree to go off the record.	15
16	My name is Joel Winter, videographer for Byers &	¹⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) On that note, I am handing you what's
17	Anderson Court Reporters & Video, located at 600	been marked as Exhibit No. 1.
18	University Street, Suite 2300, Seattle, Washington 98101.	Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 1, and
19	Phone is 206-340-1316.	let me know when you're finished.
20	Today is April 26th, 2017, and the time is now 9:33	²⁰ A Okay. Yes, I'm done.
21	a.m.	²¹ Q Do you recognize Exhibit No. 1?
22	This is the 30(b)(6) deposition of Elizabeth	²² A I do.
23	McDougall representing Village Voice Media, being taken	²³ Q What is Exhibit No. 1?
24	on behalf of the plaintiff in the case of J.S., et al.,	²⁴ A It is a copy of the second amended notice of Civil Rule
25	versus Village Voice Media Holdings LLC. Cause number is	²⁵ 30(b)(6) video deposition of the defendants in this case.
	Page 6	Page 8
1	40.0.44000.4	1. O. All right. And Ma MaDaugall, do you understand that you
1	12-2-11362-4.	1 Q All right. And Ms. McDougall, do you understand that you
2	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201	² have been designated by the defendants in this case to
2 3	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101.	 have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are
2 3 4	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify	 have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3?
2 3 4 5	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record.	 have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections.
2 3 4 5 6	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and	 have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to
2 3 4 5 6 7	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants.	 have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott	 have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer.	 have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I	2 have been designated by the defendants in this case to 3 provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are 4 outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? 5 A Yes, subject to the objections. 6 Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to 7 provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in 8 Exh bit No. 1? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 10 form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group.	2 have been designated by the defendants in this case to 3 provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are 4 outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? 5 A Yes, subject to the objections. 6 Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to 7 provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in 8 Exh bit No. 1? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 10 form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose 11 privileged communications.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of	2 have been designated by the defendants in this case to 3 provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are 4 outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? 5 A Yes, subject to the objections. 6 Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to 7 provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in 8 Exh bit No. 1? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 10 form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose 11 privileged communications. 12 THE WITNESS: To answer that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs.	2 have been designated by the defendants in this case to 3 provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are 4 outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? 5 A Yes, subject to the objections. 6 Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to 7 provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in 8 Exh bit No. 1? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 10 form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose 11 privileged communications. 12 THE WITNESS: To answer that would 13 require divulging attorney-client privileged information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of	2 have been designated by the defendants in this case to 3 provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are 4 outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? 5 A Yes, subject to the objections. 6 Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to 7 provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in 8 Exh bit No. 1? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 10 form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose 11 privileged communications. 12 THE WITNESS: To answer that would 13 require divulging attorney-client privileged information. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs.	2 have been designated by the defendants in this case to 3 provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are 4 outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? 5 A Yes, subject to the objections. 6 Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to 7 provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in 8 Exh bit No. 1? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 10 form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose 11 privileged communications. 12 THE WITNESS: To answer that would 13 require divulging attorney-client privileged information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs. VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs.	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs. VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs. VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3. A Okay. The first subject in this deposition notice is, "The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs. VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter today is Terilynn Simons. Please swear in the witness.	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3. A Okay. The inst subject in this deposition notice is, "The 'moderation' practices that have been used for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs. VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter today is Terilynn Simons. Please swear in the witness.	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3. A Okay. The imoderation' practices that have been used for advertisements on WWW.Backpage.com since such practices
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs. VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter today is Terilynn Simons. Please swear in the witness. ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL, having been first duly sworn by the Certified Court Reporter,	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3. A Okay. The irrst subject in this deposition notice is, "The 'moderation' practices that have been used for advertisements on WWW.Backpage.com since such practices were first implemented until present."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs. VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter today is Terilynn Simons. Please swear in the witness. ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL, having been first duly sworn by the Certified Court Reporter,	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Q Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3. A Okay. The irrest subject in this deposition notice is, "The moderation' practices that have been used for advertisements on WWW.Backpage.com since such practices were first implemented until present." Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs. VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter today is Terilynn Simons. Please swear in the witness. ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL, having been first duly sworn by the Certified Court Reporter, testified as follows:	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3. A Okay. The 'moderation' practices that have been used for advertisements on WWW.Backpage.com since such practices were first implemented until present." Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs. VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter today is Terilynn Simons. Please swear in the witness. ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL, having been first duly sworn by the Certified Court Reporter, testified as follows: EXAMINATION	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3. A Okay. The 'moderation' practices that have been used for advertisements on WWW.Backpage.com since such practices were first implemented until present." Do you see that? A I do. The winderation of topics—subtopics.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs. VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter today is Terilynn Simons. Please swear in the witness. ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL, having been first duly sworn by the Certified Court Reporter, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. AMALA: Q Can you please state and spell your full name for the	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3. A Okay. The imoderation' practices that have been used for advertisements on WWW.Backpage.com since such practices were first implemented until present." Do you see that? A I do. The imoderation is a number of topics subtopics. Do you see those? A Yes, many.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	This deposition is being held at Perkins Coie, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900, Seattle, Washington 98101. Will the attorneys present please identify themselves for the record. MR. SCHNEIDER: Harry Schneider and Alisha Burgin on behalf of the corporate defendants. MR. RYAN: Steve Ryan with McDermott Will & Emery. I'm Ms. McDougall's lawyer. MR. QUIGLEY: Daniel Quigley. I represent Village Voice Media Group. MR. AMALA: Jason Amala on behalf of Plaintiffs. MR. BAUER: Eric Bauer for Plaintiffs. MR. DYER: Ryan Dyer for plaintiffs. VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter today is Terilynn Simons. Please swear in the witness. ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL, having been first duly sworn by the Certified Court Reporter, testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. AMALA:	have been designated by the defendants in this case to provide testimony today regarding the subjects that are outlined in Exh bit No. 1, starting on Page 3? A Yes, subject to the objections. Do you know why you were designated by the defendants to provide testimony regarding the subjects identified in Exh bit No. 1? MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose privileged communications. THE WITNESS: To answer that would require divulging attorney-client privileged information. Q (By Mr. Amala) If you could, please turn to Subject 1 of this 30(b)(6) deposition notice, and that's on Page 3. A Okay. The first subject in this deposition notice is, "The 'moderation' practices that have been used for advertisements on WWW.Backpage.com since such practices were first implemented until present." Do you see that? A I do. The resist a number of topics—subtopics. Do you see those?

1 Q What did you do to prepare for today in order to testify 1 A I meant to give you a full answer. 2 as to Subject No. 1? I'm sorry, what part of the answer is incomplete for 3 3 A I reviewed many, many, many documents, I drew on facts that I know and learned, and I spoke to certain 4 Q That's what I'm trying to understand, if that was a full individuals. 5 6 ⁶ Q Anything else? Who told you not to talk to management? 7 7 A Not that I can recall right now. MR. RYAN: If you learned that from ⁸ Q You said that you are going to draw on facts that you 8 counsel, you can't discuss it nor should you have. 9 know or learned. THE WITNESS: Then I cannot answer 10 10 Can you tell me how you either know or learned of that question without disclosing privileged information. 11 those facts? 11 Q (By Mr. Amala) Who is the current management of 12 A It depends on the particular fact. 12 Backpage.com? 13 Q How about this: 13 A The chief executive officer is Carl Ferrer, spelled 14 You said that you spoke to certain individuals. F-E-R-R-E-R. 15 15 Who did you speak with? There is a chief financial officer. 16 16 MR. RYAN: You may identify counsel as There is a chief operations officer. 17 17 people you spoke to, but do not disclose any There is a -- I don't remember if he's a chief 18 18 communications with counsel. information officer or a chief technology officer. I 19 THE WITNESS: I spoke with counsel for 19 have never understood the distinction between the two. 20 20 the company that used to be New Times Media, I had There is a director of sales. I don't know his 21 21 communications with my own counsel, and I had official management title. ²² Q How do you know that that's the current management of 22 communications with counsel for the company, and I had 23 23 communications with counsel for what was Village Voice Backpage.com? 24 ²⁴ A By having been in the office with them and having seen Media Holdings. 25 That's all I can recall at the moment. their names with those titles on nonprivileged documents. Page 10 Page 12 1 Q (By Mr. Amala) Can you identify those individuals by 1 Q Do you ever have management team meetings that include 2 2 name, please? yourself and those individuals that you just identified? 3 ³ A Some of them. MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 4 My counsel is Mr. Stephen Ryan. the question with respect to what is meant by a 5 5 The counsel for the Village Voice Media Holdings "management meeting." 6 entity that I referred to is Mr. Dan Quigley. THE WITNESS: No. I don't remember the name of the counsel that Q (By Mr. Amala) Who is the CFO? 8 represents what was New Times or New Times Media. Α 9 Q Who is the COO? Counsel for the Backpage.com entity, I spoke with 10 Mr. Harry Schneider, Ms. Alisha Burgin, Ms. Breena Roos. 10 A Andrew Padilla, P-A-D-I-L-A. 11 11 Q Who is the CIO, slash, CTO? That's all I can remember. 12 Q Did you speak with any of the current management for 12 **A** I believe the spelling is Backpage.com in order to prepare to testify today but I couldn't give you 100 percent on that. 14 ¹⁴ Q Who is the individual that's responsible for sales? regarding Subject 1? 15 A No, I did not. 15 A Dan Hyer, H-Y-E-R. ¹⁶ Q You didn't include Jim Larkin or Michael Lacey in your 16 Q Why not? 17 17 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the description of the current management of Backpage.com. 18 18 form, insofar as it invites the witness to disclose a Why not? 19 privileged communication from either her counsel or 19 A Because they are not part of the current management of 20 20 Backpage's counsel. Backpage.com. 21 THE WITNESS: I wanted to do so, but I ²¹ Q Do you understand that-- well, strike that. 22 22 Do you know if Jim Larkin retains any sort of was not permitted to do so. ²³ Q (By Mr. Amala) Are you also declining to give me a full 23 control over the operations of Backpage.com? 24 answer to that question because it would disclose ²⁴ A Not to my knowledge. 25 ²⁵ Q Do you know if Michael Lacey still has any sort of attorney-client privileged information?

Page 13

control over the operation of Backpage.com?	¹ girls were advertised on the website.
² A Not to my knowledge.	2 THE WITNESS: I didn't understand that
³ Q Are you familiar with the terms of the sale that took	3 to be a topic on which I had to be prepared.
4 place in 2015 of well, strike that.	4 MR. AMALA: That's fine.
5 (Exhibit No. 2 marked	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: I am looking at four
6 for identification.)	6 topics, and that would be moderation, Topic 1; procedures
7	⁷ for reviewing and moderating is Topic 2; ads is topic 3.
⁸ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's	8 As I recall I guess there's no Topic No. 4, excuse
9 been marked as Exhibit No. 2.	9 me.
Do you recognize Exhibit No. 2?	THE WITNESS: There is Topic No. 4 as
¹¹ A I have never seen it before.	11 well.
¹² Q Are you familiar with the terms of the sale that took	12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. I'm sorry,
place in 2014 regarding Dartmoor Holdings LLC?	13 escort section ads, postings, compensation for ads,
14 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the	posting rules, and content requirements.
¹⁵ form of the question.	15 I don't see anything about transactions involving
16 It's unclear to me whether you're asking her to	16 the companies you are now inquiring about.
17 review Exhibit No. 2 to answer that question or if she	17 MR. AMALA: I am allowed to probe what
18 has independent knowledge.	she did to prepare for today as a 30(b)(6) witness
19 If the latter, I would caution her to avoid	19 MR. SCHNEIDER: Then I would ask you
20 disclosing any information or advice that was exchanged	20 to rephrase to accomplish that purpose.
with her within the attorney-client relationship as	21 MR. AMALA: That is what I am
²² confidential and privileged information.	²² accomplishing.
²³ Q (By Mr. Amala) Since Counsel asked the question, just to	23 If she just says she didn't do anything to
clarify, I want to know if you have any independent	understand that today, then that's the answer.
²⁵ knowledge regarding that sale.	25 THE WITNESS: No, I said that I'm not
3 1 3 1 3 1 1 1 1	
Page 14	Page 16
¹ A I appreciated that excellent objection, but the answer is	1 familiar with the terms of the transaction.
² "no."	² You asked me if I was.
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 	 You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending.
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait.
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe?
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management—strike that.
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 11 time from present. 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website?
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 11 time from present. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management—strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of
2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 11 time from present. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? 13 MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management—strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question.
2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 11 time from present. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? 13 MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go 14 back in time from present.	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer;
2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 11 time from present. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? 13 MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go 14 back in time from present. 15 MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 11 time from present. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? 13 MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go 14 back in time from present. 15 MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms 16 of sale through the dep date 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an official management title at that time.
2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 11 time from present. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? 13 MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go 14 back in time from present. 15 MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms 16 of sale through the dep date 17 MR. AMALA: Who controls the company,	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an official management title at that time. Those are the individuals.
2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 11 time from present. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? 13 MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go 14 back in time from present. 15 MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms 16 of sale through the dep date 17 MR. AMALA: Who controls the company, 18 her knowledge she has to account for the institutional	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an official management title at that time. Those are the individuals. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know what role, if any str ke
2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 11 time from present. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? 13 MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go 14 back in time from present. 15 MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms 16 of sale through the dep date 17 MR. AMALA: Who controls the company, 18 her knowledge she has to account for the institutional 19 knowledge of the company, so I'm starting with this	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an official management title at that time. Those are the individuals. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know what role, if any str ke that.
2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 11 time from present. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? 13 MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go 14 back in time from present. 15 MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms 16 of sale through the dep date 17 MR. AMALA: Who controls the company, 18 her knowledge she has to account for the institutional 19 knowledge of the company, so I'm starting with this 20 because this seems to suggest that as of after the	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an official management title at that time. Those are the individuals. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know what role, if any str ke that. Did Jim Larkin have any role with Backpage.com
 2 "no." 3 Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do 4 anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim 5 Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over 6 Backpage.com? 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying 8 to see where that is a subject of the deposition. 9 You may be able to point me to it. 10 MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in 11 time from present. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? 13 MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go 14 back in time from present. 15 MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms 16 of sale through the dep date 17 MR. AMALA: Who controls the company, 18 her knowledge she has to account for the institutional 19 knowledge of the company, so I'm starting with this 20 because this seems to suggest that as of after the 21 sale, they continued to have control of the company, and 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an official management title at that time. Those are the individuals. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know what role, if any str ke that. Did Jim Larkin have any role with Backpage.com during the time that these girls were advertised on the
 "no." Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over Backpage.com? MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying to see where that is a subject of the deposition. You may be able to point me to it. MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in time from present. MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go back in time from present. MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms of sale through the dep date MR. AMALA: Who controls the company, her knowledge she has to account for the institutional knowledge of the company, so I'm starting with this because this seems to suggest that as of after the sale, they continued to have control of the company, and so I want to find out if she knows whether or not they do 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an official management title at that time. Those are the individuals. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know what role, if any str ke that. Did Jim Larkin have any role with Backpage.com during the time that these girls were advertised on the website?
2 "no." Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over Backpage.com? MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying to see where that is a subject of the deposition. You may be able to point me to it. MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in time from present. MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go back in time from present. MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms of sale through the dep date MR. AMALA: Who controls the company, her knowledge she has to account for the institutional knowledge of the company, so I'm starting with this because this seems to suggest that as of after the sale, they continued to have control of the company, and so I want to find out if she knows whether or not they do today, and then I'm going to work backwards to find out	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an official management title at that time. Those are the individuals. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know what role, if any str ke that. Did Jim Larkin have any role with Backpage.com during the time that these girls were advertised on the website? A He was, I believe, the chief executive officer of Village
2 "no." Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over Backpage.com? MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying to see where that is a subject of the deposition. You may be able to point me to it. MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in time from present. MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go back in time from present. MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms of sale through the dep date MR. AMALA: Who controls the company, her knowledge she has to account for the institutional knowledge of the company, so I'm starting with this because this seems to suggest that as of after the sale, they continued to have control of the company, and so I want to find out if she knows whether or not they do today, and then I'm going to work backwards to find out whether she did anything to find out whether they had	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an official management title at that time. Those are the individuals. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know what role, if any str ke that. Did Jim Larkin have any role with Backpage.com during the time that these girls were advertised on the website? A He was, I believe, the chief executive officer of Village Voice Media Holdings, which was the parent company were
2 "no." Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over Backpage.com? MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying to see where that is a subject of the deposition. You may be able to point me to it. MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in time from present. MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go back in time from present. MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms of sale through the dep date MR. AMALA: Who controls the company, her knowledge she has to account for the institutional knowledge of the company, so I'm starting with this because this seems to suggest that as of after the sale, they continued to have control of the company, and so I want to find out if she knows whether or not they do today, and then I'm going to work backwards to find out	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an official management title at that time. Those are the individuals. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know what role, if any str ke that. Did Jim Larkin have any role with Backpage.com during the time that these girls were advertised on the website? A He was, I believe, the chief executive officer of Village
 "no." Q In order to prepare for today's deposition, did you do anything to understand or to learn whether or not Jim Larkin or Michael Lacey continue to have any control over Backpage.com? MR. SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I am trying to see where that is a subject of the deposition. You may be able to point me to it. MR. AMALA: I am going to go back in time from present. MR. SCHNEIDER: Pardon? MR. AMALA: I said I am going to go back in time from present. MR. SCHNEIDER: With respect to terms of sale through the dep date MR. AMALA: Who controls the company, her knowledge she has to account for the institutional knowledge of the company, so I'm starting with this because this seems to suggest that as of after the sale, they continued to have control of the company, and so I want to find out if she knows whether or not they do today, and then I'm going to work backwards to find out whether she did anything to find out whether they had 	You asked me if I was. MR. RYAN: There is no question pending. MR. SCHNEIDER: Just wait. THE WITNESS: Timeframe? Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know who the management strike that. Who was the management team of Backpage.com during the time that the plaintiffs were advertised for sex on the website? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Carl Ferrer; Dan Hyer; Andrew Padilla, although he might not have had an official management title at that time. Those are the individuals. Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you know what role, if any str ke that. Did Jim Larkin have any role with Backpage.com during the time that these girls were advertised on the website? A He was, I believe, the chief executive officer of Village Voice Media Holdings, which was the parent company were

- I'm not sure which it was at the time. 1 A No. ² Q Did Michael Lacey have any role with Backpage.com during 2 Sorry, I should clarify, what I meant was that I 3 the time that these three girls were advertised on the didn't speak-- I have spoken to Mr. Ferrer many times, website? 4 but I didn't have a conversation with him to prepare for 5 5 A No official role. the 30(b)(6) deposition today. Q Will you be drawing on your prior communications with 6 Q Did he have an unofficial role? 7 ⁷ A It's my understanding that he and Mr. Larkin owned the Mr. Ferrer in order to testify today regarding the parent company together. 8 subjects of this 30(b)(6) notice? 9 9 He was the editor in chief of the newspapers, so MR. SCHNEIDER: Not if they're 10 10 almost everything he did was just on the print side. privileged. 11 Occasionally he would comment on something with 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I will be drawing 12 12 respect to Backpage.com, but that's all. on facts that I know, and to the extent that they are 13 13 Q What position did Carl Ferrer have with Backpage.com facts that were not learned in the course of a privilege 14 during the time that these girls were advertised on the communication, I will share those, but I will not share 15 15 website? privileged communications. ¹⁶ A I don't know if he held the official title of CEO, but he ¹⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you speak with Dan Hyer in order to 17 17 was effectively CEO or president. prepare to testify today regarding the subjects 18 His communications were often signed as site 18 identified in the 30(b)(6) notice? 19 founder. ¹⁹ A No. ²⁰ Q What was Dan Hyer's position with Backpage.com at the ²⁰ Q Will you be-- well, strike that. 21 time that these girls were advertised on the website? Will you be relying on communications that you've 22 A It is my understanding that he was the head of sales. 22 had in the past with Mr. Hyer in order to testify today 23 I don't know that there was an official title. 23 regarding the subjects identified in the 30(b)(6) notice? 24 Titles were not really important or used very ²⁴ A I doubt it. 25 formally. ²⁵ Q Why do you say that? Page 18 Page 20 ¹ Q Given you described Mr. Hyer's responsibilities as head 1 A Because the topics in the 30(b)(6) notice really don't 2 of sales, let me ask this: 2 relate to his area of operations. 3 What is your understanding of Carl Ferrer's ³ Q Did you speak with Andrew Padilla in order to prepare for 4 responsibilities during the time that these girls were today's deposition? 5 advertised on the website? ⁵ A I did not speak with Andrew for purposes of preparing for ⁶ A Carl had overall responsibility for all aspects of today's deposition. ⁷ Q I should be more specific. Backpage.com. Did you speak with Andrew Padilla in order to 8 Q Andrew Padilla was another individual that you identified. prepare to testify today regarding the subjects 10 10 What was his job title during the time that these identified in this 30(b)(6) deposition notice that is
- 11 girls were advertised on the website and what were his 12 job responsibilities?
- 13 A To the extent that he had any job title at that time, it 14 would have been operations manager, and he generally
- 15 oversaw the moderation efforts of the company.
- ¹⁶ Q Did you speak with Carl Ferrer in order to prepare to 17 testify today regarding the subjects outlined in the 18 30(b)(6) notice?
- 19 MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection; asked and 20 answered, I believe.
- 21 You can answer.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I did not speak directly with Carl Ferrer for that purpose.
- ²⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) Did you speak indirectly with Mr. Ferrer for that purpose?

- 11 Exhibit No. 1?
- 12 A I did not speak personally with Andrew Padilla to prepare
- to testify today on the subjects in this 30(b)(6)
- 14 deposition notice that is Exhibit No. 1.
- ¹⁵ Q Are you going to be relying today on prior communications
- 16 that you've had with Andrew Padilla in order to testify
- 17 today regarding the subjects identified in the deposition
- 18 notice?
- 19 A Only any nonprivileged communications or information.
- ²⁰ Q Did you speak with Jim Larkin to prepare to testify today
- 21 regarding the subjects identified in the 30(b)(6)
- 22 deposition notice?
- ²³ A No.
- ²⁴ Q Are you going to be relying today on prior communications
- that you've had with Jim Larkin in order to testify today

Page 19

1 regarding the subjects identified in the deposition	need a minute to consult with my counsel to see if I can
2 notice?	² answer that question for you.
3 A Well, I can't say for sure until I've heard all your	3 MR. AMALA: We'll take a break.
4 questions, but I think it's highly unlikely.	4 VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record,
⁵ Q Why do you say that?	5 the time is 10:05 a.m.
6 A Because facts that I may have learned in a nonprivileged	6 (Recess 10:05 to 10:14 a.m.)
7 context from Mr. Larkin are not within the scope of the	7
8 30(b)(6) notice.	8 VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the
⁹ Q Did you speak with Michael Lacey in order to prepare to	9 time is 10:14 a.m.
testify today regarding the subjects identified in the	10 MR. AMALA: Madam Court Reporter,
deposition notice that is Exhibit No. 1?	could you please read back the question?
12 A No.	12 (Question on Page 23, Line 14-
13 Q Are you going to be relying today on prior communications	13 16 read by the reporter.)
that you've had with Michael Lacey in order to testify	14
regarding the subjects identified in the deposition	15 MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.
16 notice?	16 THE WITNESS: I am glad to talk with
nouse.	17 you about the company's position on that, but I cannot
A That's highly unlikely, given the scope of subjects identified in the deposition notice.	talk to you about particular communications with Carl or
a comment management of the government today,	cater members of the sempany warredt violating the
you have mended a few amos that you him discisse	attenney enem primeger
national years realised from the management team re-	(Extribit No. 6 Marked
Backpage.com, but you won't alcoloco privileged	22 for identification.)
Help me understand how you're going to draw the line	24 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
between facts that you've learned and privileged	been marked as Exhibit No. 3.
Page 22	Page 24
1 communications that you've had with these individuals.	Please take a moment to review it, and let me know
MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the	when you're finished.
³ form of the question.	³ MR. RYAN: May I make a remark off the
4 I don't think an analysis of the attorney-client	4 record?
5 privilege is within the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice.	5 MR. AMALA: Sure.
6 THE WITNESS: I should answer that	6 MR. RYAN: If during the course of the
question, I would have to divulge attorney-client	⁷ day
8 privileged information and communications because I have	8 COURT REPORTER: Hold on. Off the
9 relied on my attorney for that advice.	9 record off the video record?
¹⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Carl Ferrer ever told you that he was	¹⁰ MR. AMALA: Yeah.
concerned with the amount of sex trafficking that was	11 MR. RYAN: If you could make a
occurring on Backpage.com?	12 representation it is the same as yesterday's exhibit, if
¹³ A No.	she has thoroughly looked at it yesterday, it may speed
14 Q Have you ever discussed with Carl Ferrer whether or not	14 the time.
Backpage.com could be held civilly liable for sex	15 MR. AMALA: Sure, yes.
trafficking that occurs on the website?	Go back on did we ever go off?
MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of	17 VIDEOGRAPHER: No.
the question as inviting the witness perhaps to disclose	18 MR. AMALA: Okay. We're fine.
attorney-client privileged information regarding I think	19 Back on the record.
what you call legal exposure or civil liability.	²⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
You are not to disclose any advice that you may have	been marked as Exhibit No. 3, and I will represent to you
given as an attorney for Backpage to Mr. Ferrer.	that this is the same set of documents regarding this
I also would object based on the fact that that	particular plaintiff that I gave to you yesterday.
topic is not in the 30(b)(6) notice.	²⁴ A Well, that certainly speeds things up.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Mr. Amala, I	25 In that case, yes, I have reviewed it.
Page 23	Page 25

MR. SCHNEIDER: Just to be clear, 1 ads, and when a subpoena request is received, we use the 2 Exhibit No. 3 to today's 30(b)(6) deposition is the same information in the subpoena to run queries on the 3 as Exhibit No. 9 to Ms. McDougall's deposition yesterday? database and retrieve any ads that are associated with--MR. AMALA: That's correct. 4 let me, for example-- if law enforcement has given us an 5 5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. e-mail address, we will retrieve any advertisements 6 ⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you recognize Exhibit associated with that e-mail address. 7 We will also then -- if there are other -- if that 8 A I recognize it from yesterday. 8 e-mail address, which is how we define a user account, ⁹ Q Do you understand that these are documents regarding 9 leads to or the results lead to other ads, we will run 10 Plaintiff L.C. that the defendants produced in discovery searches and produce those as well. 11 in this case? 11 Then a search is also run on the database where 12 **A Yes.** 12 invoices are kept, to generate any invoice and financial 13 13 Q What I would like to do is go through this exhibit and information related to not just the particular ad but the 14 ask you some questions about what we're seeing and how user behind the particular ad. 15 15 some of the different functionality worked for Then if there is user information, we run a search 16 16 moderators. to identify and include any user information. 17 17 From a kind of high level, what are we seeing in If the subpoena or the communications from law 18 18 Exhibit No. 3, in terms of the view, if that makes sense? enforcement that come with the subpoena or court order 19 A At least the first ad in Exhibit No. 3, which would 19 indicate that investigation or the prosecution involves a 20 appear to be the first six pages of the exhibit, and then minor, then we would also take information gleaned from 21 21 certainly some of the subsequent ads, what I'm seeing is the subpoena and from any of our search results, things 22 22 atypical of what normally would be produced in response like phone numbers, e-mail addresses, images, and we 23 23 to a law enforcement subpoena, and it's my understanding would run searches across the worldwide web and put 24 24 that these documents were retrieved from our records, together a subsequent package of information for law 25 25 that are the records of documents that we produced in enforcement with further evidence that may be relevant to Page 26 Page 28 response to law enforcement subpoenas. 1 their investigation from other sources across the 2 2 There are a number of things about this-- the first Internet 3 ad, that is the first six pages, and some, if not all-- I We would provide that in a follow-up response to law 4 4 haven't checked all of the others, but at least some, if enforcement. 5 not all, of the others. ⁵ Q Do you know if that information-- well, strike that. 6 I can answer your questions about this document, but 6 If you look at the top of Exhibit No. 3, the first it is not a fair representation of the presentation of page, there is a URL that says advertisements on the website or documents that we http://admin.washington.backpage.com/FemaleEscorts/cum"-provided to law enforcement in response to subpoenas. and then it continues on. 10 Q Okay. So first, I guess, help me understand, how was 10 What does that reflect? 11 A That is a partial web address or URL. this first ad, the first six pages of Exhibit No. 3, how 12 12 Q Is that reflecting that this printout that we see in was this generated by Backpage? 13 If it's helpful, I understand there's some sort of Exh bit No. 3 or these printouts come from an 14 14 administrative tool that would allow Backpage to look at administrative tool for Backpage.com? 15 ads, and that provided one perspective, versus the 15 A Probably. 16 perspective users on the site would see, so what I'm ¹⁶ Q A number of times you said that you would query 17 17 trying to understand is how is this-- the first six pages information in order to produce what we see in Exhibit 18 18 of Exhibit No. 3 generated? No. 3, and that's what I'm trying to understand, what was 19 19 A I can't speak to the specifics of the first six pages of the process for querying that information? 20 20 A We would take the information provided in the subpoena. Exhibit No. 3 because I wasn't there and I didn't do it. 21 21 I can describe for you the processes by which the The most accurate and useful information would be if 22 22 company would normally generate information in response law enforcement was able to provide us with the ad ID 23 23 to a law enforcement subpoena. number and the city in which the ad was posted, and we 24 Q Please do. 24 would enter that into the search function and press 25 $^{25}\,\,$ A $\,$ There is a database that contains current and historic "Enter."

Page 29

¹ Q That's what I'm trying to understand. ¹ Q The upper left-hand corner of the first page of Exhibit 2 When you say "enter it into the search function," No. 3, it states, "This ad is not live. Status: Removed 3 what are you describing? 3 by me." 4 Was there a-- my understanding is there was some Do you see that? 5 sort of web-based form or tool where you could type in an ⁵ A I do. ad ID or an e-mail address, and then you would get back, ⁶ Q What is that reflecting? 7 basically, a report or ad information. 7 A That the ad-- it says, "This ad is not live." 8 That's what I'm trying to understand from you. 8 That means that it is not available for public view. 9 9 What was the actual user interface to run the "Status: removed by me" means that it was removed 10 queries you are describing? 10 from live status by the user. 11 A I haven't seen that. 11 Q Which user? 12 I did not understand that to be part of the scope of 12 A The user that controlled the account from which the ad 13 the 30(b)(6) topics. was posted. 14 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the ¹⁴ Q So are you testifying that Shadina Rice removed this ad 15 form as beyond the scope of the deposition. 15 from Backpage.com? ¹⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) In the upper right-hand corner on Page 1 16 A I can't testify to that. I wasn't there. 17 17 of Exhibit No. 3, it says, "Report," colon, and then The-- what I can tell you is whomever was 18 there's what I will call the three buttons. 18 controlling the account under the e-mail address 19 19 Do you see that? , someone using that e-mail address ²⁰ **A I do.** 20 was the one who removed this ad at some time. ²¹ Q So one that appears in "Inappropriate content." The ²¹ Q If someone at Backpage.com had removed this ad, how would second button is "Wrong category," and the third button, we know that or could we know that? 23 I believe, is "Over posted." 23 A The status would say, "Community removed." 24 Do you see that? ²⁴ Q If you go down a little bit under the date and time of ²⁵ A I do. this ad that we see in Page 1 of Exhibit No. 3, it says, Page 30 Page 32 1 Q Can you tell me about what that is, what those buttons "Whitelisted, yes." 2 2 are? Do you see that? 3 A I do. ³ A Those are tools for users to report to the company ads ⁴ Q What does that indicate? that they think are, for some reason, in violation of our terms of use or somehow inappropriate. ⁵ A "Whitelisted" was a term that was used early on, I think 6 You see that there are three options they can report particularly pre-2010, to indicate that the ad had not as inappropriate content or an ad in the wrong category been identified as a risk for spam or fraud, credit card or an ad that has been over posted and typically spam. fraud in particular. 9 Q How was that determination--When they click one of those buttons, then the ad 10 10 A How was it determined whether they were-- whether it was would go to an employee of the company to review the ad 11 11 and make a determination. a fraudulent ad or a spam ad? 12 Q Okay. You said that these were tools for users of the 12 Q Yeah-- correct. 13 website. 13 A Well, spam was identified when the same ad was posted 14 14 The moderators that Backpage employed also could use repeatedly, and that's something that users might 15 15 these same buttons, correct? complain about or that moderators might identify. 16 16 A I mean, I suppose they could use those buttons, but the In terms of fraud, credit card fraud, we would learn 17 17 that through a variety of ways, if credit cards were moderators are presented with a particular interface for 18 18 doing their moderation work. rejected, but I did not explore the details and the facts 19 19 Q Okay. Did that interface include the buttons that we see of that for purposes of this deposition. 20 20 here on the first page of Exhibit No. 3? I didn't understand it to be within the-- the fraud 21 21 A No, because these buttons would send the content to a systems to be within the topics. 22 moderator for review. 22 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 23 If they clicked one of these buttons, it wouldn't 23 scope. 24 ²⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) If an advertisement was whitelisted, make any sense. It would just send the ad to a moderator 25 would that have an impact on whether or not the ad was for review.

Page 33

subjected to either the automatic filter or the manual 1 poster might have or administrative people might have and 2 review by moderators? trying to understand if you were a consumer of the 3 3 A No. website and came and looked at the ads, what would you ⁴ Q A little further down on Page 1 of Exhibit No. 3, there's 4 actually see out of the first six pages of Exhibit No. 3? 5 three lines that appear to be some of the posting rules, A Thanks. I think I understand the guestion. 6 "Do not post obscene images," "Do not post content which MR. SCHNEIDER: And "poster," you mean 7 7 advertises an illegal service," and "Postings not the individual that posted the ad? 8 complying with the terms of use are subject to removal." 8 MR. AMALA: Correct. 9 9 Do you see that? THE WITNESS: Actually, I'm sorry, 10 10 **A I do.** reading your question again, you said you are trying to 11 Q Why do we see that in Exhibit No. 3 on this first page? 11 distinguish between the view that the poster might have 12 12 A That is part of the reason why I said that this is a very had, the admin people, or the consumer, and those are 13 atypical view or example of how-- of what our records and three different things. 14 14 our documents-- records in response to subpoenas would Did you want me to answer what the poster-- the user 15 15 normally look like. posting the ad would see or someone coming to the website 16 16 Those lines are from part of the-- what I think to view ads would see? 17 17 you've referred to as the content requirements, the MR. AMALA: The latter. 18 18 instructions that would appear in the first step of the MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 19 19 form, to conform my objection to the witness's request posting process, and they do not-- they do not appear in 20 20 ads. for clarification. 21 ²¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) I will clarify by stating that I'm asking The only way that they would appear in an ad in this 22 22 way is if for some reason the user copied and pasted-for the latter. 23 $^{\rm 23}~~{\rm A}~~{\rm With}$ respect to this particular ad, I cannot answer that this is-- here I am speculating, to a degree, but the 24 24 user copied and pasted the text from the content question because this is-- because this is just so-- the 25 25 requirements in the user interface into the text box of presentation is so abnormal, I don't know, you know, what Page 34 Page 36 the-- for the creation of the ad. 1 they did or how they did it. 2 2 I really-- I cannot distinguish what part of this I don't know why they would do that. 3 3 It also appears that the following line, "Hello, my may or may not have been available to a user viewing the 4 4 name is," and then the images, may have derived from site. 5 5 somewhere else. 6 The box around the letters in "Hello, my name is," ⁶ Q Are you able to tell us what the user who posted this ad 7 is not-- that is not typical, and I'm not even sure that would have seen? 8 A No. No, this is--8 that-- the functionality to present text in that form is 9 Q Are you able to tell us what a moderator would have seen? available on our site. 10 A With respect to this particular ad, sorry, but I don't Then the presentation of the images is also atypical 11 11 and looks like it's come from some other technical think I can. 12 application or software or website, and in particular you 12 Q We'll look at a few other examples that may be more 13 will see that this ad references MySpace layouts, and 13 typical. 14 14 "MySpace" a number of times. MR. SCHNEIDER: To be clear, I think 15 15 I haven't compared this to MySpace formats from the witness is responding to Exhibit No. 3. 16 16 2010, but this ad looks like they took an ad or parts of MR. AMALA: Correct. 17 17 an ad from MySpace and tried to just repurpose them into THE WITNESS: Yeah, the first six 18 18 a Backpage ad. pages of Exhibit No. 3. 19 Q If you look on Page 5 of Exhibit No. 3--¹⁹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, if you turn to Page 5 of 20 ²⁰ A Yes, I'm there. Exhibit No. 3--²¹ Q Well, actually, let me back up. ²¹ A Yes.

Page 37

²² Q The bottom of the page there's a box that says,

"Administrative data."

Do you see that?

Page 35

23

 25 A Yes, I do.

22

23

24

25

Can you tell us what portions of the first six pages

I am trying to distinguish between the view that the

Backpage.com website?

of Exhibit No. 3 would have appeared to consumers of the

1 MR. SCHNEIDER: This is production 1 "Country," this is just an ad in the United States, 2 Page 1959? 2 so it says, "Unknown." 3 3 MR. AMALA: Correct. Under "Settings," this relates to-- primarily to the ⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) Can you walk us through what this 4 financial information. information is that we see in the "Administrative data" 5 "Bypass fraud alerts," meaning that there is not a 6 fraud concern with respect to this user, and "Has 7 ⁷ A Okay. The first line says, "Administrative data," account" indicates that the user has an account, they're explaining that that's what follows in the box below. 8 not just a one-off poster. 9 9 "Partner," where it says, "Seattle," that's just a "Ad analysis: Language - en-us" means that you speak 10 reference to what geographic area the ad was related to. 10 English, you speak American English, not the Queen's 11 "Ad type: online ad," that simply refers to this--11 English. 12 12 it's really a historic reference. It refers to the fact MR. SCHNEIDER: The record should 13 13 that this was an ad posted online only. There was no reflect, I believe, that the witness has moved from 14 14 production Page 1959 to 1960. 15 15 Was it a paid-- it says, "Paid ad," and it says, THE WITNESS: Thank you. 16 16 "Yes." In fact, all ads in the adult category were paid Then going back to the column in the left on the top 17 17 prior to July 2015. of the box, "View credit card strings," you can-- if you 18 18 clicked on that button, if there was credit card Ad price was \$3. 19 Whitelisted, it says, "Yes," and I explained what 19 information available, you would be able to see it there. 20 20 "whitelisted" meant before. "Edit this Ad (Backpage form)" means that the 21 21 "Violations: Inappropriate content, or over posted," Backpage employee, if they click that, they would see the 22 22 that indicated that a user marked this ad as ad in the form that the user saw the ad, and they could 23 23 inappropriate content or over posted, so it would have make changes to the ad. They could edit it in that 24 24 format. gone to an employee for review. 25 25 The next line, "View all ads by this user on this The next line that says-- also says, "Edit this ad," Page 38 Page 40 site (32)," that's a link that would have allowed a 1 but without a capitalized letter "A" in "ad," "(object 2 moderation employee or a Backpage person, who was editor)" means that the employee would view the ad from 3 3 authorized to access the admin data, to see all of the the administrator perspective and could also edit the ad 4 4 ads posted by this particular user; the user, again, in that format. 5 5 being identified by the e-mail address. "Delete this ad" is pretty self-explanatory. If you 6 "32" indicates that there were 32 other ads that 6 click that, the ad is deleted and no longer available for 7 were posted by this user at this time. public view on the website. 8 8 "View account" you would click on to see the user Next to it you'll see in square bracket, "Global 9 account information. delete." If you click "Global delete," it will delete 10 10 Moving to the next column, "Name: Shadina Rice," all ads posted by that user. 11 11 that is whatever name the user who posted the ad chose to The next line is, "Edit or delete this user," and in 12 12 enter. Sometimes those names are accurate. Sometimes the parens it says, "(local)." 13 13 they're not. If you click that, you will-- you have the option to 14 14 "Phone: unknown," people can enter their phone edit information about the user or delete the user from 15 15 number there. Here it was not entered. the service 16 16 "E-mail" is the e-mail address that was used to "Bypass moderation (central settings)," if you click 17 17 access the site and to post this particular ad. "Bypass moderation," you can set an ad to not have to go 18 18 It says, "Spam" next to that with plus signs on through the moderation process again. 19 19 either side. If there's a concern that this user is Below that there's a caption, "Invoices for this 20 20 engaged in spamming the site or a category, the employee ad," and you will see for this particular ad it's a 21 can click that button to mark it as a potential spam. 21 history of the payments and the amount that was paid and 22 22 "Created" is the date that the ad was first created, on what date for posting that particular ad. 23 23 so that doesn't reflect, necessarily, the date that the Moving to the column on the right in the admin box, 24 24 ad you're seeing was displayed on the website. It was "IP," that's the IP address when the ad was first created 25 25 just when it was actually first created by the user. and posted.

Page 41

1 It does not regenerate with r	ropostings of the ad	¹ A I think we discussed this before.
² "Global score" and "Local sc		Those would be reflections of users clicking on
3 right now, I can't remember wh		3 those buttons in the ad.
4 they're even used or were in use		4 Q So is it your testimony that a moderator could not have
5 MR. SCHNEIDER:		5 flagged this ad for inappropriate content or being over
	Could I flave the	6 posted?
anonon road baon, prodoc.		⁷ A No, my testimony is that in this line, this "Violations"
odot Mading.	a marning races 10/a	
Word believely, we will take	-	mo, mon nearly, mapping common or over
mare been going anneat the m		,
io critition to be free or all larry		choked on the da by decree
just you guys, for a four minute	s before we complete the	
g coccio		commend mapping many constraints and a second many constraints
io non a good amo.		90,
WITC. 7 WIN LET C. GOLD		a rion do you mion man
WILL GOLINGER	Then maybe we'll come	71 1 coy .
2001.01 1101, 1101 11100 0110	-	a rion do you mion man
WITC. 7 (WITCE) C. GOGI	-	17 A Because that's how the system works.
	Going off the record,	 Q Okay. So if a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, where would that be
¹⁹ is time is 10:50 a.m.	50 to 44:44 o m	containing mapping contains, involve means that so
	50 to 11:11 a.m.)	
21 VIDEOGRAPHER:	Bod or the constitution	With Collins Bern Whole Would that Bo
VIBEOGIU II TIETU	Back on the record, the	reflected on what's been marked as Exhibit No. 3 or
23 time is 11:11 a.m.	all if a deal of Book 5 of	23 otherwise? 24 MR AMALA: Fither
²⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDouga	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	With A war to Elevan.
²⁵ Exhibit No. 3, you said that the	e price of this ad was \$3.	THE WITNESS: Again, this first six
	Page 42	Page 44
1 Is that correct?		pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical
² A I don't have Exhibit No. 3.		pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any
 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. Thank you. 		pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you
 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. Thank you. Yeah, in the administrative of 	data box it says, "Ad	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3."	data box it says, "Ad	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would
 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. Thank you. Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." Q Who received that \$3? 		pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for
 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. Thank you. Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." Q Who received that \$3? A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 	here, whether that was	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit Backpage.com LLC or Village	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC.	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines.
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 8 Backpage.com LLC or Village of Q It would have been one of the	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC.	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that.
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit Backpage.com LLC or Village of Q It would have been one of the 10 A That's my understanding.	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two?	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question.
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 8 Backpage.com LLC or Village of Q It would have been one of the 10 A That's my understanding. 11 Again, I don't remember final	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two?	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question.
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 8 Backpage.com LLC or Village of Party	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? ancial information being	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 8 Backpage.com LLC or Village of Price of Price of A That's my understanding. 10 A That's my understanding. 11 Again, I don't remember fination on the 30(b)(6) notice. 13 MR. SCHNEIDER: 10	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? ancial information being Just to be clear, I	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected?
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 8 Backpage.com LLC or Village of the o	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? ancial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. Q (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 8 Backpage.com LLC or Village of Park	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? ancial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. Q (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the process.
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 8 Backpage.com LLC or Village of the o	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? ancial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. Q (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the process. You say that "If a moderator had flagged an ad as
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 8 Backpage.com LLC or Village of Q It would have been one of the of A That's my understanding. 10 A That's my understanding. 11 Again, I don't remember fination on the 30(b)(6) notice. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: 4 think that the closest it gets is Price asks about consideration or matericeived. 14 I think "you" is defined as any	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? Incial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which terial benefit you y of the defendants,	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. Q (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the process. You say that "If a moderator had flagged an ad as containing inappropriate content" moderators didn't
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit Backpage.com LLC or Village Q It would have been one of the A That's my understanding. 11 Again, I don't remember fina on the 30(b)(6) notice. 13 MR. SCHNEIDER: 4 think that the closest it gets is Pasks about consideration or mareceived. 14 I think "you" is defined as any so I think your answer is response.	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? Incial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which terial benefit you y of the defendants,	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. Q (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the process. You say that "If a moderator had flagged an ad as containing inappropriate content" moderators didn't flag ads.
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit Backpage.com LLC or Village Q It would have been one of the A That's my understanding. 11 Again, I don't remember fina on the 30(b)(6) notice. 13 MR. SCHNEIDER: 4 think that the closest it gets is Pasks about consideration or mareceived. 14 I think "you" is defined as any so I think your answer is responsile already given.	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? Incial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which terial benefit you y of the defendants, asive, the answer you've	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the process. You say that "If a moderator had flagged an ad as containing inappropriate content" moderators didn't flag ads. They took actions on an ad, and if this ad had
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit Backpage.com LLC or Village of Q It would have been one of the of A That's my understanding. 11 Again, I don't remember fination on the 30(b)(6) notice. 13 MR. SCHNEIDER: 4 think that the closest it gets is Pasks about consideration or matericeived. 14 I think "you" is defined as any so I think your answer is responsible already given. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Next to "Violation of the price of the p	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? ancial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which terial benefit you y of the defendants, asive, the answer you've tions," it states,	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the process. You say that "If a moderator had flagged an ad as containing inappropriate content" moderators didn't flag ads. They took actions on an ad, and if this ad had inappropriate content, depending on what the
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit Backpage.com LLC or Village of Q It would have been one of the of A That's my understanding. 11 Again, I don't remember fination on the 30(b)(6) notice. 13 MR. SCHNEIDER: A think that the closest it gets is Pasks about consideration or matericeived. 14 I think "you" is defined as any so I think your answer is responsal ready given. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Next to "Violated" "Inappropriate content" and "Over the same of the same o	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? ancial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which terial benefit you y of the defendants, asive, the answer you've tions," it states,	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. Q (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the process. You say that "If a moderator had flagged an ad as containing inappropriate content" moderators didn't flag ads. They took actions on an ad, and if this ad had inappropriate content, depending on what the inappropriate content was, they would take a particular
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit Backpage.com LLC or Village of Q It would have been one of the of A That's my understanding. 11 Again, I don't remember fination on the 30(b)(6) notice. 13 MR. SCHNEIDER: Athink that the closest it gets is Pasks about consideration or matericeived. 14 I think "you" is defined as any so I think your answer is responsal ready given. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Next to "Violate "Inappropriate content" and "Over Do you see that?	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? ancial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which terial benefit you y of the defendants, asive, the answer you've tions," it states,	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. Q (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the process. You say that "If a moderator had flagged an ad as containing inappropriate content" moderators didn't flag ads. They took actions on an ad, and if this ad had inappropriate content, depending on what the inappropriate content was, they would take a particular action.
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 8 Backpage.com LLC or Village of price: \$3." 9 Q It would have been one of the of price: \$4. That's my understanding. 10 A That's my understanding. 11 Again, I don't remember fination on the 30(b)(6) notice. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: \$4. Think that the closest it gets is Price asks about consideration or material received. 13 I think "you" is defined as any so I think your answer is responsible already given. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Next to "Violation" "Inappropriate content" and "Over Do you see that? 15 Do you see that? 16 Q I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 17 A I do.	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? Incial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which terial benefit you y of the defendants, asive, the answer you've tions," it states, yer posted."	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. Q (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the process. You say that "If a moderator had flagged an ad as containing inappropriate content" moderators didn't flag ads. They took actions on an ad, and if this ad had inappropriate content, depending on what the inappropriate content was, they would take a particular action. In particular sorry, it's a lot of "particulars."
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 8 Backpage.com LLC or Village of Q It would have been one of the of A That's my understanding. 11 Again, I don't remember fination on the 30(b)(6) notice. 13 MR. SCHNEIDER: of think that the closest it gets is Possible asks about consideration or material received. 14 I think "you" is defined as any so I think your answer is responsible already given. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Next to "Violate of Union of William Propriate content" and "Over Do you see that? 16 Q Could a moderator have flagger	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? Incial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which terial benefit you y of the defendants, asive, the answer you've tions," it states, yer posted."	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the process. You say that "If a moderator had flagged an ad as containing inappropriate content" moderators didn't flag ads. They took actions on an ad, and if this ad had inappropriate content, depending on what the inappropriate content was, they would take a particular action. In particular sorry, it's a lot of "particulars." If the if it was content that violated the terms
2 A I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 3 Thank you. 4 Yeah, in the administrative of price: \$3." 6 Q Who received that \$3? 7 A In 2010 I don't know, as I sit 8 Backpage.com LLC or Village of price: \$3." 9 Q It would have been one of the of price: \$4. That's my understanding. 10 A That's my understanding. 11 Again, I don't remember fination on the 30(b)(6) notice. 12 MR. SCHNEIDER: \$4. Think that the closest it gets is Price asks about consideration or material received. 13 I think "you" is defined as any so I think your answer is responsible already given. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Next to "Violation" "Inappropriate content" and "Over Do you see that? 15 Do you see that? 16 Q I don't have Exhibit No. 3. 17 A I do.	here, whether that was Voice Media Holdings LLC. two? Incial information being Just to be clear, I Paragraph No. 8, which terial benefit you y of the defendants, asive, the answer you've tions," it states, yer posted."	pages of Exhibit No. 3 that we are looking at is atypical for the format of an ad, so it's I have to caveat any response because this is strange, but one of the ways you would know is if you look on the first page under "Status," if it said, "Community removed," that would mean that a moderator had removed the ad for inappropriate content or other violations of the terms of use or rules and guidelines. There are other well, strike that. I think that answers your question. Q (By Mr. Amala) If a moderator had flagged this ad as containing inappropriate content, but then allowed the ad to be posted, where would that be reflected? A I don't think I think your question misunderstands the process. You say that "If a moderator had flagged an ad as containing inappropriate content" moderators didn't flag ads. They took actions on an ad, and if this ad had inappropriate content, depending on what the inappropriate content was, they would take a particular action. In particular sorry, it's a lot of "particulars."

Page 45

of sex for money, you would see "Community removed" 1 during the time that these girls were advertised for sex 2 because the ad would be removed. on the website, correct? 3 ³ Q The moderator also could have seen language suggesting MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 4 that this was an ad for sex for money and edited the form of the question as vague as to whether it means that 5 content that suggested it was an ad for sex for money, Mr. Padilla was the sole person conducting such 6 correct, and then posted the remainder, correct? moderation or whether he had some other role in 7 7 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of moderation efforts by other people. 8 8 the question as a hypothetical. THE WITNESS: Andrew Padilla had 9 9 THE WITNESS: A moderator had a primary responsibility for the company's moderation 10 technical ability to edit the content of the ad for any 10 efforts at that time. 11 reason that the moderator deemed appropriate and pursuant ¹¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) In order to prepare to testify today, did 12 to the rules that they were required to follow. 12 you ask Andrew Padilla whether or not the moderators. 13 Q (By Mr. Amala) And one of those rules that they were 13 during the time that these girls were advertised on the 14 required to follow allowed them to remove text that was website, could have edited the ads and then posted the 15 15 suggestive of sex for money and then post the remainder, remainder? ¹⁶ A No. 16 correct? ¹⁷ A No. ¹⁷ Q Did you ask anyone with the company whether or not the 18 ¹⁸ Q What's the basis for that testimony? moderators had the ability to remove terms from the 19 A There was a brief period of time when moderators were 19 advertisements of these girls before they were posted on 20 permitted to remove terms that were deemed inappropriate the website, in order to prepare for today's deposition? 21 21 or objectionable by the company, beginning in, I believe, MR. RYAN: If you relied on privileged 22 22 July 2009, although I'm not certain about that date, that information or communications, you need to invoke the 23 23 included-- you know, I'm not sure about that date. I privilege, but if you did not, then you can answer. 24 24 would have to check that. THE WITNESS: In that case, I need to 25 25 That included adult-related terms, so they could invoke the attorney-client privilege. Page 46 Page 48 remove a term that referred to a sex act, but the 1 Q (By Mr. Amala) During the time that these girls were 2 advertised on the website Backpage.com, the website had inclusion of a term that reflects a sex act does not 3 3 necessarily mean that it is a request for sex for money. an automatic filter that was removing terms before the ⁴ Q So a moderator could have removed terms in this ads were reviewed by moderators, correct? advertisement that reflected a sex act and then posted 5 A Yes. 6 ⁶ Q And a number of those terms were terms that the company the rest, correct? ⁷ A I believe so, but, again, I'm struggling because this ad had identified as terms that may indicate the ad was sex is such an anomaly. for money, correct? 9 9 Asking questions about this ad, it makes it A No. 10 difficult to answer, but there was a period of time when 10 Q A number of those terms included sex acts, correct? 11 11 A Sorry? moderators could delete a term from an ad and allow the 12 rest of the ad to be posted. 12 Q A number of those terms included sex acts, correct? ¹³ Q And that period of time included the period of time that 13 A Some of them did, yes. ¹⁴ Q Am I correct that-- strike that. these girls were advertised on the website, correct? 15 15 A I'm sorry, I have a lot of dates in my head from Am I correct that it's the company's position that 16 16 the automatic filter was intended, in part, to prevent preparing for this deposition. 17 17 My recollection, as I sit here right now, is that sex trafficking? 18 the removal-- the manual removal of adult terms did not 18 A Yes. 19 commence until late September 2010. 19 Q Then if the automatic filter was at least in part $^{\rm 20}~{\rm Q}~{\rm So}$ it's your testimony today that Backpage.com was not 20 supposed to prevent sex trafficking, were any of the 21 21 manually removing terms from ads and then posting the terms that were being removed by the automatic filter rest until late September 2010? 22 terms that prevented sex trafficking? ²³ A That's my best recollection at this moment, but I'm 23 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 24 having difficulty remembering specifically. the question. 25 ²⁵ Q Andrew Padilla was responsible for the moderation efforts THE WITNESS: I'm unaware of any

Page 49

- specific term that reflects sex trafficking. ¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Why? ² Q (By Mr. Amala) So tell me, how did the automatic filter ² A Because an Amber Alert indicates the abduction of a help to prevent sex trafficking if you are not aware of child, which is an incredibly serious matter, and to use any terms that the automatic filter used to address sex it for purposes of advertising anything or to gain 5 5 trafficking? attention for anything, other than looking for the child 6 ⁶ A There is no certainty with any particular term. who has been abducted, is distasteful and objectionable 7 7 We used the automated filter as an efficient method to us as a company. 8 to try to remove content that could potentially involve ⁸ Q Who told you that the purpose of adding "Amber Alert"--9 9 sex for money or sex trafficking or, in particular, the well, strike that. 10 sex trafficking of minors. 10 Who told you that was the purpose of removing the ¹¹ Q Was that also true with regard to the manual moderating 11 term "Amber Alert"? 12 that was done on these ads? 12 A I read it in a document. 13 A Yes. 13 Q Documents that have been produced in discovery in this 14 ¹⁴ Q How does it help to prevent sex trafficking if a website has an automatic filter that removes terms that may 15 A Yes, I believe so. 15 16 16 Q How about "Lolita"? reflect an ad is for sex trafficking? 17 ¹⁷ A The way the filter operated, the ad would be posted. You understand that Mr. Ferrer asked that the term 18 18 After about five minutes, the objectionable term "Lolita" be added to the list of the terms that would be 19 19 would be removed. stripped from ads because it indicated that the ad was 20 20 for sex with a minor, correct? The presumption was the user would think they had 21 21 made some kind of mistake, they would go back, add the MR. SCHNEIDER: You can answer that 22 22 term again. question if you are aware of such information based on a 23 23 After five minutes, the term would be removed again, document that's been produced or outside any sort of 24 24 and the intention was to-- to frustrate the user so that privileged communication. 25 25 they would stop posting. THE WITNESS: I don't recall whether Page 50 Page 52 Also, with the term removed from the text, the goal 1 it was Mr. Ferrer. 2 2 was to-- one of the goals was to render the ad I do recall a document that suggested that "Lolita" 3 3 meaningless, essentially, something that a user reading should be added to the term-- the list of terms for some 4 4 it would not respond to because they had no understanding 5 of what it was trying to advertise. ⁶ Q Okay. So let's use the example of an ad that said, "Come 6 7 have a sensual time with Jenny. Amber Alert! Amber The filter could also trigger banning ads entirely, 8 8 9 9 It was suggested that Lolita should be added If the automatic filter removed the terms "Amber 10
- 10 Alert! Amber Alert!" how does that help to prevent sex 11 trafficking of a minor? 12 MR. RYAN: Objection. It calls for a
- 13 hypothetical.
- 14 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 15 form.
- 16 MR. RYAN: There is no foundation that 17 "Amber" was removed.
- 18 In other words, if you want to build a foundation 19 for that, please do, but otherwise there's pieces of it 20 that she has to disassemble in the process.
- 21 THE WITNESS: "Amber Alert" was a term 22 that was being used in the experience of our moderators 23 not to indicate a minor. It was being used to-- as kind 24 of a promotion or solicitation tactic that we found

25

distasteful. Page 51

- kind of filtering action, and keep in mind there were
- actions that could be taken other than stripping out the
 - because, again, it appeared to be a solicitation for
- 11 something that we found more than just distasteful or
- 12 objectionable, but someone using the notion of sex with a
- 13 minor to try to attract-- to try to attract someone to
- 14 respond to their ad.
- 15
- It was not because we believed that the ad was an ad 16 for sex with a minor.
- ¹⁷ Q (By Mr. Amala) Okay. Then why don't we do this:
- 18 Why don't you tell me all of the terms in 2010--
- 19 during the time these girls were being advertised on the
- 20 website, tell me all the terms that the company did use
- 21 on the stripped terms list that were intended to prevent 22 sex trafficking of minors.
- 23 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the 24 form of the question.
- 25 The witness can answer if she has in mind any of the

terms, but this isn't a memory contest, and there are	A I am not sure I can help you understand. That is up to
documents produced, which could be made available as an	² you
exhibit, which would contain a much longer list of such	³ Q I'm pretty sure you can't either because it doesn't make
4 terms than I think any witness could memorize.	4 any sense to me.
5 THE WITNESS: I believe, as you know	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: The question no more
6 from the production of documents, that the list that we	6 comments in the question.
7 have of filter terms is not from that timeframe, so I	⁷ Q (By Mr. Amala) I would love for you to help me
8 have no records to refer to, to know what terms were or	8 understand, so help me understand how well, let's start
9 were not on the list between June and the end of	9 with this:
10 September 2010.	10 Was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort
11 Q (By Mr. Amala) In order to prepare for today's	to help prevent sex trafficking of minors?
deposition, did you ask anyone from the company what	12 MR. RYAN: Please don't comment again
terms were used during the time that these girls were	about her testimony. It is the first time you have done
advertised on the website, to try to prevent children	it. I would appreciate it if you wouldn't do it anymore.
from being drafted for sex?	15 THE WITNESS: Erik, do you have a
g arantou ioi coxi.	THE WITHLESS. Link, as you have a
min comments and remi	comment. For all ordaining at mo.
- 110 question	(2) /a.a/a. a.a.a a.a.a ta 20a
	THE WITHESS. Find How you are
privileged information, year have to accord the privilege	laughmig, inn Lauch Lo you have a comment.
if you have information in that category.	MR. SCHNEIDER: Let's take a break for
21 THE WITNESS: No, I did not ask that	a second. There is no question pending.
question because, as I've indicated, terms were added for	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the
multiple reasons, and a term like the "Lolita" example	record. The time is 11:39 a.m.
you have given, may be used to try to prevent the sex	24 (Recess 11:39 to 11:55 a.m.)
trafficking of minors.	25 /////
Dana 54	Daga 50
Page 54	Page 56
1 If you take the word "Lolita" out, then someone	1 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
,	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the
	VIBEGOLUM TIEN. TVG GIG BOOK OF MIG
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that	² record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the
 seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. 	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of
 seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and 	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al.
 seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in 	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex
 seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that 	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking?
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered.
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking.	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking.	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now.	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now.	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor.
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term.	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term. Q (By Mr. Amala) No, because at first you said that the	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term. Q (By Mr. Amala) No, because at first you said that the term "Lolita" wasn't intended to prevent children from	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a minor will be able to will respond to that ad and be
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term. Q (By Mr. Amala) No, because at first you said that the term "Lolita" wasn't intended to prevent children from being drafted for sex, and now you have come back and	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a minor will be able to will respond to that ad and be able to achieve that end, so it helps to prevent an
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term. Q (By Mr. Amala) No, because at first you said that the term "Lolita" wasn't intended to prevent children from being drafted for sex, and now you have come back and said, "Well, maybe that is one of the reasons that	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a minor will be able to—will respond to that ad and be able to achieve that end, so it helps to prevent an instance of the sexual exploitation of a minor.
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term. Q (By Mr. Amala) No, because at first you said that the term "Lolita" wasn't intended to prevent children from being drafted for sex, and now you have come back and said, "Well, maybe that is one of the reasons that 'Lolita' was taken out."	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a minor will be able to will respond to that ad and be able to achieve that end, so it helps to prevent an instance of the sexual exploitation of a minor. In addition to that, when certain terms are removed,
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term. Q (By Mr. Amala) No, because at first you said that the term "Lolita" wasn't intended to prevent children from being drafted for sex, and now you have come back and said, "Well, maybe that is one of the reasons that 'Lolita' was taken out." I am trying to understand which it is, and if it's	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a minor will be able to will respond to that ad and be able to achieve that end, so it helps to prevent an instance of the sexual exploitation of a minor. In addition to that, when certain terms are removed, it can render the ad meaningless.
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term. Q (By Mr. Amala) No, because at first you said that the term "Lolita" wasn't intended to prevent children from being drafted for sex, and now you have come back and said, "Well, maybe that is one of the reasons that 'Lolita' was taken out." I am trying to understand which it is, and if it's both, then how does it help prevent sex trafficking of	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a minor will be able to— will respond to that ad and be able to achieve that end, so it helps to prevent an instance of the sexual exploitation of a minor. In addition to that, when certain terms are removed, it can render the ad meaningless. For example, "A Lolita experience for \$100," if the
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term. Q (By Mr. Amala) No, because at first you said that the term "Lolita" wasn't intended to prevent children from being drafted for sex, and now you have come back and said, "Well, maybe that is one of the reasons that 'Lolita' was taken out." I am trying to understand which it is, and if it's both, then how does it help prevent sex trafficking of minors to take the term "Lolita" out of an ad for sex?	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a minor will be able to— will respond to that ad and be able to achieve that end, so it helps to prevent an instance of the sexual exploitation of a minor. In addition to that, when certain terms are removed, it can render the ad meaningless. For example, "A Lolita experience for \$100," if the term "Lolita" is removed, then the ad simply says,
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term. Q (By Mr. Amala) No, because at first you said that the term "Lolita" wasn't intended to prevent children from being drafted for sex, and now you have come back and said, "Well, maybe that is one of the reasons that 'Lolita' was taken out." I am trying to understand which it is, and if it's both, then how does it help prevent sex trafficking of minors to take the term "Lolita" out of an ad for sex? MR. RYAN: She just answered it a	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a minor will be able to—will respond to that ad and be able to achieve that end, so it helps to prevent an instance of the sexual exploitation of a minor. In addition to that, when certain terms are removed, it can render the ad meaningless. For example, "A Lolita experience for \$100," if the term "Lolita" is removed, then the ad simply says, "Experience for \$100," and an ad that is that vague or
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term. Q (By Mr. Amala) No, because at first you said that the term "Lolita" wasn't intended to prevent children from being drafted for sex, and now you have come back and said, "Well, maybe that is one of the reasons that 'Lolita' was taken out." I am trying to understand which it is, and if it's both, then how does it help prevent sex trafficking of minors to take the term "Lolita" out of an ad for sex? MR. RYAN: She just answered it a	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a minor will be able to—will respond to that ad and be able to achieve that end, so it helps to prevent an instance of the sexual exploitation of a minor. In addition to that, when certain terms are removed, it can render the ad meaningless. For example, "A Lolita experience for \$100," if the term "Lolita" is removed, then the ad simply says, "Experience for \$100," and an ad that is that vague or general is far less likely to attract users to respond.
seeking sex with a minor is not going to respond to that ad because there's no indication of sex with a minor. Furthermore, those same terms may be used and frequently maybe not frequently, but are used in solicitations that don't involve minors, and we find that objectionable and unacceptable. Q (By Mr. Amala) Help me understand how taking out the term "Lolita" from an ad for sex helps to prevent sex trafficking. MR. RYAN: It has been asked and answered several times now. MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the term. Q (By Mr. Amala) No, because at first you said that the term "Lolita" wasn't intended to prevent children from being drafted for sex, and now you have come back and said, "Well, maybe that is one of the reasons that 'Lolita' was taken out." I am trying to understand which it is, and if it's both, then how does it help prevent sex trafficking of minors to take the term "Lolita" out of an ad for sex? MR. RYAN: She just answered it a	record. The time is 11:55 a.m., and this marks the beginning of Disc No. 2 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media, et al. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, was the term "Lolita" removed from ads in an effort to prevent child sex trafficking? MR. RYAN: Objection; asked and answered. THE WITNESS: That was one of the purposes, yes, because if the term "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking to have sex with a minor. If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a minor will be able to—will respond to that ad and be able to achieve that end, so it helps to prevent an instance of the sexual exploitation of a minor. In addition to that, when certain terms are removed, it can render the ad meaningless. For example, "A Lolita experience for \$100," if the term "Lolita" is removed, then the ad simply says, "Experience for \$100," and an ad that is that vague or general is far less likely to attract users to respond.

Page 57

advertised on Backpage.com, it was not legal for a child	I object to the notion that there are particular
² to provide escort services, correct?	² terms indicative of sex trafficking.
3 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of	3 There may be particular terms indicative of sex or
the question as beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice.	4 particular terms indicative of a minor, but I'm unaware
5 THE WITNESS: I don't know the legal	of a term that is indicative of sex trafficking, so I
6 age necessary to provide escort services.	6 can't answer your question.
Our website, in multiple places, at the time that	⁷ Q (By Mr. Amala) How was the automatic well, strike
8 these girls had ads on the website, specifically required	8 that.
9 the certification that you are 18 years of age or older	9 You have testified that the automatic and manual
and not a minor in your jurisdiction.	10 editing of ads was intended to help prevent sex
¹¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) So if a user, to use your example, posted	11 trafficking, correct?
an ad in the escort section for "Lolita experience for	¹² A I testified that that was one of the purposes.
13 \$100," and Backpage automatically removed the term	13 Q Okay. So how does the automatic and manual editing help
"Lolita" and posted the remainder of the ad, which would	14 prevent sex trafficking?
be "Experience for \$100" in the escort section, how does	15 A Well, I think I've asked and answered that multiple
that help prevent children from being trafficked for sex?	16 times.
MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of	17 MR. RYAN: Yes.
18 the question.	18 THE WITNESS: If you'll give me a
19 MR. RYAN: And it's becoming	minute, I will scroll up, and I can read my answer to you
²⁰ argumentative.	20 again.
21 It's the third or fourth time I think you've asked	MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form.
the same question.	22 THE WITNESS: As I said previously, if
23 She has tried to explain her view on that.	23 a term, like "Lolita" is included in an advertisement in
24 I think we should move on.	the adult category, it may attract someone who is looking
²⁵ You can answer if you have a different answer.	25 to have sex with a minor.
, , ,	
Page 58	Page 60
1 THE WITNESS: I would note first of	1 If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less
THE WITNESS: I would note, first of all, I was trying to pinpoint a date for you previously.	1 If the term "Lolita" is removed, then it's less 2 likely that someone who is looking to have sex with a
³ Terms related to adult activity, in the filter, were	minor will be able to or, rather, will respond to that ad
4 not subject to being stripped out before September 15th,	4 and be able to achieve that end, so it helps to prevent
5 2010.	the instance of the sexual exploitation of a minor.
⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Not subject to being stripped out by how?	6 In addition to that, when certain terms are removed,
7 A By the automated filter.	7 it can render an ad meaningless.
8 Q Were they manually removed by moderators before that	8 For example, "A Lolita experience for \$100," if the
9 date?	g term "Lolita" is removed, then the ad simply says,
udio:	torri Lorita is removed, their the ad simply says,
I 10 Δ There in the documents that we have I have not seen a	
10 A There in the documents that we have, I have not seen a	10 "Experience for \$100."
document that showed the list of terms that moderators	 "Experience for \$100." An ad that is that vague or general is far less
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action,	 "Experience for \$100." An ad that is that vague or general is far less likely to attract users to respond.
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen	 "Experience for \$100." An ad that is that vague or general is far less likely to attract users to respond. Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related	 "Experience for \$100." An ad that is that vague or general is far less likely to attract users to respond. Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100,
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time.	10 "Experience for \$100." 11 An ad that is that vague or general is far less 12 likely to attract users to respond. 13 Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an 14 ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, 15 correct?
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time. Has Backpage ever evaluated whether removing terms,	 "Experience for \$100." An ad that is that vague or general is far less likely to attract users to respond. Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, correct? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form.
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time. Has Backpage ever evaluated whether removing terms, indicative of sex trafficking, could inhibit law	10 "Experience for \$100." 11 An ad that is that vague or general is far less 12 likely to attract users to respond. 13 Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an 14 ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, 15 correct? 16 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. 17 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that in
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time. Has Backpage ever evaluated whether removing terms, indicative of sex trafficking, could inhibit law enforcement efforts to detect such ads?	10 "Experience for \$100." 11 An ad that is that vague or general is far less 12 likely to attract users to respond. 13 Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an 14 ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, 15 correct? 16 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. 17 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that in 18 that vague hypothetical.
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time. Has Backpage ever evaluated whether removing terms, indicative of sex trafficking, could inhibit law enforcement efforts to detect such ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of	10 "Experience for \$100." 11 An ad that is that vague or general is far less 12 likely to attract users to respond. 13 Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an 14 ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, 15 correct? 16 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. 17 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that in 18 that vague hypothetical. 19 Q (By Mr. Amala) So it is your testimony that if someone
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time. A Has Backpage ever evaluated whether removing terms, indicative of sex trafficking, could inhibit law enforcement efforts to detect such ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question.	10 "Experience for \$100." 11 An ad that is that vague or general is far less 12 likely to attract users to respond. 13 Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an 14 ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, 15 correct? 16 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. 17 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that in 18 that vague hypothetical. 19 Q (By Mr. Amala) So it is your testimony that if someone 20 posted an ad in the escort section, "An experience for
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time. Has Backpage ever evaluated whether removing terms, indicative of sex trafficking, could inhibit law enforcement efforts to detect such ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. I also do not recognize any category of the 30(b)(6)	10 "Experience for \$100." 11 An ad that is that vague or general is far less 12 likely to attract users to respond. 13 Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an 14 ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, 15 correct? 16 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. 17 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that in 18 that vague hypothetical. 19 Q (By Mr. Amala) So it is your testimony that if someone 20 posted an ad in the escort section, "An experience for 21 \$100," that might not be an indication to consumers of
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time. Has Backpage ever evaluated whether removing terms, indicative of sex trafficking, could inhibit law enforcement efforts to detect such ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. I also do not recognize any category of the 30(b)(6) notice as covering that topic.	"Experience for \$100." An ad that is that vague or general is far less likely to attract users to respond. Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, correct? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I can't answer that in that vague hypothetical. Q (By Mr. Amala) So it is your testimony that if someone posted an ad in the escort section, "An experience for \$100," that might not be an indication to consumers of the website that the person who is the subject of the ad
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time. Has Backpage ever evaluated whether removing terms, indicative of sex trafficking, could inhibit law enforcement efforts to detect such ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. I also do not recognize any category of the 30(b)(6) notice as covering that topic. THE WITNESS: As a member of Backpage,	"Experience for \$100." An ad that is that vague or general is far less likely to attract users to respond. Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, correct? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I can't answer that in that vague hypothetical. Q (By Mr. Amala) So it is your testimony that if someone posted an ad in the escort section, "An experience for \$100," that might not be an indication to consumers of the website that the person who is the subject of the ad is willing to have sex for \$100?
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time. Has Backpage ever evaluated whether removing terms, indicative of sex trafficking, could inhibit law enforcement efforts to detect such ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. I also do not recognize any category of the 30(b)(6) notice as covering that topic. THE WITNESS: As a member of Backpage, I haven't evaluated whether removing terms indicative of	"Experience for \$100." An ad that is that vague or general is far less likely to attract users to respond. Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, correct? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I can't answer that in that vague hypothetical. Q (By Mr. Amala) So it is your testimony that if someone posted an ad in the escort section, "An experience for \$100," that might not be an indication to consumers of the website that the person who is the subject of the ad is willing to have sex for \$100? MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time. Has Backpage ever evaluated whether removing terms, indicative of sex trafficking, could inhibit law enforcement efforts to detect such ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. I also do not recognize any category of the 30(b)(6) notice as covering that topic. THE WITNESS: As a member of Backpage,	"Experience for \$100." An ad that is that vague or general is far less likely to attract users to respond. Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, correct? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I can't answer that in that vague hypothetical. Q (By Mr. Amala) So it is your testimony that if someone posted an ad in the escort section, "An experience for \$100," that might not be an indication to consumers of the website that the person who is the subject of the ad is willing to have sex for \$100? MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.
document that showed the list of terms that moderators would refer to for considering some kind of action, whether it's banning or stripping out I haven't seen the list, so I don't know whether adult-activity-related terms were on the list at that time. Has Backpage ever evaluated whether removing terms, indicative of sex trafficking, could inhibit law enforcement efforts to detect such ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. I also do not recognize any category of the 30(b)(6) notice as covering that topic. THE WITNESS: As a member of Backpage, I haven't evaluated whether removing terms indicative of	"Experience for \$100." An ad that is that vague or general is far less likely to attract users to respond. Q (By Mr. Amala) An adult might still respond to such an ad with the hope of having sex with the person for \$100, correct? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I can't answer that in that vague hypothetical. Q (By Mr. Amala) So it is your testimony that if someone posted an ad in the escort section, "An experience for \$100," that might not be an indication to consumers of the website that the person who is the subject of the ad is willing to have sex for \$100? MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection.

1	Escorting is a legally recognized profession, career	¹ MR. SCHNEIDER: I object on the same
2	Edderling to a logary rootsgrized protocolor, sarder	With Goth Velbert. Tobject on the same
	option, and an ad caying, the experience for \$100 in an	The state of the s
3	occorr category, on its idea, is by its installed an	The behavior of hypothetical customers to her a
4	datoricoment of cox for money.	4 proper subject of this deposition.
5	a (5) and an acceptant anough that often the term	5 There has been no effort to designate a witness to
6	becaut to symonymous man production, contact.	6 testify on that topic, and the notice does not request
7	MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection to the form	⁷ such a witness.
8	of the question; beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice.	8 THE WITNESS: I have no way to know
9	MR. RYAN: Also calls for a legal	⁹ that.
10	conclusion by someone versed in criminal law.	¹⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) During the time that these girls were
11	THE WITNESS: No, I wouldn't agree	advertised on Backpage.com, do you agree that the
12	with that statement.	majority of the individuals who were advertised as
13	Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you agree that people who want to pay	escorts in the escort section of the website were
14		14 individuals who were trying to sell sex for money?
15	People who want to pay for sex will often look for	MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objections.
16		16 THE WITNESS: No, I have no way to
17		17 know that.
18	•	18
19		19 MR. RYAN: There is no question
20	55(5)(5) 1151155.	20 pending.
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21 Q (By Mr. Amala) Turning back to Exhibit No. 3, on Page 5
22		of Exhibit No. 3, you told us that the date next to
23	Thi flot quite sure i	23 "Created" in the "Administrative data" field indicated
24	WITC. 7 WW LETC. 1 GIG.	
25	With terrain roadia.	miles are the defined but not miles are played on
23	MR. AMALA: Yes.	the website; is that correct?
	Page 62	D 04
	raue 02	Page 64
	Faye 02	Page 64
1	MR. RYAN: I don't know what	¹ A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was
2	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means.	A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was probably posted on the website that day as well, but that
	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means.	¹ A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was
2 3 4	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well.
3	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted.
2 3 4	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads?	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well.
2 3 4 5	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads?	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th,
2 3 4 5	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. 7 Q Let's use this ad.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice.	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. 7 Q Let's use this ad. 8 If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. 7 Q Let's use this ad. 8 If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 9 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. 7 Q Let's use this ad. 8 If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 9 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad 10 immediately appear on the website?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. 7 Q Let's use this ad. 8 If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 9 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad 10 immediately appear on the website? 11 A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be.	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. 7 Q Let's use this ad. 8 If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 9 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad 10 immediately appear on the website? 11 A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that 12 date." The user created it on that date.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. 7 Q Let's use this ad. 8 If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 9 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad 10 immediately appear on the website? 11 A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that 12 date." The user created it on that date. 13 This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know where it is.	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. 7 Q Let's use this ad. 8 If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 9 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad immediately appear on the website? 11 A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that date." The user created it on that date. 13 This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted it on that date, so I just want to clarify. 15 Q And what I'm trying this is a starting-off point, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know where it is. THE WITNESS: I do not agree.	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. 7 Q Let's use this ad. 8 If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 9 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad immediately appear on the website? 11 A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that date." The user created it on that date. 13 This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted it on that date, so I just want to clarify. 15 Q And what I'm trying this is a starting-off point, so let's say on July 17th, 2010, at 10:58 p.m., a user
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know where it is. THE WITNESS: I do not agree. I think as research has shown, in this day and age	A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was probably posted on the website that day as well, but that does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. Q Let's use this ad. If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad immediately appear on the website? A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that date." The user created it on that date. This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted it on that date, so I just want to clarify. Q And what I'm trying— this is a starting-off point, so let's say on July 17th, 2010, at 10:58 p.m., a user created this ad and then clicked a button with the hope
2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know where it is. THE WITNESS: I do not agree. I think as research has shown, in this day and age of technology, if somebody wanted to look to pay to have	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. 7 Q Let's use this ad. 8 If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 9 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad 10 immediately appear on the website? 11 A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that 12 date." The user created it on that date. 13 This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted 14 it on that date, so I just want to clarify. 15 Q And what I'm trying this is a starting-off point, so 16 let's say on July 17th, 2010, at 10:58 p.m., a user 17 created this ad and then clicked a button with the hope 18 of it immediately showing up on the website.
2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 100 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know where it is. THE WITNESS: I do not agree. I think as research has shown, in this day and age of technology, if somebody wanted to look to pay to have sex, they would go to social media sites as a first—as	1 A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was 2 probably posted on the website that day as well, but that 3 does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. 4 Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. 5 You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 6 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. 7 Q Let's use this ad. 8 If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 9 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad 10 immediately appear on the website? 11 A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that 12 date." The user created it on that date. 13 This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted 14 it on that date, so I just want to clarify. 15 Q And what I'm trying this is a starting-off point, so 16 let's say on July 17th, 2010, at 10:58 p.m., a user 17 created this ad and then clicked a button with the hope 18 of it immediately showing up on the website. 19 Would that happen?
2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 17 18 19 20	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know where it is. THE WITNESS: I do not agree. I think as research has shown, in this day and age of technology, if somebody wanted to look to pay to have sex, they would go to social media sites as a first—as a first option.	A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was probably posted on the website that day as well, but that does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. Q Let's use this ad. If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad immediately appear on the website? A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that date." The user created it on that date. This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted it on that date, so I just want to clarify. Q And what I'm trying this is a starting-off point, so let's say on July 17th, 2010, at 10:58 p.m., a user created this ad and then clicked a button with the hope of it immediately showing up on the website. Would that happen? A No.
2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 18 8 19 20 21	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know where it is. THE WITNESS: I do not agree. I think as research has shown, in this day and age of technology, if somebody wanted to look to pay to have sex, they would go to social media sites as a first—as a first option. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, during the time that these	A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was probably posted on the website that day as well, but that does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. Q Let's use this ad. If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad immediately appear on the website? A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that date." The user created it on that date. This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted it on that date, so I just want to clarify. Q And what I'm trying this is a starting-off point, so let's say on July 17th, 2010, at 10:58 p.m., a user created this ad and then clicked a button with the hope of it immediately showing up on the website. Would that happen? A No. Why not?
2 2 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 100 111 122 133 144 15 166 177 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designatesthat requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know where it is. THE WITNESS: I do not agree. I think as research has shown, in this day and age of technology, if somebody wanted to look to pay to have sex, they would go to social media sites as a first as a first option. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, during the time that these girls were advertised in the escort section of	A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was probably posted on the website that day as well, but that does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. Q Let's use this ad. If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad immediately appear on the website? A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that date." The user created it on that date. This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted it on that date, so I just want to clarify. Q And what I'm trying— this is a starting-off point, so let's say on July 17th, 2010, at 10:58 p.m., a user created this ad and then clicked a button with the hope of it immediately showing up on the website. Would that happen? A No. Why not? A It would have gone into an "Under review" status until it
2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designatesthat requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know where it is. THE WITNESS: I do not agree. I think as research has shown, in this day and age of technology, if somebody wanted to look to pay to have sex, they would go to social media sites as a first as a first option. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, during the time that these girls were advertised in the escort section of Backpage.com, do you agree that the vast majority of the	A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was probably posted on the website that day as well, but that does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. Q Let's use this ad. If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad immediately appear on the website? A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that date." The user created it on that date. This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted it on that date, so I just want to clarify. Q And what I'm trying this is a starting-off point, so let's say on July 17th, 2010, at 10:58 p.m., a user created this ad and then clicked a button with the hope of it immediately showing up on the website. Would that happen? A No. Q Why not? A It would have gone into an "Under review" status until it had been viewed by a moderator.
2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 21 22 23 24	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know where it is. THE WITNESS: I do not agree. I think as research has shown, in this day and age of technology, if somebody wanted to look to pay to have sex, they would go to social media sites as a first—as a first option. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, during the time that these girls were advertised in the escort section of Backpage.com, do you agree that the vast majority of the people who looked to purchase services in the escort	A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was probably posted on the website that day as well, but that does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. Q Let's use this ad. If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad immediately appear on the website? A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that date." The user created it on that date. This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted it on that date, so I just want to clarify. And what I'm trying—this is a starting-off point, so let's say on July 17th, 2010, at 10:58 p.m., a user created this ad and then clicked a button with the hope of it immediately showing up on the website. Would that happen? A No. Why not? It would have gone into an "Under review" status until it had been viewed by a moderator.
2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. RYAN: I don't know what "commercial" sex means. THE WITNESS: I don't either. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, do you agree that people who want to pay to have sex with someone else will often look for those individuals by looking through escort ads? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question, and on the basis that it is beyond the scope of the Rule 30(b)(6) notice. I don't see anything in the notice that designates—that requests that a witness be designated to testify as to what the hypothetical behavior of people looking at the website might be. If there is such a reference, please let me know where it is. THE WITNESS: I do not agree. I think as research has shown, in this day and age of technology, if somebody wanted to look to pay to have sex, they would go to social media sites as a first—as a first option. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, during the time that these girls were advertised in the escort section of Backpage.com, do you agree that the vast majority of the people who looked to purchase services in the escort	A In all likelihood, the day the ad was created, it was probably posted on the website that day as well, but that does not reflect all the dates when it was posted. Sorry, I didn't explain that very well. You could create an ad on here, Saturday, July 17th, 2010, but not post it until a day or a few days later. Q Let's use this ad. If the user who posted this ad on July 17th, 2010 at 10:58 p.m. clicked a button to post the ad, would the ad immediately appear on the website? A First of all, you said "the user who posted it on that date." The user created it on that date. This doesn't indicate that the user actually posted it on that date, so I just want to clarify. Q And what I'm trying this is a starting-off point, so let's say on July 17th, 2010, at 10:58 p.m., a user created this ad and then clicked a button with the hope of it immediately showing up on the website. Would that happen? A No. Q Why not? A It would have gone into an "Under review" status until it had been viewed by a moderator.

Page 65

through 2010. done with what they wanted to edit." 2 ² Q Correct. I will do my best to answer for this period from, 3 say, June 1st to the end of September 2010, which is the 3 So a moderator looks at an ad, decides that they 4 want to remove or alter text in an ad, and then they're relevant period for purposes of this case. 5 When there was a new ad, it would have an alert done with their job-assigned to it and go into a queue to be reviewed before 6 A I see, they're finished with-- I see. 7 it would be permitted to go live on the website. 7 Q What is the next step in the process? 8 The user would get an e-mail that said something 8 A When a moderator is finished moderating an ad, then they 9 will take whatever action they have deemed is like, "Your ad is under review and should be released 10 10 soon." appropriate, which may be rejecting the ad if it was a 11 Q What happened next? 11 pre-post review, deleting the ad if it was a post-posting 12 12 A The ad would be reviewed, and the moderator would review, or releasing the ad. 13 Q Have you seen any evidence that any of the ads of the determine whether or not to release the ad. ¹⁴ Q And at that point the moderator also had the option of plaintiffs were rejected by a moderator? 15 A I don't recall. editing the ad to remove content or pictures, correct? 16 A Correct. 16 I would have to go back and look through all 300 or 17 ¹⁷ Q Let's say a moderator edited the content of an ad, not a so ads to determine that. 18 18 Q Have you seen any evidence that a moderator deleted any picture, but edited the content--19 A By "content" you mean text? 19 of the ads of the plaintiffs? 20 Q Correct. A Same answer. 21 21 Q A moderator, during this time period, had the ability to Let's start over. 22 lock an ad after it was edited, correct? Let's say a moderator decided to edit some of the 23 text of an ad, was finished with their job. What was the 23 A Did you say "lock an ad"? 24 next process? ²⁴ Q Correct. 25 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 25 A I am not familiar with the term-- oh, do you mean disable Page 66 Page 68 a user's ability to modify an ad? the question. 2 ² Q That's correct. I believe the previous question defined "editing" as 3 removing content or pictures. 3 A That was a-- yes, that was a feature that was available 4 Are you still using the term in that manner? to moderators. ⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) Let's clarify that. ⁵ Q Why was that feature available? At the time we are talking about, moderators could 6 MR. SCHNEIDER: If you know. 7 remove text or alter the text, correct? Again, I think that this is beyond the scope of the 8 A They had the technical ability to remove or alter text. 8 9 I don't recall any category which would implicate Within that short window of time, I am not certain 10 10 the reasoning behind the availability of certain that they had the authority to remove or alter text. 11 features. 11 Q Did you ask Andrew Padilla, in order to prepare for 12 THE WITNESS: The moderator would lock 12 today, whether or not the moderators during this period 13 13 had the authority to remove or alter text of ads? an ad so the user could not make further changes to it. ¹⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) Last line of questioning before we take 14 A No. 15 15 MR. RYAN: That question was asked and our lunch break: 16 16 During this period of time that we've been answered earlier. 17 17 discussing, after a moderator finished his or her manual MR. SCHNEIDER: It is 12:15, which I 18 review of an ad, and the ad was then published on the 18 think is the time we expected to adjourn for lunch. 19 website, how long would the ad stay up? 19 MR. AMALA: Give me five more minutes, 20 A Normally 45 days. 20 then we'll go for lunch. ²¹ Q And users had the ability to repost an ad; is that ²¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) So Ms. McDougall, if a moderator, during 22 this time period, chose to remove or alter text, and then ²³ A Yes. 23 was done with what they wanted to edit, what was the next ²⁴ Q If you look at Page 6 of Exhibit No. 3-step in the process? MR. SCHNEIDER: Production Page 1960? 25 A I'm sorry, I don't understand the question, "and then was

Page 69

¹ MR. AMALA: Yes, sir.	1 THE WITNESS: I was getting fatigued
² Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, if you look at Page 6 of	before lunch, and you asked me if an ad was reposted
3 Exhibit No. 3, it identifies a number of invoices for	without any changes, would it still go into a queue, and
4 this ad.	4 I answered that it would, but it would if the ad was
5 Do you see that?	being posted again, multiple times, as in the example we
6 A Ido.	6 were looking at, with no change, it would not create an
⁷ Q Okay. And the invoices have a number of dates between	⁷ alert and would not go into a queue, it would just go
8 July 21st, 2010 and August 24th, 2010.	8 live.
9 Do you see that?	⁹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Thank you for that clarification.
10 A I do .	10 A You're welcome.
¹¹ Q Okay. That is about 33 days, give or take a day.	11 (Exhibit No. 4 marked
12 If these ads would normally stay up for 45 days, why	for identification.)
are there invoices, roughly a dozen invoices, during that	13
14 33-day period for this ad?	14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
15 A I don't I apologize if I'm being obtuse.	been marked as Exhibit No. 4.
16 I don't understand the "33 days" that you are	16 I will represent to you that this is the same set of
17 referring to, but I think I can still answer your	advertisements regarding Plaintiff J.S. that we looked at
18 question.	during your deposition yesterday, but please take a
19 Q Sure.	moment to review Exhibit No. 4 and confirm that you
²⁰ A These would be payments so that the ad would stay near	20 agree.
the top of the list on the website.	21 MR. SCHNEIDER: In other words,
22 Otherwise, throughout the 45-day period, they get	22 Exhibit No. 4 today is the same as Exhibit No. 7
23 lower and lower.	23 yesterday?
²⁴ Q And when the user paid this additional \$3 on the dates	24 MR. AMALA: I believe that's correct.
that we see on Page 6 of Exhibit No. 3, am I correct that	25 THE WITNESS: I don't have Exhibit
and the edge of the age of the sample two to the sample that	THE WINESS: FROM THAT'S EXHIBIT
Page 70	Page 72
the ad would not be re-reviewed by a moderator?	No. 7 from vesterday with me to compare them page by
 the ad would not be re-reviewed by a moderator? Is that correct? 	rion your day man me to company arom page by
10 11.01 00.7001	 page, but I'll take your word for the fact that it's the same set of documents.
 A During this time period I believe that's incorrect. There would be an alert, and if the ad was reposted, 	4 Q (By Mr. Amala) And you understand that the
5 without any changes, it would I believe it would still	5 advertisements that we see in Exhibit No. 4 regarding
6 go into a queue for review.	6 Plaintiff J.S. were advertisements that were produced by
7 If it was changed, there would be a different alert,	the defendants in this case, correct, during discovery?
8 and it would go into a different queue for review again.	8 A Yes.
Q Okay. Help us understand what the difference in	9 Q Earlier you said that at least the first ad regarding
10 reviewing was between an ad that was reposted without	10 Plaintiff L.C. that we reviewed earlier today was
edits by the user versus ads that were reposted with	atypical with regard to how information in at least that
12 edits.	12 first ad was presented.
13 A It is my understanding that it was the urgency with which	ls the advertisement that we see of Plaintiff J.S.
14 ads were reviewed.	on the first page the second page of Exhibit No. 4 more
15 MR. AMALA: All right. Let's take our	of a typical layout of what you would see if you looked
16 lunch break.	at what Backpage stored on this ad?
17 VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record,	17 A Looking through the first two pages of Exhibit No. 4, I
18 the time is 12:22 p.m.	18 would say yes.
19 (Lunch recess 12:22 to 1:35 p.m.)	¹⁹ Q Okay. Looking at the ad that's on the first two pages of
20	20 Exhibit No. 4, is this the view that an administrator
21 VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the	would have of this particular ad regarding J.S. if the
22 time is 1:35 a.m.	administrator wanted to go in and look at the ad?
23 THE WITNESS: Mr. Amala, can I clarify	²³ A I'm not sure.
²⁴ something?	24 I believe that the same information is contained
²⁵ MR. AMALA: Yes, ma'am.	here, but this the format here is the format that was
Page 71	Page 73

7

- used to create PDF documents that we could provide to law
- enforcement, so the information may have been displayed
- 3 differently during the moderation process.
- ⁴ Q Let me ask this and-- maybe ask it this way:
 - All of the information that we looked at in Exhibit
- No. 3 regarding Plaintiff L.C., was that information the
- 7 same information that a moderator would have seen when
- the moderator was looking at the ad, although the layout
- may have been different on the page?
- 10 A I don't think so.
- 11 There are just anomalies in that exhibit.
- 12 Q Okay. How about if we use the first two pages of Exhibit
- 13
- 14 I understand that the layout may have been different
- 15 on the page, but--
- ¹⁶ A On the screen.
- ¹⁷ Q On the screen, but is the information that we see on the
- 18 first two pages of Exhibit No. 4 an illustration of the
- 19 information that a moderator would see if they were
- looking at this ad?
- 21 A To the best of my knowledge, yes, that's true.
- ²² Q And if a visitor to Backpage.com, who was not the user
- that created this ad, was looking at this ad, what
- information would they see?
- 25 A They would see the Backpage logo--

- exhibit, a user viewing the ad, again, would not see the
- 2 lines across the top that reflect the title in the URL
- 3 but also the text here that reflects links to edit the
- 4 ad, delete the ad, bypass moderation, and then the list
- 5 of invoices for the ad.
- 6 They would not see that.
 - Below that, you can kind of make out that there are
- 8 links to, for example, the Backpage terms of use, privacy
- 9 policy-- that would be viewable to the user.
- 10 I just can't really tell what the line under that
- 11 is, to be able to answer.
- 12 I believe whatever that is would be viewable to a
- 13 user on the site, but I can't guarantee that.
- ¹⁴ Q If this ad that we see on the first two pages of Exhibit
- 15 No. 4 was posted to the website and time went by and it
- 16 expired-- I think earlier you said you thought these ads
- 17 ran for 45 days, so after 45 days, what would happen to
- 18 the ad at that point?
- 19 A After 45 days, unless the user who posted the ad took
- 20 some kind of action to repost the ad or revise it and
- 21 repost it or something else, the ad would no longer be
- 22 visible to the public on the website.
- ²³ Q Could the user still see-- strike that.
- 24 The user who created this ad, would they be able to
- 25 go into their account and see an ad that had expired and

Page 74

Page 76

- 1 Q Ms. McDougall, if I could interrupt, if it is easier to
- tell me what they wouldn't see, you can tell me that.
- ³ A Well, given that this is printed out across the top where
- it says, "No discounts," it is a reflection of part of
- the title, that is not-- that is not something they would
- 6 see on the screen, obviously, and the URL or the partial
- 7 URL would be depicted only as part of the search engine
- 8 that they were using.
- 9 For the ad itself, they would not see the line that 10 says, "This ad is not live. Status: removed by me."
- 11 I believe they would not see the line before the
- 12 posted date that begins, "Whitelisted: yes," "View
- 13
- account," e-mail address, "Spam," "Delete this ad,"
- 14 "Global delete"-- that would not appear.
- 15 I believe that -- let's see, the "Reply: Click here,"
- 16 and the text below that would appear to a user, a user 17 viewing the ad on the site.
- 18 I believe that the images down the right side of the 19 page would appear to a user viewing the ad on the site.
- 20 Going to the bottom of the page, where it says,
- 21 "Administrative data," and there are two columns, that
- 22 information would not appear to a user viewing the ad on
- 23 the site.
- 24 Turning to the next page of this ad or of the
- 25 printout of this ad-- sorry, the second page of this

- was no longer showing on the website?
- ² A I believe so.
- 3 I can't tell you that with certainty. That wasn't
- really part of moderation, but I believe so.
- ⁵ Q And if a user reactivated an inactive ad, do you know
- whether or not that reactivated ad would be moderated?
- A By "reactivate," you mean they wanted to make it live on
- the site again?
- ⁹ Q Correct.
- 10 A It is my understanding that if the ad had any changes or
- 11 modifications, then it would be moderated again before it
- 12 was allowed to go live.
- 13 If there were no changes at all, then it would go
- 14 live without additional moderation.
- 15 Q Looking at the ad of J.S. that appears on
- 16 Backpage00006493 in Exhibit No. 4-- and that was 6493.
- 17 A Yes, I have it.
- ¹⁸ Q On this ad do you see in the administrative data, next to
- 19 "Violation," it says, "Inappropriate content"?
- ²⁰ A I do.
- ²¹ Q Do we have any way of knowing when this ad was flagged
- for having inappropriate content?
- 23 A I don't believe so, no.
- ²⁴ Q Do we have any way of knowing when any of the ads of the
- plaintiffs were flagged for having inappropriate content?

Page 77

¹ A We can tell whether they were flagged for inappropriate	of terms that were removed by the automatic filter?
content, but to my knowledge we do not have any records	² A The word "cum," C-U-M, was added to the auto filter list,
3 showing when that occurred.	3 but actions on the auto filter list were not that was
4 (Exhibit No. 5 marked	4 not a strip-out term list. There were multiple actions
5 for identification.)	5 that could be applied to any particular term, so I will
6	6 represent that it was at some point on the auto filter
⁷ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's	7 list, but that does not mean that the term was stripped
8 been marked as Exhibit No. 5.	8 out or removed from an ad.
9 Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 5 and let	⁹ Q Why was the term "cum," C-U-M, added to the list?
me know when you're finished.	¹⁰ A Because we found it to be objectionable.
11 If it is helpful, I will represent to you that these	11 Q Why?
are the same printouts regarding Plaintiff S.L. that we	12 A Taste.
13 reviewed during your deposition yesterday, but please	13 Q So because the term "cum," C-U-M, appearing in the ad in
feel free to take a moment and confirm that.	the escort section, may indicate that the ad was an ad
¹⁵ MR. SCHNEIDER: Meaning Exhibit No. 5	15 for sex for money?
today will be the same as Exhibit No. 8 yesterday?	¹⁶ A That's not what I said.
17 MR. AMALA: Thank you.	17 Q I'm asking you.
¹⁸ MR. SCHNEIDER: I was asking, not	18 A Sorry, there was no question there.
19 stating, but	19 MR. SCHNEIDER: It's phrased as a
20 MR. AMALA: I will trust your	20 statement, Counsel, not a question.
21 representation.	²¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Why did you find the ad strike that.
²² MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm not making one.	22 Why did you find strike that again.
²³ It was a question.	23 Why did the website
24 THE WITNESS: I just flipped through	24 MR. SCHNEIDER: Granted.
25 this, but I will take your word for the fact that this is	25 THE WITNESS: Can we get you some
and, but I will take your word for the fact that the fo	The Withest San no got you some
Page 78	Page 80
the same one of the same exhibits that you showed me	1 coffee?
² yesterday.	² Q (By Mr. Amala) Why did the website find the term "cum,"
³ Q (By Mr. Amala) And these are printouts that the	3 C-U-M, to be objectionable?
defendants provided to the plaintiffs in this case during	4 MR. RYAN: It is asked and answered.
5 discovery, correct?	5 THE WITNESS: As I said, it was a
6 A Correct.	6 matter of taste.
⁷ Q If you look at the third page from the back of Exhibit	⁷ Q (By Mr. Amala) Is one of the reasons that the website
No. 5, which is the fourth page of the exhibit, it's	found the term "cum" to be objectionable is because it
9 Bates labelled Backpage00002040 are you there?	9 could reflect that this was an ad for sex for money?
10 A Yes, I am now.	MR. RYAN: Also, asked and answered.
¹¹ Q Do you understand whether strike that.	11 THE WITNESS: You said because it
Do you know whether Backpage ever added the term	12 could I am not sure you appreciate in the what we
13 "cum," C-U-M, as a term that may reflect an ad was an ad	call the auto filter list that had assorted actions, it
14 for sex for money?	applied across the entire website, not just the adult
¹⁵ A Sorry, I am going to have to read that question again.	category, and there was terminology that we found too
MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the	raunchy and did not want on the website.
form of the question.	¹⁷ Q (By Mr. Amala) And I'm asking if one of the reasons that
18 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the	you did not want the word "cum," C-U-M, used on the
¹⁹ question in that I don't know you're asking whether	website is because the use of the word "cum" could
²⁰ Backpage ever added that term where?	indicate that an ad was an ad for sex for money.
	21 A There were multiple reasons.
²¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) To its list of terms that may reflect	- A There were multiple reasons.
 Q (By Mr. Amala) To its list of terms that may reflect that an ad was an ad for sex for money. 	22 As I said, one, the term was simply too raunchy.
	As I said, one, the term was simply too raunchy. "Cum" was a perfectly acceptable term in, say, the
that an ad was an ad for sex for money. A We did not have a list of terms that reflected whether an ad was an ad for sex for money.	As I said, one, the term was simply too raunchy. "Cum" was a perfectly acceptable term in, say, the dating ad, because people could discuss sex that they
that an ad was an ad for sex for money. 23 A We did not have a list of terms that reflected whether an	As I said, one, the term was simply too raunchy. "Cum" was a perfectly acceptable term in, say, the
that an ad was an ad for sex for money. A We did not have a list of terms that reflected whether an ad was an ad for sex for money.	As I said, one, the term was simply too raunchy. "Cum" was a perfectly acceptable term in, say, the dating ad, because people could discuss sex that they

1 Q (By Mr. Amala) Let's look at the first page of Exhibit for money, but "cum" in other service categories could be 2 a potential coded term or indication of sex for money. No. 3, the advertisement of Plaintiff L.C. 3 It's a possibility, so that -- I wasn't there at the 3 Was the term "cum," C-U-M, on the list of terms that time, but that was likely one of the reasons, not the moderators could manually remove from an ad? only reason. A We don't have that document from this period of time, so ⁶ Q And that logic would apply to ads posted in the escort I don't know. 7 section, correct? Q Looking at the first ad of Plaintiff L.C. that appears in 8 A Well, I went through a few points. Exhibit No. 3, the subject line is, "Cum let Tasha blow 9 Which logic are you referring to, please? your mind." 10 Q Was one of the reasons that the term "cum," C-U-M, was 10 Do you see that? 11 added to the list of terms that the automated filter 11 A Yes. It is not spelled clearly to say that, but it could 12 removed in the escort section because that could indicate 12 be interpreted to say that, certainly. 13 13 that the ad was an ad for sex for money? Q And then there's obviously pictures of L.C. in different 14 14 MR. RYAN: Asked and answered. positions, provocative positions, such as the position on 15 15 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. all fours in the second page of this ad. 16 16 THE WITNESS: One of the reasons that On the fourth page of the ad she's pictured in a bed 17 17 the term "cum" was added to the automated filter list, with her legs spread open and what I think most people 18 18 that covered the whole website, was because it could would agree is a sexual position. 19 19 indicate sex. Then on the fifth page of the ad there are more $^{\rm 20}~{\rm Q}~{\rm (By~Mr.~Amala)}~{\rm Could}$ indicate that an ad was for sex for 20 pictures of her. 21 21 money, correct? One has the label, "Sexy," and then the text says, 22 22 A No, the word--"Ask for Tasha quicky," followed by the terms "Half hour" 23 23 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. and "Hour." 24 24 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Do you see all that? 25 25 The word "cum" by itself does not indicate sex for MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of Page 82 Page 84 1 2 THE WITNESS: I see all of that, but I ² Q (By Mr. Amala) Do you agree that looking at this 3 advertisement of Plaintiff S.L., the subject line of the don't accept that this is necessarily all content that ad is, "Cum envoy a night with a new girl:) on the block. 4 was part of an ad that was displayed on the website. 5 5 'Nichole'"-- do you see that? As we discussed at some length before lunch, this 6 A I do. 6 is-- there are many things that are anomalies here from 7 ⁷ Q Do you agree with me that this is an indication-- using the way we would have printed and produced an ad and the term "cum" in this context, in an ad in the escort 8 admin data 9 section, is an indication that this may be an There are multiple references to "MySpace" and 10 10 advertisement for sex? MySpace layouts. 11 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. I can't agree that this -- the content here is 12 12 THE WITNESS: No. content that was posted or displayed on Backpage. 13 Q (By Mr. Amala) Why not? 13 Q (By Mr. Amala) So your testimony is that you don't 14 14 A For many reasons. believe that this content that I just descr bed was 15 15 There's very little context here to understand what displayed as part of this ad when it appeared on 16 16 Backpage.com? service is being advertised. 17 17 A No, I said that I don't know. Furthermore, there's a large number of our users who 18 18 are not particularly good spellers, and the spelling of It's a very strange capture or layout, and it is not 19 19 at all consistent with the way we preserve the content of "cum" could simply be a typo. 20 20 There is simply a title and no text, and it refers advertisements that had been posted on the website. 21 to-- "enjoy a night" is more suggestive of companionship ²¹ Q Did you ask anyone from Backpage, when you were preparing 22 than of intercourse or of some other form of sex. for today, why this advertisement of L.C. is atypical of 23 23 MR. SCHNEIDER: Also, object to the what you would normally see? 24 ²⁴ A No, I did not. form on the basis that it invites the witness to 25 ²⁵ Q Let's look at another ad of Plaintiff L.C.-- actually, speculate as to what the person posting the ad meant. Page 83 Page 85

1 before we move on, so the content that I described to 30(b)(6) topics designated. 2 2 you, do you agree that that content is suggestive that THE WITNESS: No. 3 this is an ad for sex for money? 3 Q (By Mr. Amala) Why not? 4 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Same objection. MR. RYAN: I object to the form of the 5 5 question because this has been like a stream of THE WITNESS: Because there is no 6 6 text. There's an image that was apparently removed or discussion. 7 7 If you want to refocus it on a particular place, not deleted by Photobucket. 8 three different places or five, but one, and ask a 8 Because the moderation standards on the site have 9 9 question, please do. changed and evolved over time, and the types of images ¹⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) How about this: 10 displayed here would be very atypical for-- well, for the 11 11 Ms. McDougall, please review the first ad that we site, as of about October 2010 or so. 12 Q (By Mr. Amala) Why do you say that? 12 see in Exhibit No. 3, which is Pages 1 through 6 of the 13 13 A Because they have. exhibit, and tell me if you agree that this advertisement 14 appears to be an ad for sex for money. ¹⁴ Q What changed in October of 2010? ¹⁵ A Well, as I have indicated multiple times, I simply can't 15 A The rules and the moderation for the adult category of 16 16 accept your premise that this is an advertisement, the site on Backpage have evolved since the site was 17 17 particularly an advertisement that was posted on our launched in 2004. 18 18 There were changes in 2006, 2008, significant site. 19 19 changes in 2009, more changes in 2010, changes in 2011, I just don't know, but it has anomalies that make it 20 20 2012-- it's-- there isn't-- again, if you look at the appear that that may not have been the case. 21 ²¹ Q Let's say that a jury concludes that this is what academics and the researchers that have finally started 22 22 appeared on your website. studying the issue of human trafficking and technology 23 23 If a jury agrees with that, do you agree that this and their intersection, especially technology and 24 24 domestic minor sex trafficking, things are constantly appears to be an ad that is sex for money? 25 25 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of being learned. Page 86 Page 88 1 People that are involved in this kind of illegal the question. 2 2 MR. RYAN: It wouldn't matter what a behavior are constantly evolving in their use of 3 3 jury did. It's what you see right now. technology and in finding methods of circumventing 4 4 I don't see how you can answer that question. measures that have been implemented, like the measures 5 5 It calls for a legal conclusion about what the we've implemented, to try to keep them off of our 6 impact of a jury decision would be on that issue, which 6 website. 7 7 you can't foretell. Those are the reasons, the constant evolution. 8 THE WITNESS: Looking through the six 8 There was even more attention with respect to the 9 9 pages that I believe are the subject of your question, adult category, beginning in 2009 and continuing through 10 10 Bates No. 1955 to 1960, no, there's nothing-- nothing in 2010, as the issue of domestic minor sex trafficking 11 11 this-- in these six pages that indicates that it is an ad finally became more visible and recognized in the United 12 12 for sex for money. States. 13 Q (By Mr. Amala) Let's look at the ad of Plaintiff L.C. 13 With that, Backpage undertook further efforts to 14 that appears on-- starts on Backpage00002018 in Exhibit 14 ensure that their value was in fighting that issue and 15 15 preventing it and in working with law enforcement to 16 MR. RYAN: I apologize, give me the 16 recover victims and take down the perpetrators. 17 last three digits. 17 Q So my question is: 18 18 MR. AMALA: 2018. You had said that the images that we see in this ad 19 19 MR. RYAN: Thank you. of Plaintiff L.C. would be atypical given some changes ²⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) Is this ad of Plaintiff L.C. what you 20 that happened in October 2010. 21 21 would typically expect to see regarding an ad that was What change in October 2010 made it so seeing the 22 posted in the escort section of Backpage.com during the 22 images we see in this ad of L.C. would be atypical after 23 time that L.C. was advertised on the website? 23 October 2010? 24 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of ²⁴ A Among other things, I believe it would have been 25 the question, and I believe it's beyond the scope of the required-- the age field would have been a required

Page 89

field, so an age should have been entered and displayed Q Let's look at the next ad of Plaintiff L.C. that starts 2 somewhere along-- near the end of the title. on Page 2047. 3 3 Possibly more significantly, nudity was prohibited, Are you there? 4 4 A lam. so the-- the images on the right-hand side of this 5 document, the bottom image, would not have been ⁵ Q If you turn to Page 6 of this advertisement, which is 6 Backpage00002052, you will see it says, "Minutes," "Half permitted. 7 7 It's very difficult to see, but I believe that the hour," and "Hour." 8 second image also would not have been permitted. 8 Do you see that? 9 ⁹ A I see those words, yes. Again, I'm struggling to make out the details of the 10 photograph. 10 Q If next to those words this ad had originally said, "Blow 11 11 job," would you agree with me that that would be an ad The first photograph on the right may have been 12 permitted. 12 for sex for money? 13 13 Q So looking at what we see in this ad of Plaintiff L.C., MR. RYAN: Objection. 14 14 and I realize that there's an image that's no longer There is absolutely no foundation for the inclusion 15 15 displayed, an image from Photobucket, do you agree with of that word there. 16 16 me that this ad that's titled, "Cum start your day with MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 17 17 Tasha," and "cum" spelled C-U-M, appears to be an ad for the question. 18 18 THE WITNESS: So first, I'm afraid I sex for money? 19 **A No.** 19 have to comment again that this printout is atypical and 20 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. does not present as a printout of a Backpage 21 21 THE WITNESS: No. advertisement. 22 ²² Q (By Mr. Amala) Why not? It appears potentially that they cut and paste an 23 A I thought I had answered that, but I'll try again. 23 advertisement from MySpace, but to the extent you are 24 24 There's nothing here that states or requests an asking me questions about this particular ad, please just 25 25 exchange of a sex act for money or other consideration. be aware that I don't think this is representative of ads Page 90 Page 92 ¹ Q If this ad had originally said-- was the same text, 1 on the-- the presentation of ads on Backpage and the way 2 they were provided in response to subpoenas. except added to it was "Blow job, blow job, blow job, 3 3 blow job," that text would have been removed by the Of course with all of that, I have-- oh, no, I think 4 4 automatic filtering, correct? I remember your question. 5 5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of I'm sorry, now could you read the question back, 6 6 please? the question. 7 THE WITNESS: No, because the Q (By Mr. Amala) If the term "Blow job" appeared next to 8 automatic filter did not remove words from ads until-- I "Minutes, "Half hour," and "Hour," and that term was 9 9 believe it was September 19th, 2010. removed by a moderator, and then the rest of that was 10 ¹⁰ Q (By Mr. Amala) A moderator who reviewed this ad before published as we see in Exhibit No. 3, would you agree 11 11 with me that that was an ad for sex for money? it was published would have had the authority to remove 12 12 the term "blow job" if it appeared in the text of this MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 13 13 ad. correct? the question. 14 ¹⁴ A Probably. THE WITNESS: No. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) Why? ¹⁵ Q If this ad had originally had the term "blow job," and it 16 16 A Because there is no indication of the exchange of money was removed by a moderator and what we now see is the 17 17 edited version that was published after that term was or other consideration. 18 18 removed, would you agree with me that this is-- would be It would seem to be an indication-- I--19 19 MR. SCHNEIDER: You don't have to an ad for sex for money? 20 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form. speculate. 21 21 THE WITNESS: No. THE WITNESS: Yeah. 22 Q (By Mr. Amala) Why not? ²² Q (By Mr. Amala) Let's turn back to Exhibit No. 4. 23 A Repeated use of the word "blow job" does not indicate 23 Within Exhibit No. 4 let's turn to Bates Label that somebody is offering a blow job in exchange for Backpage00006499. 25 $^{25}\,$ A You are getting a little bit ahead of me there. money or other compensation. Page 91 Page 93

1 Q Sure.	1 appeared.
² Exhibit No. 4.	2 Would you agree with me that that would indicate
³ A I was trying to keep Exhibit No. 3 in its proper order.	3 that this is an ad for sex for money?
4 I think I may have messed up the order. Sorry.	4 MR. RYAN: This entire stream of
5 Okay. I apologize. I have Exhibit No. 4 now.	5 questioning is objectionable because there isn't a single
6 What would you like me to look at?	6 foundation reason to believe that that word ever appeared
⁷ Q Please turn to Page Bates Label Backpage00006499.	⁷ there.
8 A Yes, I'm there.	8 Therefore, you're being asked to answer repeated
⁹ Q In the text of this ad you will see it says, "I give them	9 hypotheticals without any foundation.
10 special specials for being special."	10 Continue as you see fit.
Do you see that?	MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection to the form.
12 A I see that.	12 It also invites the witness to speculate as to what
¹³ Q Followed by "120 HH" and "150 HR."	someone else intended when posting the ad, and there's no
¹⁴ Do you see that?	foundation that she has any ability to know what was in
15 A I do.	somebody else's mind, much less when you change what's on
¹⁶ Q Do you agree with me that "120 HH" and "150 HR" is	the page to a hypothetical situation by another person,
reflecting \$120 for a half an hour and "150 HR" is \$150	17 created by another person.
18 per hour?	18 THE WITNESS: If the word "blow job"
19 MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection to the form	appeared after "120 HH," I would agree that that is a
²⁰ of the question.	20 potential indication of sex for money, but there's no way
THE WITNESS: I don't know, but it's	21 to know for sure.
22 certainly possible.	Not only do you not know the intention of the person
²³ Q (By Mr. Amala) Seems like a reasonable assumption,	posting the ad, it may be that the intention is actually
²⁴ correct?	to lure someone into a robbery, and if that was clear
MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of	evidence of a sex act for money, then law enforcement
Page 94	Page 96
¹ the question.	would be able to arrest people on the basis of the ads
² THE WITNESS: It is certainly	and not have to perform stings to see if, in fact, sex is
³ possible.	3 actually being offered in response to ads like what
4 Q (By Mr. Amala) So if this ad originally when it was	4 you've described.
5 posted strike that.	⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) During the time that the plaintiffs were
6 If this ad, when it was originally created, said, "I	6 advertised on Backpage.com, did Backpage forward
7 give them blow job special specials for being special,"	7 advertisements to law enforcement that contained terms
8 and then a moderator removed the term "blow job" and	8 the company believed may reflect that an ad was sex for
9 posted the rest, would you agree with me that this was an	9 money?
¹⁰ ad for sex for money?	¹⁰ A I don't know if that happened during the period June 1st,
11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection to the form	2010 through the end of September 2010.
12 of the question.	12 I do know that during that time period Backpage
13 THE WITNESS: No, not necessarily.	would forward any ads that they believed were involved
14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Why is that?	potential exploitation of a minor to the National Center
15 A Because I think in the example you gave, it's ambiguous.	15 for Missing & Exploited Children, which was the
¹⁶ Q How would that be ambiguous?	16 clearinghouse for distributing that kind of report to law
¹⁷ A Because you said that the language would read, "I give	17 enforcement nationwide.
18 them blow job special special specials for being	¹⁸ Q During the time that these girls were advertised on
19 special."	19 Backpage.com, why didn't the company simply fail and
20 It indicates that the blow job special specials are	²⁰ reject any ad that contained terms or images that
in exchange that the consideration for that is the man	21 suggested the ad was sex for money?
who knows how to treat a lady is special	22 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the
1	1 00 6
²³ Q Let's use that same ad.	23 form.
Q Let's use that same ad.A Okay.	23 form. 24 THE WITNESS: During this time period
²⁴ A Okay.	24 THE WITNESS: During this time period

¹ an offer of sex for money, then the ad would be failed or	¹ A I don't know.
² rejected, as you said.	² Q Did you speak with a lin order to prepare to
³ Q (By Mr. Amala) My question though is:	3 testify regarding the topics identified in Exhibit No. 1?
We know that the company was both automatically and	4 A No.
5 manually editing ads to remove terms that may indicate an	5 (Exhibit No. 7 marked
6 ad was for sex for money, correct?	6 for identification.)
⁷ A No, we didn't agree on that.	7
8 MR. SCHNEIDER: We have been going an	8 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
9 hour about an hour. Would it be time for an afternoon	9 been marked as Exhibit No. 7.
	Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 7 and let
an opportunity to go back and look at that toolinony.	The lines when years inneriou.
Witt. 7 Wil Lett. Galle.	71 100,111 1111011001
13 VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record,	13 Q Have you seen Exhibit No. 7 before?
14 the time is 2:29 p.m.	14 A I believe I have.
15 (Recess 2:29 to 2:56 p.m.)	15 Q Did you strike that.
16 (Exhibit No. 6 marked	You see that this is a November 5th, 2007 e-mail
for identification.)	from Carl Ferrer to someone with the e-mail address
18	¹⁸ muttslutt@doramail.com, correct?
¹⁹ VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the	¹⁹ A I see that, yes.
²⁰ time is 2:56 p.m.	²⁰ Q And in this e-mail you see that Mr. Ferrer informs
²¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's	21 muttslutt@doramail.com that, quote, "Your recent ads
been marked as Exhibit No. 6.	violate our terms of use and were removed. We cannot
Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 6 and let	²³ allow any ads with illegal language, as in 'sex for
²⁴ me know when you're finished.	²⁴ money."
²⁵ A Okay. I have looked at it.	²⁵ Do you see that?
Page 98	Page 100
1 O Ms McDougall before we discuss Exhibit No. 6 in order	1 A I do see that
Q Ms. McDougall, before we discuss Exhibit No. 6, in order to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the	1 A I do see that. 2 O Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to
2 to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the	² Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to
 to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on 	 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition?
 to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing 	 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of
 to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? 	 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question.
 to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in 	 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. Discuss with whom?
 to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. 	 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. Discuss with whom? It could implicate privilege
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Q Did you review the documents that were attached to that	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to 3 prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer.
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Q Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix?	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to 3 prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer?
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to 3 prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. AMALA: Yes.
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? Did You skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely.	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to 3 prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. AMALA: Yes. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to 3 prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. AMALA: Yes. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today.	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to 3 prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. AMALA: Yes. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that 13 question without divulging attorney-client privileged
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 11 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that question without divulging attorney-client privileged information.
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to 3 prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. AMALA: Yes. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that 13 question without divulging attorney-client privileged 14 information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this case in discovery, did you review those documents to	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to 3 prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. AMALA: Yes. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that 13 question without divulging attorney-client privileged 14 information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer 16 about this e-mail before in order to prepare for
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this case in discovery, did you review those documents to prepare for today?	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to 3 prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. AMALA: Yes. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that 13 question without divulging attorney-client privileged 14 information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer 16 about this e-mail before in order to prepare for 17 today's deposition?
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this case in discovery, did you review those documents to prepare for today? A Not to my knowledge.	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. AMALA: Yes. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that question without divulging attorney-client privileged information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer about this e-mail before in order to prepare for today's deposition? 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Maybe we could go back
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this case in discovery, did you review those documents to prepare for today? A Not to my knowledge. Okay. Have you reviewed Exhibit No. 6 before?	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to 3 prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. AMALA: Yes. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that 13 question without divulging attorney-client privileged 14 information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer 16 about this e-mail before in order to prepare for 17 today's deposition? 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Maybe we could go back 19 and make sure the witness is aware of the question that
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this case in discovery, did you review those documents to prepare for today? A Not to my knowledge. O Okay. Have you reviewed Exhibit No. 6 before? No.	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 11 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that question without divulging attorney-client privileged information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer about this e-mail before in order to prepare for today's deposition? 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Maybe we could go back and make sure the witness is aware of the question that was asked, to which she responded.
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? In a l've skimmed them in the past, and l've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this case in discovery, did you review those documents to prepare for today? A Not to my knowledge. Okay. Have you reviewed Exhibit No. 6 before? A No. Who is Scott Spear?	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 11 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that question without divulging attorney-client privileged information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer about this e-mail before in order to prepare for today's deposition? 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Maybe we could go back and make sure the witness is aware of the question that was asked, to which she responded. 21 THE WITNESS: Sorry, I'm fading.
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? In a l've skimmed them in the past, and l've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this case in discovery, did you review those documents to prepare for today? A Not to my knowledge. Okay. Have you reviewed Exhibit No. 6 before? A No. Who is Scott Spear? A In 2007 I believe was an executive vice	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 11 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that question without divulging attorney-client privileged information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer about this e-mail before in order to prepare for today's deposition? 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Maybe we could go back and make sure the witness is aware of the question that was asked, to which she responded. 20 THE WITNESS: Sorry, I'm fading. 21 THE WITNESS: The question was, did
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? In a I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this case in discovery, did you review those documents to prepare for today? A Not to my knowledge. Okay. Have you reviewed Exhibit No. 6 before? A No. Who is Scott Spear? In 2007 I believe was an executive vice president of Village Voice Media Holdings.	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 11 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that question without divulging attorney-client privileged information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer about this e-mail before in order to prepare for today's deposition? 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Maybe we could go back and make sure the witness is aware of the question that was asked, to which she responded. 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: The question was, did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this case in discovery, did you review those documents to prepare for today? A Not to my knowledge. Okay. Have you reviewed Exhibit No. 6 before? A No. No. No. In 2007 I believe was an executive vice president of Village Voice Media Holdings. has ever worked for	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to 3 prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. AMALA: Yes. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that 13 question without divulging attorney-client privileged information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer about this e-mail before in order to prepare for today's deposition? 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Maybe we could go back and make sure the witness is aware of the question that was asked, to which she responded. 19 THE WITNESS: Sorry, I'm fading. 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: The question was, did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition.
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? In a I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this case in discovery, did you review those documents to prepare for today? A Not to my knowledge. Okay. Have you reviewed Exhibit No. 6 before? A No. Who is Scott Spear? In 2007 I believe was an executive vice president of Village Voice Media Holdings.	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 11 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that question without divulging attorney-client privileged information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer about this e-mail before in order to prepare for today's deposition? 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Maybe we could go back and make sure the witness is aware of the question that was asked, to which she responded. 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: The question was, did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to
to prepare for today's deposition, did you review the United States Senate's Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations' report titled, "Backpage.com's knowing facilitation of online sex trafficking"? A I have reviewed it in the past. I did not review it in specific preparation for this deposition. Did you review the documents that were attached to that report as an appendix? A I've skimmed them in the past, and I've reviewed some more closely. Some of them were included in, I think, documents that I reviewed in preparation for today. To the extent that documents were included in that appendix that were not produced to the plaintiffs in this case in discovery, did you review those documents to prepare for today? A Not to my knowledge. Okay. Have you reviewed Exhibit No. 6 before? A No. No. No. In 2007 I believe was an executive vice president of Village Voice Media Holdings. has ever worked for	2 Q Did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition? 4 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question. 5 the question. 6 Discuss with whom? 7 It could implicate privilege 8 MR. AMALA: Mr. Ferrer. 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: Mr. Ferrer? 10 MR. AMALA: Yes. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: I could not answer that question without divulging attorney-client privileged information. 15 Q (By Mr. Amala) So then you did speak with Mr. Ferrer about this e-mail before in order to prepare for today's deposition? 18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Maybe we could go back and make sure the witness is aware of the question that was asked, to which she responded. 19 THE WITNESS: Sorry, I'm fading. 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: The question was, did you discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer in order to prepare for today's deposition.

discuss this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer with Mr. Ferrer in	declining to answer the question based on privilege?
 order to prepare for today's deposition. 	² A That's correct at this time, yes.
3 THE WITNESS: No, I did not discuss	3 (Exhibit No. 8 marked
4 the e-mail with Mr. Ferrer to prepare for today's	4 for identification.)
5 deposition.	5
⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever discussed with Mr. Ferrer	⁶ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
7 what steps he took, as the site founder of Backpage.com,	7 been marked as Exhibit No. 8.
8 to prevent any ads with illegal language, as in "sex for	8 Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 8 and let
9 money" from appearing on Backpage.com?	9 me know when you're finished.
10 MR. SCHNEIDER: I will object to the	10 A Okay. I have reviewed it.
form of the question.	11 Q Do you recognize Exhibit No. 8?
12 MR. RYAN: It may call for privileged	12 A No.
13 information.	13 Q Did you review Exhibit No. 8 in order to prepare for
14 THE WITNESS: I guess I need to	14 today's deposition?
¹⁵ consult with counsel about privilege again. Sorry.	15 A Not that I recall.
16 MR. AMALA: Go ahead.	16 Q Have you ever discussed Exhibit No. 8 with Mr. Ferrer?
17 VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record,	17 A Not in preparation for this deposition.
the time is 3:06 p.m.	18 Q Have you ever discussed Exhibit No. 8 with Mr. Ferrer at
19 (Recess 3:06 to 3:10 p.m.)	19 any time?
20	20 MR. SCHNEIDER: Objection that it may
21 VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, the	21 call for disclosure of privileged information.
time is 3:10 p.m.	The question is have you ever discussed this
23 MR. AMALA: Madam Court Reporter,	document, which has been marked as Exhibit No. 8, with
would you please read back the last question?	24 him at any time.
25	25 THE WITNESS: No.
20 11111	THE WITNESS. NO.
Page 102	Page 104
1 (Question on Page 102, Line 6-	¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, Exhibit No. 8 is an
9 read by the reporter.)	² e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to
2 9 read by the reporter.)	 e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com.
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that?
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition.	 e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com.
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer?	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means.
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information.	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms?
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. O Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form.
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and 13 information.	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and 13 information. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list?
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and 13 information. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he 15 tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktr@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No.
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and 13 information. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he 15 tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on 16 Backpage.com?	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay.
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and 13 information. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he 15 tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on 16 Backpage.com? 17 MR. RYAN: Calls for privileged	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay.
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and 13 information. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he 15 tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on 16 Backpage.com? 17 MR. RYAN: Calls for privileged 18 communications.	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Q (By Mr. Amala) Right now I'm just asking the normal term "Greek," G-R-E-E-K, do you understand that that term is a
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and 13 information. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he 15 tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on 16 Backpage.com? 17 MR. RYAN: Calls for privileged 18 communications. 19 MR. SCHNEIDER: Join in the objection.	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Q (By Mr. Amala) Right now I'm just asking the normal term "Greek," G-R-E-E-K, do you understand that that term is a term on Backpage's list of banned terms?
THE WITNESS: I did not have such discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 30(b)(6) deposition. Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with Mr. Ferrer? MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for privileged information. THE WITNESS: I can't answer that question without divulging privileged communications and information. Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on Backpage.com? MR. RYAN: Calls for privileged communications. MR. SCHNEIDER: Join in the objection. THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, I cannot	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Q (By Mr. Amala) Right now I'm just asking the normal term "Greek," G-R-E-E-K, do you understand that that term is a term on Backpage's list of banned terms? A The word "Greek" is a term that at times has been
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and 13 information. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he 15 tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on 16 Backpage.com? 17 MR. RYAN: Calls for privileged 18 communications. 19 MR. SCHNEIDER: Join in the objection.	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Q (By Mr. Amala) Right now I'm just asking the normal term "Greek," G-R-E-E-K, do you understand that that term is a term on Backpage's list of banned terms? A The word "Greek" is a term that at times has been included on our list of auto filter terms.
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 4 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and 13 information. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he 15 tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on 16 Backpage.com? 17 MR. RYAN: Calls for privileged 18 communications. 19 MR. SCHNEIDER: Join in the objection. 19 THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, I cannot 20 THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, I cannot 21 answer that question without divulging attorney-client 22 privileged communications and information.	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Q (By Mr. Amala) Right now I'm just asking the normal term "Greek," G-R-E-E-K, do you understand that that term is a term on Backpage's list of banned terms? A The word "Greek" is a term that at times has been included on our list of auto filter terms. You said our "list of banned terms."
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and 13 information. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he 15 tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on 16 Backpage.com? 17 MR. RYAN: Calls for privileged 18 communications. 19 MR. SCHNEIDER: Join in the objection. 20 THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, I cannot 21 answer that question without divulging attorney-client 22 privileged communications and information. 23 Q (By Mr. Amala) And Ms. McDougall, to the extent you have	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Q (By Mr. Amala) Right now I'm just asking the normal term "Greek," G-R-E-E-K, do you understand that that term is a term on Backpage's list of banned terms? A The word "Greek" is a term that at times has been included on our list of auto filter terms. You said our "list of banned terms." That's not again, there are different actions.
THE WITNESS: I did not have such discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 30(b)(6) deposition. Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with Mr. Ferrer? MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for privileged information. THE WITNESS: I can't answer that question without divulging privileged communications and information. Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on Backpage.com? MR. RYAN: Calls for privileged communications. MR. SCHNEIDER: Join in the objection. THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, I cannot answer that question without divulging attorney-client privileged communications and information. Q (By Mr. Amala) And Ms. McDougall, to the extent you have indicated that my questions would require you to divulge	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Q (By Mr. Amala) Right now I'm just asking the normal term "Greek," G-R-E-E-K, do you understand that that term is a term on Backpage's list of banned terms? A The word "Greek" is a term that at times has been included on our list of auto filter terms. You said our "list of banned terms."
2 9 read by the reporter.) 3 THE WITNESS: I did not have such 5 discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 6 30(b)(6) deposition. 7 Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with 8 Mr. Ferrer? 9 MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for 10 privileged information. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 12 question without divulging privileged communications and 13 information. 14 Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he 15 tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on 16 Backpage.com? 17 MR. RYAN: Calls for privileged 18 communications. 19 MR. SCHNEIDER: Join in the objection. 20 THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, I cannot 21 answer that question without divulging attorney-client 22 privileged communications and information. 23 Q (By Mr. Amala) And Ms. McDougall, to the extent you have	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktr@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Q (By Mr. Amala) Right now I'm just asking the normal term "Greek," G-R-E-E-K, do you understand that that term is a term on Backpage's list of banned terms? A The word "Greek" is a term that at times has been included on our list of auto filter terms. You said our "list of banned terms." That's not again, there are different actions.
THE WITNESS: I did not have such discussions with Mr. Ferrer in preparing for this 30(b)(6) deposition. Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever had such discussions with Mr. Ferrer? MR. RYAN: Objection; calls for privileged information. THE WITNESS: I can't answer that question without divulging privileged communications and information. Q (By Mr. Amala) Has Mr. Ferrer ever told you that he tried to take steps to prevent sex trafficking on Backpage.com? MR. RYAN: Calls for privileged communications. MR. SCHNEIDER: Join in the objection. THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, I cannot answer that question without divulging attorney-client privileged communications and information. Q (By Mr. Amala) And Ms. McDougall, to the extent you have indicated that my questions would require you to divulge	e-mail appears to be an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer to someone with the user name "Grktrt@AOL.com," and that's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T @AOL.com. Do you see that? A I see that the e-mail is from on behalf of Carl Ferrer to the e-mail address grktrt@AOL.com. I don't know what "grktrt" stands for or means. Q Do you know that "Greek" is one of the terms on Backpage's list of banned terms? MR. SCHNEIDER: Did you object to the form. Does your question mean to suggest that it's spelled G-R-K-T-R-T on such list? MR. AMALA: No. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Q (By Mr. Amala) Right now I'm just asking the normal term "Greek," G-R-E-E-K, do you understand that that term is a term on Backpage's list of banned terms? A The word "Greek" is a term that at times has been included on our list of auto filter terms. You said our "list of banned terms." That's not again, there are different actions. "Banned" is just one of them.

1 terms?	¹ people.
² A I believe "Greek" can be a code word for a form of sexual	² MR. AMALA: It's because I believe the
³ act.	3 record will reflect that he made the same request of many
4 Q What type of form of sexual act?	4 different users.
⁵ A I believe it's anal sex, but I'm not certain about that.	5 MR. SCHNEIDER: Well, this record
⁶ Q And you see in this e-mail it states, "Could you please	6 today doesn't yet, let me put it that way.
7 clean up the language of your ads before our abuse team	7 If you understand the question, and you are able to
8 removes the postings?"	8 answer it without disclosing information that would be
9 Do you see that?	9 attorney-client privileged, you may.
10 A Yes, I do see that.	10 THE WITNESS: No.
11 Q You never discussed with Mr. Ferrer what the content was	¹¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Why not?
of this ad that had been apparently submitted on	12 A I don't believe I can answer that question without
13 Backpage.com?	disclosing attorney-client privileged communications.
MR. SCHNEIDER: I believe the witness	¹⁴ Q Before reading this e-mail that is Exhibit No. 8, during
has already testified she did not discuss the e-mail,	today's deposition, were you aware that Mr. Ferrer, for
which has been marked as Exhibit No. 8, with Mr. Ferrer.	at least some period of time, was asking users to clean
Are you asking if anything has refreshed her	up the language of their ads so that the ads could remain
18 recollection otherwise?	on the website before the abuse team would remove them?
19 MR. AMALA: Correct.	19 MR. SCHNEIDER: One second.
With 7 Will Ext. Golffoot.	20 MR. RYAN: That may call for
VIBEOGIA I TIETA. Coaliosi, your	militaria, marmay same
 microphone, please. Sorry. MR. SCHNEIDER: Yes, indeed 	pgeae
With Collins Inc.	Tod can answer nonphylleged information of assert
THE WITHESE. Houring has removined my	and privilege or boar.
reconcent entermee.	The Williams in group
²⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever discussed with Mr. Ferrer	called an "abuse team" that was moderating ads in 2007.
Page 106	Page 108
what the, quote, "abuse team," closed quote, was doing	1 MR. AMALA: Can you read my question
with regard to ads on Backpage.com in November 2007?	² back, please?
3 MR. RYAN: Objection to the extent it	³ (Question on Page 108, Line 14-
4 calls for privileged information, but you may answer.	4 18 read by the reporter.)
5 THE WITNESS: I'm struggling with the	5
6 question.	6 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'll object to the
7 Have I ever discussed what the "abuse team" was	form, based simply on the fact that as phrased it implies
8 doing with regard to ads?	8 that reading Exhibit No. 8 causes her to have reached the
9 Could you be more specific?	9 conclusion you stated.
MR. AMALA: I can't.	10 It's just a matter of form.
MR. SCHNEIDER: Before Counsel	¹¹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Go ahead.
rephrases, it's a very specific question. If you have a	12 A I'm sorry, I didn't know there was a question pending.
recollection of doing what specifically is described in	13 Q That was the question.
the question, you can answer, if it doesn't disclose	14 A I'm sorry?
privileged information.	¹⁵ Q It's the same question.
THE WITNESS: Well, if you disagree	16 A Could you repeat it then, please?
with me that it's a if you think it's a specific	17 I'm not sure what it is.
question, then I would answer "no."	MR. AMALA: Madam Court Reporter,
¹⁹ Q (By Mr. Amala) Have you ever asked Mr. Ferrer why he was	19 could you please repeat the last question?
instructing users to clean up the language of ads before	20 (Question on Page 108, Line 14-
the abuse team for Backpage.com removed the ads?	21 18 read by the reporter.)
MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form.	22
This language you just quoted is in one e-mail that	23 THE WITNESS: No.
the witness has been shown, and I think your question,	²⁴ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, just so that anyone
perhaps inadvertently, extended that audience to multiple	watching this later understands what you're looking at,
Page 107	Page 109
i age 107	1 age 109

1	you actually have a laptop next to you today that's	the first page, Bates Stamp 25819, is dated November 9,
2	allowing you to watch my questions as they're asked in	² 2007.
3	realtime, correct?	The intervening page is January 12th, 2010, with the
4	A Yes.	Bates 25358, and then we revert back to 2010 on the third
5 (And you're also able to watch your answers appear on the	5 page with 25935, so they're not in chronological order
6	screen at the same time as you give the answer, correct?	6 either.
7	A Yes. I mean, fractions of seconds afterwards.	7 MR. AMALA: These are all correct
8	(Exhibit No. 9 marked	8 observations.
9	for identification.)	⁹ MR. RYAN: All right.
10		10 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, have you had a chance to
11 (Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's	11 review Exhibit No. 9?
12	been marked as Exhibit No. 9.	¹² A I've been distracted by the fascinating dialogue.
13	Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 9 and let	13 MR. SCHNEIDER: That wasn't our
14	me know when you're finished.	¹⁴ intent
15	A Okay.	¹⁵ MR. RYAN: Actually, it was my intent
16	MR. SCHNEIDER: Clarification, if I	that you would know those are the facts because you are
17	may, or may I ask for a clarification?	17 tired and it's that time of day.
18	The production pages do not seem to be consecutive	18 THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	nor do they necessarily appear to be in any numerical	¹⁹ MR. RYAN: One is 2007, one is 2010,
20	order, which causes me to wonder if this is three	²⁰ and another is a partial of 2012.
21	separate exhibits as opposed to one, and if so, is any of	21 THE WITNESS: Thank you, I do see
22	the three complete?	²² that.
23	MR. AMALA: I don't know the last	MR. RYAN: 10, pardon me.
24	question.	I may have misstated that, showing that I'm tired.
25	MR. SCHNEIDER: Would you swear in	25 Sorry.
	Page 110	Page 112
1	Mr. Amala before he answers the balance of my question	THE WITNESS: Okav. Yes, sorry for
1 2	Mr. Amala before he answers the balance of my question no. you don't know the answer.	
	Mr. Amala before he answers the balance of my question- no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer.	<u></u>
2	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer.	 the delay. I've read them.
2	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended	 the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed
2 3 4	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer.	the delay. l've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed
2 3 4 5	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread.	the delay. l've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise
2 3 4 5 6	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not	 the delay. l've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of
2 3 4 5 6 7	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that as you do that.	the delay. l've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits?	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. And you understand that these three pages are documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A And you understand that these three pages are documents that were produced by Backpage.com during discovery in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. And you understand that these three pages are documents that were produced by Backpage.com during discovery in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands.	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A A Correct. A A Yes, correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands. MR. RYAN: Look, as long as you're	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A Yes, correct. A Yes, correct. Did you review these documents in order to prepare for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands. MR. RYAN: Look, as long as you're interrupting, it is very clear that the third page is	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A Yes, correct. A Yes, correct. Did you review these documents in order to prepare for today's deposition?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands. MR. RYAN: Look, as long as you're interrupting, it is very clear that the third page is not—it is somewhere in the middle of something that's	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A Yes, correct. A Yes, correct. Did you review these documents in order to prepare for today's deposition? A I have seen I had not seen the third page of Exhibit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands. MR. RYAN: Look, as long as you're interrupting, it is very clear that the third page is not—it is somewhere in the middle of something that's longer than that one page.	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A Yes, correct. A Yes, correct. Did you review these documents in order to prepare for today's deposition? A I have seen I had not seen the third page of Exhibit No. 9.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands. MR. RYAN: Look, as long as you're interrupting, it is very clear that the third page is not—it is somewhere in the middle of something that's longer than that one page. Would you agree with that?	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A Yes, correct. A Yes, correct. Did you review these documents in order to prepare for today's deposition? A I have seen I had not seen the third page of Exhibit No. 9. I believe I have seen one of the messages on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands. MR. RYAN: Look, as long as you're interrupting, it is very clear that the third page is not—it is somewhere in the middle of something that's longer than that one page. Would you agree with that? MR. AMALA: Yeah.	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A A Yes, correct. A Yes, correct. Did you review these documents in order to prepare for today's deposition? A I have seen I had not seen the third page of Exhibit No. 9. I believe I have seen one of the messages on the second page, but not the bottom half.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands. MR. RYAN: Look, as long as you're interrupting, it is very clear that the third page is not—it is somewhere in the middle of something that's longer than that one page. Would you agree with that? MR. AMALA: Yeah. MR. RYAN: Okay.	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A A Yes, correct. A Yes, correct. Did you review these documents in order to prepare for today's deposition? A I have seen I had not seen the third page of Exhibit No. 9. I believe I have seen one of the messages on the second page, but not the bottom half. On the first page yes, I believe I have seen the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands. MR. RYAN: Look, as long as you're interrupting, it is very clear that the third page is not—it is somewhere in the middle of something that's longer than that one page. Would you agree with that? MR. AMALA: Yeah. MR. RYAN: Okay. MR. SCHNEIDER: Do you have the	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A A Yes, correct. A Yes, correct. Did you review these documents in order to prepare for today's deposition? A I have seen I had not seen the third page of Exhibit No. 9. I believe I have seen one of the messages on the second page, but not the bottom half. On the first page yes, I believe I have seen the first page of Exhibit No. 9 before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands. MR. RYAN: Look, as long as you're interrupting, it is very clear that the third page is not—it is somewhere in the middle of something that's longer than that one page. Would you agree with that? MR. AMALA: Yeah. MR. RYAN: Okay. MR. SCHNEIDER: Do you have the balance of the pages—	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A Correct. D And you understand that these three pages are documents that were produced by Backpage.com during discovery in this case? A Yes, correct. A Yes, correct. A I have seen I had not seen the third page of Exhibit No. 9. I believe I have seen one of the messages on the second page, but not the bottom half. On the first page yes, I believe I have seen the first page of Exhibit No. 9 before. A Have you ever discussed any of these documents with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands. MR. RYAN: Look, as long as you're interrupting, it is very clear that the third page is not—it is somewhere in the middle of something that's longer than that one page. Would you agree with that? MR. AMALA: Yeah. MR. RYAN: Okay. MR. SCHNEIDER: Do you have the balance of the pages— MR. AMALA: I do not have them with	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A Yes, correct. A Yes, correct. Did you review these documents in order to prepare for today's deposition? I believe I have seen one of the messages on the second page, but not the bottom half. On the first page yes, I believe I have seen the first page of Exhibit No. 9 before. Have you ever discussed any of these documents with Mr. Ferrer before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	no, you don't know the answer. MR. AMALA: I don't know the answer. I can tell you that these three pages were intended to be together, and I was going to clarify that it is not the same e-mail thread. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then I will sit back and listen when you do that—as you do that. THE WITNESS: Do you want them marked as separate exhibits? MR. SCHNEIDER: It doesn't matter to me as long as the record is clear and the witness understands. MR. RYAN: Look, as long as you're interrupting, it is very clear that the third page is not—it is somewhere in the middle of something that's longer than that one page. Would you agree with that? MR. AMALA: Yeah. MR. RYAN: Okay. MR. SCHNEIDER: Do you have the balance of the pages— MR. AMALA: I do not have them with me.	the delay. I've read them. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, as Counsel has pointed out, you understand that the three pages that comprise Exhibit No. 9 are different e-mails or portions of different e-mails that were sent at different times, correct? A Correct. A Correct. A Yes, correct. Did you review these documents in order to prepare for today's deposition? A I have seen I had not seen the third page of Exhibit No. 9. I believe I have seen one of the messages on the second page, but not the bottom half. On the first page yes, I believe I have seen the first page of Exhibit No. 9 before. Have you ever discussed any of these documents with Mr. Ferrer before? A Not in preparation for today's 30(b)(6) deposition.

	1
1 before?	1 Q (By Mr. Amala) Why not?
2 A I cannot answer that without disclosing attorney-client	² A Because I know that urban.pimp operated phone and webcam
3 privileged information or communications.	3 services.
4 Q The reason that I have these three different pages that	4 You can also see that further down in his message he
5 comprise Exhibit No. 9, which were e-mails sent the	5 describes the location as "wherever you like," which is
6 first one was sent in 2007 and the following two were	6 consistent with phone and webcam services.
7 sent at different points in 2010, is you see that the	⁷ Q Any other reason?
pages refer to a user with the name urban.pimp@gmail.com?	8 A Also, there's no indication that there's any money or
9 A Yes.	9 other consideration demanded or requested in exchange for
10 Q Correct?	outer construct actual
11 A Correct.	having sex with the hot horny mature woman. 11 Q In urban.pimp@gmail.com's e-mail, he notes that the ad
	, , , ,
	appears in about 55 states.
That's year over allocations are all painting agriculture.	l so yeu see that:
— (IIII) GIGIT	14 A I do, yeah.
A I have knowledge regarding urban.pimp, but I don't recall	15 Q If urban.pimp@gmail.com in November 2007 was trying to
what the source of that knowledge is or was.	post an ad in about 50 cities, at that time who would
Q What is the knowledge you have regarding	have received the money for each of those ads?
18 urban.pimp@gmail.com?	18 MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of
19 A It was the e-mail address for a man, and it appears here	¹⁹ the question.
his name is Stephen, although that's not something that	²⁰ THE WITNESS: I don't know.
sounded vaguely familiar.	MR. SCHNEIDER: Are there any ads that
He operated phone sex and webcam services.	²² are part of any of the balance of these documents?
23 Q You notice on the first page of Exhibit No. 9 strike	²³ I would object to the questions about ads which are
that.	24 not available for the witness to review before she
How do you know that about Mr. Ackerman?	²⁵ answers any questions about what was on the ads.
D 444	D 116
Page 114	Page 116
1 A As Livet explained I don't remember	1 O (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, have you ever asked
1 A As I just explained, I don't remember.	1 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, have you ever asked
2 It's something that I learned in the course of my	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by
2 It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage.	 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads
 It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. 	 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads now, and then informed him that they were trying to make
 It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I 	 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads now, and then informed him that they were trying to make the site cleaner?
 It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information 	 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads now, and then informed him that they were trying to make the site cleaner? A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken
 It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? 	 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads now, and then informed him that they were trying to make the site cleaner? A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all.
 It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. 	 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads now, and then informed him that they were trying to make the site cleaner? A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. Q The answer is "no"?
 It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is 	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the
 It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to 	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you
 It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to you see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. 	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that
It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct?	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to you see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to I'm	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question.
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to you see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to I'm assuming that's	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to you see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to I'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to I'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I believe there are three e-mail messages on this page, the	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without 16 divulging attorney-client privileged information or
It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to l'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I believe there are three e-mail messages on this page, the first from Carl Ferrer, the second from	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without 16 divulging attorney-client privileged information or 17 communications.
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to l'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I believe there are three e-mail messages on this page, the first from Carl Ferrer, the second from and the third from Stephen Ackerman.	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without 16 divulging attorney-client privileged information or 17 communications. 18 (Exhibit No. 10 marked
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to l'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I believe there are three e-mail messages on this page, the first from Carl Ferrer, the second from and the third from Stephen Ackerman. Do you agree with me that if urban.pimp@gmail.com was	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without 16 divulging attorney-client privileged information or 17 communications. 18 (Exhibit No. 10 marked 19 for identification.)
It's something that I learned in the course of my time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to I'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I believe there are three e-mail messages on this page, the first from Carl Ferrer, the second from and the third from Stephen Ackerman. Q Do you agree with me that if urban.pimp@gmail.com was attempting to post an ad with the title, quote, "You can	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without 16 divulging attorney-client privileged information or 17 communications. 18 (Exhibit No. 10 marked 19 for identification.)
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to I'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I believe there are three e-mail messages on this page, the first from Carl Ferrer, the second from and the third from Stephen Ackerman. Q Do you agree with me that if urban.pimp@gmail.com was attempting to post an ad with the title, quote, "You can fuck this hot homy mature woman tonight!!!!" that that	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without 16 divulging attorney-client privileged information or 17 communications. 18 (Exhibit No. 10 marked 19 for identification.) 20 21 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to I'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I believe there are three e-mail messages on this page, the first from Carl Ferrer, the second from and the third from Stephen Ackerman. Q Do you agree with me that if urban.pimp@gmail.com was attempting to post an ad with the title, quote, "You can fuck this hot horny mature woman tonight!!!!" that that is an ad for sex for money?	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without 16 divulging attorney-client privileged information or 17 communications. 18 (Exhibit No. 10 marked 19 for identification.) 20 21 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's 22 been marked as Exhibit No. 10.
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to I'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I believe there are three e-mail messages on this page, the first from Carl Ferrer, the second from and the third from Stephen Ackerman. Q Do you agree with me that if urban.pimp@gmail.com was attempting to post an ad with the title, quote, "You can fuck this hot horny mature woman tonight!!!!" that that is an ad for sex for money? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without 16 divulging attorney-client privileged information or 17 communications. 18 (Exhibit No. 10 marked 19 for identification.) 20 21 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's 22 been marked as Exhibit No. 10. 23 Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 10 and
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to l'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I believe there are three e-mail messages on this page, the first from Carl Ferrer, the second from and the third from Stephen Ackerman. Q Do you agree with me that if urban.pimp@gmail.com was attempting to post an ad with the title, quote, "You can fuck this hot horny mature woman tonight!!!!" that that is an ad for sex for money? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question.	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without 16 divulging attorney-client privileged information or 17 communications. 18 (Exhibit No. 10 marked 19 for identification.) 20 21 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's 22 been marked as Exhibit No. 10. 23 Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 10 and 24 let me know when you're finished.
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to I'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I believe there are three e-mail messages on this page, the first from Carl Ferrer, the second from and the third from Stephen Ackerman. Q Do you agree with me that if urban.pimp@gmail.com was attempting to post an ad with the title, quote, "You can fuck this hot horny mature woman tonight!!!!" that that is an ad for sex for money? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without 16 divulging attorney-client privileged information or 17 communications. 18 (Exhibit No. 10 marked 19 for identification.) 20 21 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's 22 been marked as Exhibit No. 10. 23 Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 10 and
time at Backpage. It wasn't something I knew before then. I just don't remember where or how I Q Why is it that you learned this additional information regarding Mr. Ackerman? A I don't know. Q Do you see on the first page of Exhibit No. 9, there is an e-mail from urban.pimp@gmail.com to see Ms. Sennet's response, and then you see Mr. Ferrer's response, correct? A I assume the second e-mail message to l'm assuming that's It doesn't say that, but I see one, two, three I believe there are three e-mail messages on this page, the first from Carl Ferrer, the second from and the third from Stephen Ackerman. Q Do you agree with me that if urban.pimp@gmail.com was attempting to post an ad with the title, quote, "You can fuck this hot horny mature woman tonight!!!!" that that is an ad for sex for money? MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of the question.	2 Mr. Ferrer why he responded to this e-mail thread by 3 telling Mr. Ackerman that he should try editing his ads 4 now, and then informed him that they were trying to make 5 the site cleaner? 6 A I think I already answered you about whether I had spoken 7 to Mr. Ferrer about this ad at all. 8 Q The answer is "no"? 9 MR. SCHNEIDER: You may consider the 10 most recently pending question to be a request of you 11 whether that detail refreshes your recollection such that 12 you would change your original answer to the same 13 question. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry, I'm going 15 to need to—I can't answer that question without 16 divulging attorney-client privileged information or 17 communications. 18 (Exhibit No. 10 marked 19 for identification.) 20 21 Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you what's 22 been marked as Exhibit No. 10. 23 Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 10 and 24 let me know when you're finished.

1 I'm ready.	1 MR. SCHNEIDER: Actually, you are now
² Q Ms. McDougall, have you seen Exhibit No. 10 before?	2 asking if she ever discussed with Mr. Ferrer the exact
³ A Yes.	3 same subject as opposed to the e-mail itself, which has
⁴ Q Did you review Exhibit No. 10 in order to prepare for	been marked as Exhibit No. 10; did I get that right?
5 today's deposition?	5 MR. AMALA: Yes, sir.
6 A It is one of the documents that I saw, yes.	6 MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay.
7 Q And do you recognize Exhibit No. 10 as one of the	7 THE WITNESS: No.
8 documents that Backpage has produced in discovery in this	8 Q (By Mr. Amala) I can't remember what you said.
9 case?	9 Did you say that you reviewed Exhibit No. 10 to
10 A I can't say that I recognize it as such, but I see the	10 prepare for today?
Bates number so believe that it is.	11 MR. RYAN: She did.
12 Q This is an e-mail from Mr. Ferrer, dated April 15th,	With Terrary. One did.
·	THE WITHEST Found, your
2000, to cape.com.ry cac@gacom, and anate opened	(2) III. / III. alay VIII dian't you talk that III. I one about
	and a man that is Extribit to. To in order to properly
Do you see that?	15 for today's deposition?
16 A Yes.	MR. SCHNEIDER: Object to the form of
17 Q And in this e-mail from Mr. Ferrer, he puts under his	the question, insofar as it invites the witness to
name, "Carl," he puts the title, "Site founder."	disclose confidential and privileged attorney-client
Do you see that?	19 communications.
²⁰ A Yes.	VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, there is about
²¹ Q And in the e-mail Mr. Ferrer tells	21 two minutes left.
superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "Your posting have	22 (Exhibit No. 11 marked
violated our terms of use. You can't post ads saying	for identification.)
things like 'I can slide you in the tightest slit ever,'	24
25 et cetera."	MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm reminded it is
5 440	5 400
Page 118	Page 120
Do you see that?	also beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice and would
² A Ido.	2 therefore object on that basis as well.
3 Q And then Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that	This is Exhibit No. 11 that's imminent?
4 if he has or she has any questions, that he or she can	4 THE WITNESS: I saw no need.
5 call him at a phone number that is listed in the e-mail.	⁵ Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have
6 Do you see that?	
	I D MR AMALA: Well fell you what we
7 A 1do	6 MR. AMALA: Well, tell you what, we
7 A I do.	⁷ are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick
⁸ Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells	 are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes.
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will 	7 are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick 8 break while we switch tapes. 9 VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record,
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads 	7 are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick 8 break while we switch tapes. 9 VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, 10 the time is 3:43 p.m.
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. (Recess 3:43 to 3:51 p.m.)
 8 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells 9 superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will 10 be able to access your account again, but please post ads 11 conforming to our terms of use." 12 Do you see that? 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. (Recess 3:43 to 3:51 p.m.)
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. (Recess 3:43 to 3:51 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. (Recess 3:43 to 3:51 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? A No. 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. (Recess 3:43 to 3:51 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? A No. Q Looking back at Exhibit well, strike that. 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. (Recess 3:43 to 3:51 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media Holdings.
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? A No. Q Looking back at Exhibit well, strike that. Have you ever asked Mr. Ferrer why he was allowing 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. (Recess 3:43 to 3:51 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media Holdings. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you a
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? A No. Q Looking back at Exhibit well, strike that. Have you ever asked Mr. Ferrer why he was allowing someone who posted an ad with the title, "I can slide you 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. (Recess 3:43 to 3:51 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media Holdings. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you a document marked as Exhibit No. 11.
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? A No. Q Looking back at Exhibit well, strike that. Have you ever asked Mr. Ferrer why he was allowing someone who posted an ad with the title, "I can slide you in the tightest slit ever," to continue posting ads on 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media Holdings. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you a document marked as Exhibit No. 11. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit No. 11?
 8 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? A No. Q Looking back at Exhibit well, strike that. Have you ever asked Mr. Ferrer why he was allowing someone who posted an ad with the title, "I can slide you in the tightest slit ever," to continue posting ads on Backpage.com after a month? 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media Holdings. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you a document marked as Exhibit No. 11. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit No. 11?
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? A No. Q Looking back at Exhibit well, strike that. Have you ever asked Mr. Ferrer why he was allowing someone who posted an ad with the title, "I can slide you in the tightest slit ever," to continue posting ads on Backpage.com after a month? MR. SCHNEIDER: I think she just 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media Holdings. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you a document marked as Exhibit No. 11. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit No. 11? A No. I don't have it in my hand.
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? A No. Q Looking back at Exhibit well, strike that. Have you ever asked Mr. Ferrer why he was allowing someone who posted an ad with the title, "I can slide you in the tightest slit ever," to continue posting ads on Backpage.com after a month? MR. SCHNEIDER: I think she just answered that. 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media Holdings. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you a document marked as Exhibit No. 11. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit No. 11? A No. I don't have it in my hand. Ms. McDougall, I have now handed you what's been marked as Exhibit No. 11.
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? A No. Q Looking back at Exhibit well, strike that. Have you ever asked Mr. Ferrer why he was allowing someone who posted an ad with the title, "I can slide you in the tightest slit ever," to continue posting ads on Backpage.com after a month? MR. SCHNEIDER: I think she just answered that. MR. AMALA: I'm asking a more specific 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media Holdings. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you a document marked as Exhibit No. 11. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit No. 11? A No. I don't have it in my hand. Ms. McDougall, I have now handed you what's been marked as Exhibit No. 11.
9 And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells 9 superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will 10 be able to access your account again, but please post ads 11 conforming to our terms of use." 12 Do you see that? 13 A I do. 14 Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? 15 A No. 16 Q Looking back at Exhibit well, strike that. 17 Have you ever asked Mr. Ferrer why he was allowing 18 someone who posted an ad with the title, "I can slide you in the tightest slit ever," to continue posting ads on 19 Backpage.com after a month? 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: I think she just 21 answered that. 22 MR. AMALA: I'm asking a more specific question.	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media Holdings. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you a document marked as Exhibit No. 11. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit No. 11? No. I don't have it in my hand. Ms. McDougall, I have now handed you what's been marked as Exhibit No. 11. Thank you. Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 11 and let me
 Q And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will be able to access your account again, but please post ads conforming to our terms of use." Do you see that? A I do. Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? A No. Q Looking back at Exhibit well, strike that. Have you ever asked Mr. Ferrer why he was allowing someone who posted an ad with the title, "I can slide you in the tightest slit ever," to continue posting ads on Backpage.com after a month? MR. SCHNEIDER: I think she just answered that. MR. AMALA: I'm asking a more specific 	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media Holdings. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you a document marked as Exhibit No. 11. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit No. 11? A No. I don't have it in my hand. Ms. McDougall, I have now handed you what's been marked as Exhibit No. 11.
9 And then you see that Mr. Ferrer tells 9 superslinky6d9@gmail.com that, "After a month, you will 10 be able to access your account again, but please post ads 11 conforming to our terms of use." 12 Do you see that? 13 A I do. 14 Q Have you ever the discussed this e-mail with Mr. Ferrer? 15 A No. 16 Q Looking back at Exhibit well, strike that. 17 Have you ever asked Mr. Ferrer why he was allowing 18 someone who posted an ad with the title, "I can slide you 19 in the tightest slit ever," to continue posting ads on 19 Backpage.com after a month? 20 MR. SCHNEIDER: I think she just 21 answered that. 22 MR. AMALA: I'm asking a more specific 23 question.	are about out of tape, so let's go ahead and take a quick break while we switch tapes. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record, the time is 3:43 p.m. VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is 3:51 p.m., and this is the beginning of Disc 3 in the 30(b)(6) deposition of Village Voice Media Holdings. Q (By Mr. Amala) Ms. McDougall, I have handed you a document marked as Exhibit No. 11. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit No. 11? No. I don't have it in my hand. Ms. McDougall, I have now handed you what's been marked as Exhibit No. 11. Thank you. Please take a moment to review Exhibit No. 11 and let me

¹ A I have reviewed Exhibit No. 11.	¹ in realizing that Mr. Ryan, your counsel, needs to get
² Q Ms. McDougall, did you review Exhibit No. 11 in order to	² moving to a plane, so
3 prepare for today's deposition?	Would you like me to ask the question again?
⁴ A I did not.	⁴ A I have no independent knowledge.
⁵ Q Have you seen Exhibit No. 11 before?	5 I can answer your question only based on what's in
6 A I do not recall seeing it before.	6 the contents of this document.
Q Do you know whether during the time the plaintiffs were	⁷ Q Okay. Based on what's in this document, what's your
8 advertised on Backpage.com, whether Village Voice Media	⁸ answer?
9 employees were checking identification for age for ads	⁹ A In certain circumstances ads for ads that were going to
that were being posted in the escort section of	go onto Backpage that came via the newspaper side of the
¹¹ Backpage.com?	business, they had various requirements that employees
MR. SCHNEIDER: This would relate to	accepting such ads, whether they were just for the
¹³ Topic No. 11 in the notice?	website or for the website and the print publication
MR. AMALA: I believe that's correct.	there were various steps that they had to go through,
MR. SCHNEIDER: The question	15 including things like agreeing to the terms of use,
¹⁶ MR. AMALA: Correct.	16 paying by credit card.
MR. SCHNEIDER: The reason I mentioned	Essentially for ads that were going to be posted on
18 that is I think the topic in No. 11	Backpage that came in through the newspaper side of the
¹⁹ MR. AMALA: Age verification.	19 Village Voice Media business, they had to adhere to not
²⁰ MR. SCHNEIDER: Right. I did not want	²⁰ just the terms of use for Backpage, but the newspaper
the witness to be unaware of that.	21 policies with respect to adult ads as well.
²² MR. RYAN: I am about to hum the	²² Q And one of those policies was to check documentation for
²³ Jeopardy song.	²³ proof of age, correct?
²⁴ THE WITNESS: I don't have any	²⁴ A According to this document, that was one of the newspaper
²⁵ personal knowledge of that.	²⁵ policies.
Page 122	Page 124
	,
1 Loop tall you what this document indicates	1. O. Do you know why documentation chowing proof of ago was
I can tell you what this document indicates. O (Ry Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand—as	Q Do you know why documentation showing proof of age was required for add that were posted on the website by as
² Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as	2 required for ads that were posted on the website by as
Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today	 required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather
 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today was whether identification was checked for the ads that 	 required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly
 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today was whether identification was checked for the ads that were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 	 required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad?
 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today was whether identification was checked for the ads that were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm trying to understand, from this document, whether you 	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification.
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification.
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at	 required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. Q What was the purpose for that age verification
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website.	required for ads that were posted on the website by—as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement?
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers?
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I 12 misunderstood.	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the only
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I 12 misunderstood. 13 I thought the question was intended to ask about	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the only place it's indicated here, is at the newspapers.
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I 12 misunderstood. 13 I thought the question was intended to ask about 14 photo IDs with respect to newspaper, hard copy	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the only place it's indicated here, is at the newspapers. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Answer if you
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I 12 misunderstood. 13 I thought the question was intended to ask about 14 photo IDs with respect to newspaper, hard copy 15 publications.	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the only place it's indicated here, is at the newspapers. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Answer if you know.
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I 12 misunderstood. 13 I thought the question was intended to ask about 14 photo IDs with respect to newspaper, hard copy 15 publications. 16 Q (By Mr. Amala) If it's helpful, the way I read this, and	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the only place it's indicated here, is at the newspapers. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Answer if you know. THE WITNESS: To verify that people
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I 12 misunderstood. 13 I thought the question was intended to ask about 14 photo IDs with respect to newspaper, hard copy 15 publications. 16 Q (By Mr. Amala) If it's helpful, the way I read this, and 17 this is a draft, this document that's Exhibit No. 11, it	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the only place it's indicated here, is at the newspapers. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Answer if you know. THE WITNESS: To verify that people posting ads in the adult category were not minors, not
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I 12 misunderstood. 13 I thought the question was intended to ask about 14 photo IDs with respect to newspaper, hard copy 15 publications. 16 Q (By Mr. Amala) If it's helpful, the way I read this, and 17 this is a draft, this document that's Exhibit No. 11, it 18 appears to indicate that Village Voice Media employees	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the only place it's indicated here, is at the newspapers. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Answer if you know. THE WITNESS: To verify that people posting ads in the adult category were not minors, not underage.
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I 12 misunderstood. 13 I thought the question was intended to ask about 14 photo IDs with respect to newspaper, hard copy 15 publications. 16 Q (By Mr. Amala) If it's helpful, the way I read this, and 17 this is a draft, this document that's Exhibit No. 11, it 18 appears to indicate that Village Voice Media employees 19 were being instructed to check photo identification for	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the only place it's indicated here, is at the newspapers. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Answer if you know. THE WITNESS: To verify that people posting ads in the adult category were not minors, not underage. MR. AMALA: I understand your counsel
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I 12 misunderstood. 13 I thought the question was intended to ask about 14 photo IDs with respect to newspaper, hard copy 15 publications. 16 Q (By Mr. Amala) If it's helpful, the way I read this, and 17 this is a draft, this document that's Exhibit No. 11, it 18 appears to indicate that Village Voice Media employees 19 were being instructed to check photo identification for 20 ads that were posted on Backpage.com and ads that were	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the only place it's indicated here, is at the newspapers. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Answer if you know. THE WITNESS: To verify that people posting ads in the adult category were not minors, not underage. MR. AMALA: I understand your counsel needs to go. We obviously have some issues that we'll
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I 12 misunderstood. 13 I thought the question was intended to ask about 14 photo IDs with respect to newspaper, hard copy 15 publications. 16 Q (By Mr. Amala) If it's helpful, the way I read this, and 17 this is a draft, this document that's Exhibit No. 11, it 18 appears to indicate that Village Voice Media employees 19 were being instructed to check photo identification for 20 ads that were posted on Backpage.com and ads that were 21 being posted on Backpage.com and included in print media.	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the only place it's indicated here, is at the newspapers. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Answer if you know. THE WITNESS: To verify that people posting ads in the adult category were not minors, not underage. MR. AMALA: I understand your counsel needs to go. We obviously have some issues that we'll probably need guidance on from the Court, and we are not
2 Q (By Mr. Amala) I'm trying to understand as 3 Mr. Schneider pointed out, one of the subjects for today 4 was whether identification was checked for the ads that 5 were posted of Plaintiffs, and if not, why not, so I'm 6 trying to understand, from this document, whether you 7 know whether or not at the time the ads of the plaintiffs 8 were posted on Backpage.com, whether employees at Village 9 Voice Media were checking photo identification for at 10 least some ads that were being posted on the website. 11 MR. SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, maybe I 12 misunderstood. 13 I thought the question was intended to ask about 14 photo IDs with respect to newspaper, hard copy 15 publications. 16 Q (By Mr. Amala) If it's helpful, the way I read this, and 17 this is a draft, this document that's Exhibit No. 11, it 18 appears to indicate that Village Voice Media employees 19 were being instructed to check photo identification for 20 ads that were posted on Backpage.com and ads that were 21 being posted on Backpage.com and included in print media. 22 That's how I read this, but that's what I wanted to	required for ads that were posted on the website by as a result of someone coming to a newspaper office rather than what was not required for someone who went directly online to post their ad? A Well, one, the newspaper office was requiring people to adhere to the newspaper standards, the practices and policies, and that included the age verification. What was the purpose for that age verification requirement? MR. SCHNEIDER: At the newspapers? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the only place it's indicated here, is at the newspapers. MR. SCHNEIDER: Okay. Answer if you know. THE WITNESS: To verify that people posting ads in the adult category were not minors, not underage. MR. AMALA: I understand your counsel needs to go. We obviously have some issues that we'll probably need guidance on from the Court, and we are not done with today's deposition, so we are going to adjourn

Page 125

1	are off the record.	
2	(Deposition concluded at 4:02 p.m.)	
3	(Signature reserved.)	
4	(19 111 111)	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 126	
1	STATE OF WASHINGTON) I, Terilynn Simons, RMR, CRR,	
1 2) ss CLR, a certified court reporter	
2		
2) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do	
2 3 4) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH	
2) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on	
2 3 4) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete	
2 3 4 5) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all	
2 3 4 5) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions;	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but	
2 3 4 5 6) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature;	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly swom to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly swom to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof;	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on the 27th day of April, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly swom to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on the 27th day of April, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on the 27th day of April, 2017.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly swom to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on the 27th day of April, 2017. Terilynn Simons, CCR, RMR, CRR, Certified Court Reporter No. 2047. (Certification expires 04/29/2020)	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ounty of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on the 27th day of April, 2017. Terilynn Simons, CCR, RMR, CRR, CRR, Certified Court Reporter No. 2047. (Certification expires 04/29/2020) Byers & Anderson certifies that court reporting fees, arrangements, terms of payment, costs, and/or services are	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ounty of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on the 27th day of April, 2017. Byers & Anderson certifies that court reporting fees, arrangements, terms of payment, costs, and/or services are being offered to all parties on equal terms, and that if	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21) ss CLR, a certified court reporter County of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on the 27th day of April, 2017. Terilynn Simons, CCR, RMR, CRR, Certified Court Reporter No. 2047. (Certification expires 04/29/2020) Byers & Anderson certifies that court reporting fees, arrangements, terms of payment, costs, and/or services are being offered to all parties on equal terms, and that if there is an agreement between Byers & Anderson and/or its	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ounty of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on the 27th day of April, 2017. Byers & Anderson certifies that court reporting fees, arrangements, terms of payment, costs, and/or services are being offered to all parties on equal terms, and that if there is an agreement between Byers & Anderson and/or its court reporters and any persons and/or entities involved in this litigation, and/or any third party agreements relevant	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ounty of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on the 27th day of April, 2017. Terilyn'n Simons, CCR, RMR, CRR, Certified Court Reporter No. 2047. (Certification expires 04/29/2020) Byers & Anderson certifies that court reporting fees, arrangements, terms of payment, costs, and/or services are being offered to all parties on equal terms, and that if there is an agreement between Byers & Anderson and/or its court reporters and any persons and/or entities involved in this litigation, and/or any third party agreements relevant to this litigation, gyers & Anderson shall disclose he	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Ounty of Pierce) in he State of Washington, do hereby certify: That the foregoing 30(b)(6) deposi ion of ELIZABETH MCDOUGALL was taken before me and completed on April 26, 2017, and thereafter was transcribed under my direction; that the deposition is a full, true and complete transcript of he testimony of said witness, including all questions, answers, objections, motions and exceptions; That the witness, before examination, was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole tru h, and nothing but the tru h, and that the witness reserved the right of signature; That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action or rela ive or employee of any such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in the said action or he outcome thereof; That I am herewith securely sealing the said deposi ion and promptly delivering the same to Attorney Jason P. Amala. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my signature on the 27th day of April, 2017. Byers & Anderson certifies that court reporting fees, arrangements, terms of payment, costs, and/or services are being offered to all parties on equal terms, and that if there is an agreement between Byers & Anderson and/or its court reporters and any persons and/or entities involved in this litigation, and/or any third party agreements relevant	